1	Yellowstone is in compliance, then what happens is
2	Yellowstone gets due process on the issue. That's how
3	this works.
4	So going back to our initial discussion, had
5	Yellowstone violated something, some rule, some zoning
6	rule of the County of Orange, what would have happened is
7	there would have been a notice that we were in violation,
8	and we would have been given an opportunity to be heard,
9	and there could have been Appellate rights, and there
10	could have been another appeal, and we could have
11	attacked the ordinance, and it goes on and on and on.
12	MR. ALLEN: I don't get that entirely. You're
13	saying, I think, that because they didn't catch you, that
14	is, they didn't come out and find that you hadn't gotten
15	a use permit, you were somehow vested?
16	MR. ZFATY: No.
17	MR. ALLEN: You need to get that clarified.
18	Because it seems to me quite clear from what I read here
19	that there were permit requirements for you, and that you
20	didn't get them.
21	MR. ZFATY: What I'm saying is yeah, no. I
22	understand. And I think we were very clear. I actually
23	reread the transcript from the February 20th hearing. I
24	made it clear that we did not have a use permit.
25	The question was asked, I think, by Mr. Kiff.

1	We're not claiming that we had any use permit. What I'm
2	saying is, we're not even sure that we needed to have a
3	use permit.
4	And the problem is and I understand, with
5	all due respect, Mr. Allen, that you're looking at the
6	City's analysis and saying, "Well, that kind of make
7	sense to me. I can read. It's pretty clear. Based upon
8	my review of this, yes, Yellowstone should have had a use
9	permit with the County as of December 31 of 2007."
10	The problem with that analysis is that had that
11	been true, then somebody from the County would have had
12	to go through that analysis and provided Yellowstone with
13	some notice that it was in violation of some County
14	zoning regulation. And then we get into the due process
15	part of it.
16	MR. ALLEN: But you have to make the
17	application first, and you didn't. That belies the whole
18	analysis you're doing here, it seems to me.
19	MR. ZFATY: I would respectfully disagree.
20	Perhaps we would have had to make the application,
21	perhaps not. Perhaps we would have been given some sort

2

2

22

23

24

25

And so for us to sit here now, as a part of the

of, you know, reasonable accommodation. Who knows what

he would have happened. But that's the problem. We

don't know. There's no way to know.

1	City of Newport Beach, and look back and say, "We think
2	this could have been a violation, and we're going to
3	assume that Yellowstone operated unlawfully as a result
4	of this speculation that there was a violation, or that
5	they would have remained in violation of the Code had
6	they gone through all these processes that I just
7	described for you, we're going to go ahead now and revoke
8	the CUP," that's not proper. That's my point.
9	The City of Newport Beach has an affirmative
10	requirement that it find that Yellowstone operated in
11	violation of some Federal, state or local law.
12	MR. ALLEN: You just said the City would
13	revoke. The City's not revoking anything, right? I
14	mean, you just used the word "revoking."
15	MR. ZFATY: Yeah, and I misspoke. I think the
16	better way to put it is the City is going to deny
17	Yellowstone use permits based upon its inability to make
18	a critical finding.
19	And that inability to make a critical finding
20	is subject to the City's inability to say that
21	Yellowstone was somebody who was acting within the laws,
22	generally speaking. I mean, it's a pretty broad statute.
23	But that's the analysis.
24	And the problem is, again, tying this back in,
25	the problem is that we haven't had that hearing, and we

1	can't have that hearing. It's impossible. It's legally									
2	impossible.									
3	So I understand your point. What you're saying									
4	is, well, you're just saying that you didn't get caught.									
5	But the fact of the matter is, we're not saying that.									
6	We're not saying that we didn't get caught. We're saying									
7	that we weren't in violation, period. That's the end of									
8	it. And there's no way that we can make that finding									
9	now.									
10	MR. ALLEN: All right. I'm sensitive to your									
11	argument regarding denial of due process. And, you know,									
12	the City should not be engaged in denying you the									
13	opportunity to be heard. I recognize that we can't go									
14	back and hold a County hearing to determine whether or									
15	not you might get a County hearing. That's not going to									
16	happen here.									
17	But we can delay this matter for a week or two,									
18	or whatever time is agreed upon, if you wish to conduct a									
19	further hearing and make a presentation on further on									
20	what you've already presented today, and I would be									
21	receptive to that, if you wish to do that.									
22	MR. ZFATY: I don't think that there's anything									
23	I need to add, because and I think it's a good									
24	question, though. And it goes to one of the main points									

that I'm trying to make here, which is that between now

1	and a week from now and two weeks from now, there's
2	nothing that we can do to go back and get a judicial
3	declaration or a County approval of something. It won't
4	happen. It can't happen, right? We don't have standing.
5	If I went into court tomorrow and said, "We
6	need a judicial declaration immediately that we didn't
7	violate some zoning code for the County that happened,
8	you know, two years ago," I'm going to get laughed at in
9	the courtroom. It's not going to happen.
10	They are going to say, "You don't have
11	standing. Are you part of the County still?"
12	"No."
13	"Well, did you get cited for some violation?"
14	"No."
15	"Well, what are we here to talk about?"
16	"Well, there's some speculation that perhaps,
17	had we gone in to try to get a use permit" actually
18	that skips a step.
19	"That perhaps we were required to get a use
20	permit, and had we done in to the use permit, we would
21	have been found we would have been denied the use
22	permit with the County." We'll never get there. That
23	will never happen. It can't happen.
24	MR. ALLEN: I understand that. But what I'm
25	suggesting or asking is whether you need any more

1	opportunity to look at your position, given the fact that
2	you can't go back and get something that's no longer
3	obtainable?
4	But, nevertheless, if there's further analysis
5	of County law you'd like to do to make presentation on
6	why you didn't need I don't want to start speculating
7	on what you might find.
8	I'm just saying that at the outset of this
9	discussion, you made pretty serious allegations about
10	being denied due process of law. And I don't believe
11	it's appropriate for us to make a quick decision today
12	unless you're saying, "I've had all the due process I
13	need from the City for you to make a decision."
14	MR. ZFATY: Yeah. And there's actually two
15	responses to that. The first is that, as I mentioned,
16	there is nothing that will happen between now and two
17	weeks from now that will give me that due process.
18	And the second is that I am absolutely saying
19	that we're not being afforded due process to the extent
20	that the City's going to make a finding that we violated
21	some County rule, right?
22	In other words, I'm saying that we are not
23	being afforded due process on that issue, and we cannot
24	be afforded due process on that issue. It's mutually

exclusive at this point, right?

I mean, that's how due process works. We notice somebody that, "You know what? We think you're doing something wrong." And the person is given an opportunity to be heard.

And perhaps what happens there is go in and we convince the County, "No, we are not required to have to use permit." Or what happens there is we go in and we convince the County that, "You know, we shouldn't be subject to this, but maybe we'll agree to some conditions, or maybe we'll figure something out," and we reach an agreement.

Or perhaps what happens is we go into the County. County says, "No, you're required to have a use permit." We disagree. We appeal. We lose. We appeal

1	again. We win or we appeal again, and we lose or win. I										
2	mean, we don't know. That's the problem, but that's why										
3	we have due process is because we don't it sounds										
4	cliche, but you're innocent until proven guilty.										
5	And I'm not trying to say that we snuck under										
6	the radar here. I think there's evidence before us										
7	today, without doing any further investigation, that the										
8	County knew exactly who we were, and exactly what we were										
9	doing, and we were not cited. But we cannot be afforded										
10	due process, not today, not a week from today, not two										
11	weeks from today. It won't happen.										
12	MR. ALLEN: Okay. Anything further?										
13	Does the City wish to respond any further to										
14	the comments that were just made?										
15	MR. ZFATY: Oh, one other comment I'm sorry.										
16	We have County Fire Clearances. We brought copies with										
17	us here today if the City wants to see them.										
18	MR. ALLEN: Sure, yeah. Those should be										
19	submitted.										
20	MR. BOBKO: I'm actually going to look for an										
21	assist here from staff, but I don't think that the idea										
22	that and I think you hit it right on the head,										
23	Mr. Allen that if you establish a use that's illegal										
24	and simply don't get caught, and then you're annexed,										

well, now you're beyond the reach of the law, because

УОГ	ı've e	xist	ed for	r all	l tha	at time,	and	clearly	they	to	ook
no	actio	n ag	ainst	us,	the	former	juris	sdiction,	so	we	must
be	legal										

*

I don't think that that's the way things typically work. And, in fact, I would ask staff for a little clarification on that. But in a beach community like this, I'm sure there are many homes that were built probably before there were much of a Building Code, and they remain non-conforming.

And the Codes have been upgraded, upgraded, upgraded through the years. And today, you have houses that are probably legally non-conforming. And the reason that they are legally non-conforming is because at the time that they were built, they conformed with the Codes at that time.

This is really no different. You have a County rule. We are shining a bright light now on whether or not the Applicant complied with the requirements of the rules at the time they were established.

The rules have now changed on them, admittedly, when the City annexed the property. And now we're asking, I think, a very reasonable question is, "Given that you no longer -- you clearly don't comply with our rules, did you comply with the rules when you initiated your business?"

And if you did, you're non-conforming, and
we'll give you all of the protections that you're
afforded under the law. If you didn't, well, now you're
illegally non-conforming, and that's a whole different
matter entirely.

*

This is no different than the situation -- and, again, I'll ask the planners to amplify this. But this is no different than the situation that occurs whenever you have little beach bungalows out here that try to expand or put on an upper level.

And you say -- I'm sure the City tells them,
"You can't do that. You're an illegal non-conforming
use." And you go back and do all that research. Exact
same thing, a little bigger scale.

And again, if you would require more briefing, then we would -- the City would be happy to provide more briefing on the matter. So again, we want to make sure that the Applicant has had all the due process they can stand. So any extra time or anything like that, of course, the City will stipulate to whatever the Applicant thinks is necessary.

MR. ZFATY: The problem is -- and we keep coming back to it is we are looking now at Yellowstone, as Mr. Bobko said, as you aptly put it, Mr. Allen, we're shining a bright light, and we're taking a look at what

1	Yellowstone did, and we're looking at the County
2	requirements now.
3	And we are what's inclusive in here is we
4	are deciding we are deciding that Yellowstone violated
5	some County zoning requirement. That is a critical,
6	critical decision here. Okay?
7	Because I think, as we will all admit, and I
8	still haven't heard it, the City has had a number of
9	opportunities to make this claim. And according to the
10	City, you know, the new information came out on February
11	20th. I got this information yesterday, all right? Or
12	maybe it was a day before yesterday. But it was it
13	was no sooner than two days ago, okay?
14	The City's had plenty of time to analyze this.
15	And I'm not asking for a continuance. What I'm telling
16	you is we're not going to get there. There wouldn't be
17	any due process, because we cannot be heard on that
18	critical issue upon which the entire house of cards falls
19	down, and that is whether Yellowstone was in violation of
20	a County requirement. We cannot make that finding here.
21	It's not possible.
22	MR. ALLEN: I understand.
23	Well, given those circumstances, I'm persuaded
24	that the Yellowstone facilities were not lawfully
25	established uses when they were annexed into the City.

	They simply	didn't	have	the	County	approv	als	that	were
:	required of	them.							
	Aı	nd so,	there	fore,	I thir	nk the	staf	f's	posit

And so, therefore, I think the staff's position is well taken and correct. So therefore, we don't have adequate language. In fact, I believe there are a few more determinations that need to be made in this respect.

And before we adopt a Resolution that establishes a denial of all four of the use permits, I would just like to see that Resolution include a determination that the likelihood is that -- at least the likelihood, if not the certainty, that these uses were impliedly approved or could have been approved by the language -- and maybe I'm going out too far here and may have to drop back.

But I'm only concerned about the concept that other -- that the County could approve permits for other similar uses. And that may be very, very difficult to analyze.

In fact, if you have any observations on that right now, I mean, I'd like to hear that. Here's the situation, it seems to me. They defined the congregate care facilities, and then they talked about six beds or less. And then there was another section, as I recall, that allowed 12 beds or less, yeah, 7 to 12.

So the implication would be that in that "other

25

uses" category, the County might have authorized, you
know, a use with 17 beds. And I think that's kind of
what Mr. Zfaty is saying is that we don't know whether
they might have done that. But we'll never know, because
they can't go back and get that.
All right. Going around in circles here. But
if there's analysis of that that should be done in that
Resolution, it should be done, in my estimation.
MR. KIFF: Mr. Allen, I think that's a good
point, and we're we can go through that analysis in
more detail in part because most obviously government
staff changes, but the folks who have been in County
Planning have not changed. And it's fairly straight
forward to work with them on an analysis of what they
interpreted their laws to have been and what it would
have been applicable at the time, and continuing on. So
we'll do that within the proposed Resolution of Denial.
I would offer, though, before you close the
public hearing, public testimony would is probably
still an opportunity at this time.
MR. ALLEN: True.
MR. KIFF: Okay.
MR. ALLEN: By the way, Mr. Zfaty, you did an
excellent job of analysis also in a very short period of

time. I'm surprised you didn't know about this before.

We do have public hearing provisions here for
these uses. And so, despite the fact the Hearing Officer
has pretty much announced the decision, if someone feels
the need to come forward and give us their wisdom, we'd
be happy to hear it.
Okay. Seeing none, hearing none, we'll close
the public hearing.

Thank you, Mr. Kiff.

MR. ZFATY: Can I make one more comment on the record?

MR. ALLEN: Sure.

MR. ZFATY: My last comment would be that based on what Mr. Kiff just said, I think it's interesting that even the City even now is saying to us that, "Well, we want to go and talk to the people at the County and get their analysis, because they are the same people who have been there for a long time, and they will be able to tell us whether Yellowstone would have been in violation of the zoning requirements."

I mean, to me, what that means is even now, even now, at the end of this hearing, which Mr. Bobko said, "The time is here and now," even now at the end of the hearing, we still don't know if Yellowstone is in violation of some zoning requirement from the County, which we will never have standing to go and challenge.

1	And the second part of that is that if the City
2	is having some behind closed doors discussion with the
3	County folks in zoning, how are we afforded an
4	opportunity to be heard on that? How does that happen?
5	MR. KIFF: I have a couple of comments.
6	Mr. Zfaty, I was just being kind. I have no
7	doubt that Yellowstone Recovery, from my analysis of the
8	law, needed use permits for these four facilities.
9	You are also welcome to contact the County and
10	go through the same discussions that we would have in
11	preparing the Resolution of Denial. That's your
12	opportunity to work with them, too.
13	MR. MC DONOUGH: Can I make Mr. Allen, can I
14	make a public comment, just one?
15	MR. ALLEN: Sure.
16	MR. MC DONOUGH: Mike McDonough, 1562 Pegasus
17	Street, Santa Ana Heights.
18	Mr. Zfaty keeps saying that or has said that
19	they're not sure they actually needed a permit. I would
20	think Yellowstone's Counsel would have checked to see if
21	they needed it. The fact that they did not check to see
22	if they were required does not exempt them.
23	And to reply on the fact that the County did
24	not enforce the law does not mean the law didn't exist or
25	that there was a violation. Had they checked, they would

1	have been able to tell if they were required and if they
2	could get the permit.
3	To just say, "We didn't have an opportunity to
4	be heard," had they requested the use permit, the
5	residents would have had an opportunity to be heard and
6	could have objected to it. Thank you.
7	MR. ALLEN: Thank you.
8	MR. ZFATY: Can I respond?
9	MR. ALLEN: Well, we have until 6 o'clock, I
10	guess?
11	MR. ZFATY: I think Mr. Mc Donough's comment is
12	a wonderful one. Because had we checked he said, "Had
13	he checked, we would have found out. And had we found
14	out, the citizens would have had an opportunity to be
15	heard, and we would have been at a use permit hearing."
16	Exactly. Exactly. That's exactly my point.
17	Had all of these things happened, we would have been in
18	front of the County and asking for a use permit. But
19	none of those things happened. So we don't get our due
20	process. We never do. We never will. And here we are.
21	MR. MC DONOUGH: We didn't get due process
22	either.
23	MR. ALLEN: Okay. Thanks.
24	All right. Is there anything else that we
25	have?

1	MR. KIFF: No, sir.
2	I'm sorry. The reasonable accommodation
3	discussion could be next. We move right into that
4	section of the hearing, I apologize.
5	MR. ALLEN: That's right, we do. So let's
6	MR. KIFF: So sorry.
7	MR. ALLEN: Does the reasonable accommodation
8	application apply if there's no permit? But doesn't that
9	have to be amended somehow to then find a reasonable
10	accommodation to grant the permit? Or am I I'm sorry.
11	I'm not following you as well.
12	MR. BOBKO: I suggest that we perhaps take a
13	five-minute break here, if, for nothing else, the Court
14	Reporter. And maybe we can all regroup and come up with
15	a plan of attack.
16	MR. ALLEN: So ordered.
17	(Pause in proceeding.)
18	MR. ALLEN: All right. We're ready to
19	reconvene, and we have the reasonable accommodation
20	hearings in front of us.
21	Staff? Can you start out by explaining why we
22	would be having these, given that the permits were being
23	denied?
24	MS. WOLCOTT: Yes. Two of the Applicant's
25	made three requests for reasonable accommodation.

1	First, I'll state my name for the record, Cathy
2	Wolcott, Deputy City Attorney.
3	There were three requests that the Applicant
4	made for each of their facilities, 12 requests in all.
5	Request number one was request to be treated as
6	a single housekeeping unit.
7	Request number two was a request for exemption
8	from the occupancy limitations of the operating standards
9	for use permit.
10	And request number three was a request for a
11	hardship waiver, a waiver of having to pay the usual use
12	permit fee.
13	Of those requests, one of the requests, number
14	two, is directly tied to the issuance of the use permit;
15	therefore, we will not address that particular request
16	today. We will, however, address request number one and
17	three.
18	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
19	MS. WOLCOTT: All right.
20	To give you little bit of background on
21	reasonable accommodation, the Unfair Housing Act
22	Amendment require government entities to make exceptions
23	from the usual rules, policies and practices when the
24	request is reasonable and the request is necessary to
25	afford the disabled person an equal opportunity to reside

1	in a dwelling.
2	This is a Federal requirement, and it poses an
3	affirmative duty on government entities to grant the
4	request if the request is reasonable and the request is
5	necessary. And it has to have both of those two
6	elements.
7	When you look at the reasonable prong of the
8	analysis, the request would be considered unreasonable if
9	granting the request would either impose an undue
10	financial administrative burden on the City, or result in
11	a fundamental change in the nature of the City zoning
12	program.
13	And fundamental alteration in the excuse
14	me not zoning program. It can zoning can be one of
15	the factors, but any City program.
16	Fundamental alteration would be defined as
17	undermining the basic purpose which the requirement seeks
18	to achieve.
19	When you get if you establish that the
20	request is not unreasonable, then you move to whether or
21	not the request is necessary. Will the accommodation
22	allow a disabled individual to live in the dwelling?
23	Would the disabled individual be unable to live in the
24	dwelling out the accommodation?

If the answer to the question would be "yes" to

question one but there might be something else that would
be more narrowly tailored and more reasonable for the
government entity, alternative accommodations can be
suggested and considered.

And in every situation, when you're doing your reasonable accommodation analysis, it's going to be on a case-by-case basis. So you may have a similar request from a different entity and come up with a different result, because there are different facts applicable in that case.

Yellowstone has requested to be treated as a single housekeeping unit and for a waiver of the usual use permit fee.

To address the single housekeeping issue first,

Newport Beach -- the Newport Beach Zoning Code has a

definition of a single housekeeping unit, which is,

"The functional equivalent of a traditional family, whose members are an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit, including the joint use of and responsibility for common areas, sharing household activities and responsibilities, such as meals, chores, household maintenance and expenses, and where, if the unit is rented, all adult residents have chosen to jointly occupy

1	the entire premises of the dwelling unit under
2	a single written lease, with joint use and
3	responsibilities for the premises, and the
4	makeup of the household occupying the unit is
5	determined by the residents of the unit, rather
6	than the landlord or property manager."
7	Every aspect of the definition is important in
8	the analysis of whether or not a group living in the
9	dwelling unit is considered a single housekeeping unit.
10	The restrictions on single housekeeping units
11	are different from other residential uses within the
12	City. Single housekeeping units live in any residential
13	district. There are no occupancy restrictions under
14	zoning code. However, there are California Building Code
15	restrictions on the number of people who can reside in
16	the dwelling.
17	So to return to our analysis, first, is the
18	request reasonable?

The request to be treated as a single housekeeping unit is essentially a request to be exempt from all the restrictions and conditions that the City might impose to reduce adverse secondary impacts from larger facilities.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The basic purpose of Ordinance 2008-05 was to mitigate those adverse secondary impact. Therefore, it's

1	our opinion that exempting them from any kind of controls
2	the City could put to reduce those negative impacts
3	undermines the purpose.
4	All other groups not living in the single
5	housekeeping unit currently are prohibited in all
6	residential districts in the City of Newport Beach.
7	Boarding houses, fraternities, sororities, lodging
8	houses, no group that follows that operational pattern
9	can reside in any residential zone.
10	Essentially, the City has already made a
11	reasonable accommodation for residential care facilities.
12	They are the only non-single housekeeping group that can
13	reside in residential districts in the City of Newport.
14	The next step in the analysis is whether or not
15	the request is necessary.
16	Would the requested accommodation allow
17	disabled persons to live in a dwelling?
18	Yes.
19	Would disabled individuals be unable to live in
20	a dwelling without this specific accommodation?
21	No. This is an unnecessarily broad exemption,
22	and we can find other ways to accommodate that don't so
23	severely undermine our Zoning Code. Alternative request
24	for more reasonable could be formulated that could get to
25	that result.

1	We're going to skip request two.
2	Okay. Moving to request three, the fee waiver.
3	Because it's a nonprofit and raises money for
4	the community to support its program, Yellowstone's
5	requested a waiver of the standard \$2200 use permit fee
6	deposit.
7	The Ninth Circuit does allow or does require
8	that some financial constraints directly arising from the
9	disability of individuals may require reasonable
10	accommodation. However, Newport Beach also requires 100
11	percent cost recovery for use permits.
12	To make a recommendation on financial
13	accommodation, financial information must be reviewed by
14	the staff. We made many attempts to get specific
15	financial information from this Applicant, and we got a
16	very general statement of average expenses for each
17	house. They are saying approximately \$6200 expense per
18	house.
19	And they gave us an estimate of what fees they
20	would normally charge their clients, the residents. The
21	number that they gave us was \$50 to \$160, I believe, a
22	week. That was the fee that they said that they would
23	charge their facility residents.
24	When you go on the Yellowstone's Web site,
25	which I checked again this morning before we came up,

PUBLIC HEARING - 3/12/2009
there Web site creates a different picture. The Web
sites says that the fees are \$160 to \$180 a week, which
is about \$170 average. So we used that to do what
analysis we could in the finances.
We estimate that the average cost per house,
based on the Applicant's assertions, is \$6200 a month.
Estimated monthly profit per house you can see on the
screen.
If every one of the houses is fully occupied at
the average rate which their Web site states that they
are charging their facility residents, and if the
expenses that they submitted to us without verification
or without supporting documentation are accurate, they
are making approximately \$400 month profit on 1561 Indus,
\$4,680 a month profit on 1621 Indus, \$4,680 at Pegasus,
and \$4,000 a month at the Redlands facility, which, by
our analysis, should make them able to afford to pay the

use permit fees.

And for that reason, we believe it does not reach the necessity prong of the reasonable accommodation analysis.

If you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

MR. ALLEN: So seeking a complete exemption or -- from the -- or having them found to be a single

1	housekeeping unit completely would then allow a sober
2	living facility to occupy a residence in any of the
3	residential zones with no conditions imposed on them
4	insofar as their operation is concerned?
5	MS. WOLCOTT: Any residential district, any
6	amount of residents, up to the amount that the California
7	Building Code determines is not permitted for a
8	particular size of a structure, which is a fairly
9	permissive standard.
10	No restrictions, other than those imposed by,
11	say, our Nuisance Code, Penal Code on any other residence
12	in a single housekeeping unit within the City. That is
13	correct.
14	MR. ALLEN: Okay. Thanks. Any more, staff?
15	MR. KIFF: No, sir.
16	MR. ALLEN: Would the Applicant like to address
17	this?
18	MR. ZFATY: Sure. Thank you.
19	As Ms. Wolcott Isaac Zfaty again, Mr. Allen.
20	As Ms. Wolcott mentioned, we're asking for, I
21	think, our first and third request for reasonable
22	accommodation in light of the finding that I think you
23	will sign soon. Request number two becomes moot.
24	The first request is that we be treated as a
25	single housekeeping unit. The third is that we have our

application fees waived.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

The "Single Housekeeping Unit" is defined in section 20.03.030, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

And that's defined as,

"A functional equivalent of a traditional family, whose members are an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit, including the joint use of and responsibility for common areas, sharing household activities and responsibilities, such as meals, chores, household maintenance and expenses, and where, if the unit is rented, all adult residents have chosen to jointly occupy the entire premises of the dwelling unit under a single written lease, with joint use and responsibilities for the premises, and the makeup of the household occupying the unit is determined by the residents of the unit, rather than the landlord or property manager." And we would submit that we would accurately be described as a single housekeeping unit.

First off, the residents are the functional equivalent of a traditional family. They are supportive of one another in the -- in their community in terms of recovery from addiction. They are in an interactive

L	group	

They are in -- with respect to each of our four homes that we're talking about here today, our single dwelling units, each house stands alone. As we mentioned in the February 20th hearing and in our submissions to the City, there's no interaction between the homes.

And as to common areas, chores and activities, the property provides the residents with a network of support to encourage recovery from the systems -- from the symptoms of alcoholism.

The residents reside separately at the property. There is common area, however, where each resident is responsible for their own meals, expenses, chores, et cetera.

There is no individual treatment. There's no group treatment or group therapy sessions that occur on the property -- on any of the properties. And the sole purpose for each resident living on the property is to live in the house with other sober individuals with similar disabilities and in a community.

There are no delivery vehicles going to and from the property, and I guess this applies to request number two, so we can skip that.

As to request number one, that we'd be deemed a single housekeeping unit, again, I would submit that we

1	have provided the City with all of the information that
2	it needs to make that finding that we are a single
3	housekeeping unit, and to grant reasonable accommodations
4	as to each of the four properties.
5	The required findings are each of the following
6	five:
7	"First, that the requested accommodation is
8	requested on the behalf of one or more
9	individuals of a disability, protected under
10	the Fair Housing Laws."
11	This, by the way, is section 20.98.025B.
12	"The requested accommodation is necessary to
13	provide one or more individuals with a
14	disability an equal opportunity to use and
15	enjoy a dwelling.
16	"The requested accommodation will not impose
17	an undue financial or administrative burden on
18	the City, as 'undue financial or administrative
19	burden' is defined in the Fair Housing Laws and
20	also interpretative case law.
21	"And the requested accommodation will not
22	result in a fundamental alteration in the
23	nature of the City's Zoning Program, as
24	'fundamental alteration' is defined in Fair
25	Housing Laws and interpretative case law.

1	"And the requested accommodation will not,
2	under the specific facts of the case, result in
3	a direct threat to the health or safety of
4	other individuals or substantial physical
5	damage to the property of others."
6	As to findings one, three and five, Mr. Allen,
7	the City staff report notes that those findings can be
8	made.
9	"The requested accommodation is requested by or
10	on behalf of one or more individuals with a disability
11	protected under the Fair Housing Laws." We've
12	established that. Federal regulations and case law
13	define alcoholism as a disability.
14	As to the third prong, "the requested
15	accommodation will not impose an undue financial or
16	administrative burden on the City," the bed count that
17	we've proposed does not impose any financial or
18	administrative burden on the City. I think we're all in
19	agreement on that.
20	As to the fifth prong, "That the
21	reasonable the requested accommodation, " excuse me,
22	"will not, under the specific facts of the case, result
23	in a direct threat to health or safety," I think we are
24	all in agreement that there's no threat there.

As to finding number two, which was one that

the staff felt that it could not make, "that the
requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or
more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity
to use and enjoy a dwelling, " there are a number of
factors that are considered in connection with this
particular prong.
First is "whether the requested accommodation
will affirmatively enhance the quality of life of one or
more individuals with a disability."

Staff report says that "the facilities enhance the quality of life of recovering addicts." And the staff also agrees that rental rates for the Yellowstone offer low cost sober living environment, and some of them are actually free. This is a point that we will come back to later when we talk about our request for number three.

But one of the comments that Ms. Wolcott made was that the Yellowstone Web site has a cost range that differs from the submission. There's two pieces to that.

First off, Yellowstone has facilities
throughout the County and, in fact, outside of the County
as well. And so the rates that are quoted on there are
not necessarily the rates that we charge at these
facilities that we're talking about here today.

And as you can imagine, sometimes the rates

that are quoted on the Web site are not, in fact, the rates that are actually charged to customers, so -- in any kind of setting.

So you can only imagine that in a situation like this, where Yellowstone, in some instances -- and this is noted on the Web site -- provides absolutely free accommodations for people, that there would be some exceptions made when somebody wants to become a member of one of these single housekeeping units.

The second factor is "whether the individual or individuals with a disability will be denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice absent the accommodation."

The staff report notes that "current future residents will be denied affordable sober living." This is, again, one of the key factors that we're talking about here. We're analyzing this in terms of the City of Newport Beach.

And as I think it is essentially undisputed, Yellowstone provides some of the lower cost types of sober living within this City. As compared to some of the other facilities, it is far less expensive to reside at one of these homes.

The only negative thing that's mentioned in the staff report as to this piece is that there's

overcrowding of the facility or institutionalization of the neighborhoods. Again, in the original application, we provided information that evidenced the benefits and, which I would comment, is undisputed.

2.4

The third prong is, in the case of a residential care facility, "whether or not the requested accommodation is necessary to make facilities of a similar nature operation economically viable in light of the particularities of the relevant market and the market participants."

And the staff report notes that "maintaining the current number of beds is not necessary for Yellowstone to remain economically viable." Again, I think this goes back to what I mentioned earlier, which is that the staff is operating under some assumptions that are not necessarily accurate as to what is charged per resident per bed to stay in one of these homes.

The Yellowstone submission provided that it needs to keep each house at its current occupancy to remain open. The City's own calculations, based on the information that we provided them, concludes the opposite. And the City, I think, takes issue with the fact that the Yellowstone's application was not supported by bills, things of that nature.

I would say that we provided the information

that we felt was appropriate in light of privacy
concerns. We supported that with information. I
actually disagree. My recollection is that we provided
signed under penalty of perjury statements related to
what we submitted. That might not be right. I'll go
back to look at that. But if that's an issue, that's one
that can very easily be remedied.

2.2

Another issue that was raised in the staff report was in the May 12, the one that pertains to this hearing today, is that I think the City is under a misconception that the CEO is eventually going to fully own these properties, and that's not accurate.

As to at least two other properties that are owned, they are now actually owned by Yellowstone. They were donated to Yellowstone. And that is the plan as to these properties as well, that they are not going to be -- we're not talking about somebody who's one step removed from the process who's going to be a profiteer, just because they are able to rent out these properties to Yellowstone, and then Yellowstone is able to, in turn, collect rents from the tenants.

As to the issue of the whether Yellowstone, the entity, operates at a profit, Yellowstone is a 501(c)(3). So it is a nonprofit entity. That's an adjudication that I don't think anyone here is looking to overturn.

Point four, Mr. Allen, is "in the case of a
residential care facility, whether the existing supply of
facilities of a similar nature and operation in the
community is sufficient to provide individuals with a
disability an equal opportunity to live in a residential
setting."

And we would submit that if these four homes are removed, there absolutely would be a dirth of availability for these people. These are individuals who are at our homes, because these are the places they can afford to go. These are oftentimes -- without getting too far into details, they are oftentimes individuals who have been subject to abuse themselves, who have -- who are living with dependencies, and who, with the closure of these four homes, will not be in a sober living home.

We don't know where they going to be, but I can tell you right now that they are not going to be in a sober living home. So the closure of these properties will directly effect that result. There is no -- there is no middle step in between that. If we close these facilities, these people are out on their own.

In the staff report, there's mention of a couple of other homes on Pegasus that -- I think they are called the Lynn houses. These are houses that are closing. So I don't think that that should have any part

of the calculation as to whether there's availability of a similar nature and operation in the community of these types of facilities.

On the issue of whether we are a single housekeeping unit, the other factors that affect the staff's suggested denial, City argues that Yellowstone's request is too broad, that Yellowstone's requesting an exception from all of the provisions of the Ordinance, and that's not the case. We're asking that we be treated as a single family -- a single dwelling -- single housekeeping facility.

We are not asking for no regulation. We're not asking for -- that we be -- that the sky's the limit as to how we operate. We're simply asking that we be treated as a single housekeeping unit, as any other single housekeeping unit would be treated, subject to all of the other rules and regulations of the City.

The City says that -- that the accommodation requesting -- that's being requested is "broader than necessary to afford the disabled individuals an opportunity to reside in housing of their choice."

Yellowstone actually takes issue with that statement in that we're not -- again, we're not asking for any kind of reasonable regulation. We're simply asking that we be given this one type of exemption.

As to specifically as to finding number
four, the staff said it "couldn't make the finding that
the requested accommodation will not result in the
fundamental alteration of the nature of the City's Zoning
Program."

The entire presentation that we provided on February 20th of 2009 speaks to this issue. And it actually parlays a little bit into what we were talking about earlier in that we've established use at this property -- at these four properties continuously. And when we became part of the City of Newport Beach, for at least 52 days we can say that we were operating lawfully.

Even if you're inclined to make a finding that we operated unlawfully, okay -- we'll let that ship sale -- if the City's inclined to make that finding, which I strongly object to, it certainly can't make the finding that for a minimum of those 52 days -- and I think even broader. I think from January 1, 2008, even until the present -- we've been operating lawfully.

There's nothing -- there's nothing that has required to us do anything different than what we're doing as we stand here today since we've been a part of the City of Newport Beach.

So as to this specific issue, whether there would be a fundamental alteration, there is no alteration

in the City's Zoning Program, ir	masmuch as the Zoning
Program allows for reasonable ac	commodation of uses such
as ours that are there, that have	re been there, that
continue to be there lawfully.	

On the factors that the City's considering of whether the requested accommodation would fundamentally alter the character of neighborhood or whether the accommodation would result in a substantial increase in traffic or insufficient parking, the staff report notes that "the requested accommodation will fundamentally alter the neighborhood because of litter, meetings, visitors and parking."

Dealing with those in order, as to the issue of litter, we talked last time we met, on February 20th, about the idea that -- that there is no evidence before us, either then or now -- I've looked at the City's attachments to the staff record, and I haven't seen any evidence that there's been litter that has come from any of our properties.

Since February 20th -- I made the statement at the February 20th hearing that we don't have that evidence. Since that time, it still hasn't appeared. So I think that -- at least that piece is questionable at best.

As to the issue of meetings, we talked last

1	time about how we have one meeting. And it is a meeting
2	that is restrictive to just the residents of the homes.
. 3	There are no other on-site meetings, and this is a
4	once-a-week thing.
5	As to the issue of visitors, the City, again,
6	mentioned in the staff report, as to the reasonable
7	accommodation request, that there was some commentary
8	provided by someone who e-mailed the City in support of
9	our either getting a continued use permit, excuse me, a
10	conditional use permit or a reasonable accommodation.
11	As I mentioned in the last hearing, that
12	pertained to a visitation, an alumni visitation, at one
13	of our Costa Mesa facilities. So that is not an issue
14	here.
15	And then as to the parking, we provided
16	photographs of parking the last time we came on February
17	20th. We talked about our parking practices. And again,
18	I don't see that those are issues here.
19	Other factors affecting, I think, the staff's
20	suggested denial were that the purpose of the bed count
21	limit would be undermined and overconcentration. The
22	City says "the basic purpose is to draw a line at a
23	reasonable density for business providing residential
24	recovery services within a residential neighborhood."

Given the size of these homes, the available

	1	parking, the proposed densities, we think that we've
•	2	provided the City with ample information that this
	3	request is reasonable and this continued use is
	4	reasonable.
9	5	As I mention had earlier, two of the homes at
	6	Pegasus are going to be closed or have closed already,
	7	1501 and 1502. These are these Lynn houses. This
•	8	reduces the bed count by 24 collectively.
	9	So that actually concludes our request
•	10	on for reasonable accommodation as to number one.
9	11	As to number three, on the fee waiver, I'll
	12	just go through this quickly.
)	13	The applications for discretionary approvals,
	14	including use permits, has to be accompanied by a fee as
	15	established by the Resolution of the City Council, and
•	16	we're asking for a waiver of that fee.
	17	The City cites to that it's had insufficient
	18	data and talks about the Oxford House, Evergreen versus
•	19	City of Plainfield case, and says that that case stands
	20	for the proposition that actual hard, solid information,
	21	specific information, supported information, must be
•	22	provided.
	23	As to that piece, we have attempted to provide
	24	the City with a type of information that we think
•	25	is strikes a fair balance and an equitable balance

	PUBLIC HEARING - 3/12/2009
	between our privacy concerns, excuse me, and the City's
	need for information. I would note, again, that to the
	extent that we haven't provided sufficient information
	for this fee waiver, that we would be willing to discuss
	that further.
	And then lastly, Ms. Wolcott made the comment
	that "we can find more reasonable ways to accommodate our
	residents."
	And I heard that, and I've still not seen how
	that's supposed to happen. In light of what the City's
	doing with this Ordinance in reducing the number of beds
	in the City of Newport Beach, it strains the imagination
	to think that there's going to be some other
	accommodation that's going to satisfy the needs of these
	disabled individuals.
-	

There is a -- there's already a bed reduction that's happened in the City of Newport Beach. Sounds as if there's going to be a further reduction as time goes by. And to blanketly say that there are other accommodations, there's other possibilities, without any further discussion on how that might occur and within the confines of the City of Newport Beach, I think it's difficult to analyze that.

Thank you.

MR. ALLEN: Staff wish to make response to any

1	of the comments?
2	MS. WOLCOTT: Yes, please.
3	I'll start with saying that Mr. Zfaty presented
4	his analysis of request number two, as well as request
5	number one and three.
6	I did not in the interest of due process and
7	fairness, we did not stop him and allowed him to present
8	that information. I will not give you all of my analysis
9	on number two. It is in the staff report in detail.
10	What I'm trying to give orally is kind of a
11	shorthand version of the analysis for purposes of
12	brevity. But if anybody wants more details on our
13	analysis and how we reached them, they can find it in the
14	staff reports from February 20th and today.
15	Okay. To be begin with, the last assertions
16'	made first. As far as the fee waiver, the Hearing
17	Officer has seen other applications for financial
18	reasonable accommodation. Reasonable accommodation based
19	on financial limitations which arose directly from the
20	applicant's disability. And the Hearing Officer has seen
21	the rigor with which staff has had to pursue making sure
22	that it really is an accommodation that's necessary.
23	And to that end we have required individual
24	applications in the past to submit financial information
25	which they found comewhat intrucive. They were not at

all excited about producing it. But they produced their
financial information when requested, because they
recognize that if they were raising the financial issue,
their duty was to backup their financial request by
showing what the hardship was.

Individual applicants have submitted W-2's, Social Security statements. They have given confidential medical information. Where the information was confidential, we have -- staff has reviewed it and has made a recommendation, based on the review of that information, but has not made the information public to protect the privacy concerns. We are very sensitive to privacy concerns of individuals.

Where a business is concerned, I'm not sure they have the same privacy concerns to protect. As a 501(c)(3), that's not, you know, an open -- doesn't mean that the analysis stops there.

The Hearing Officer also would have the opportunity to review any information that was submitted to the staff in camera, which would mean he could view it himself, make his own determination without making the information public if it was considered to be confidential.

So while I would respectfully disagree with Mr. Zfaty's characterization of our request as overly

intrusive	and designed to violate any kind of privacy
concerns,	we need to verify whether the hardship is there
or not.	

As far as the single housekeeping unit, we are not -- we are not refuting that it's an interactive group. We are not refuting that there's no treatment done on-site. We don't know it's done on-site, but we have no evidence that treatment is performed there.

However, many of the other essential elements of our single housekeeping unit definition are not present in this instance.

Mr. Zfaty's office's characterization of what the use looks like, what the characterization of the residential occupancy pattern is, has changed over time. On May 20th of '08, the original characterization on the reasonable accommodation application was,

"The residents reside separate at the property and interact within the property.

There's individual use common areas. The residents are responsible for their own meals, expenses and chores. And most significantly, each individual resides at the property subject to a separate contractual arrangement with the applicant."

In January of '09, after being informed by the

25

1	City staff that their request for single housekeeping
2	unit was overbroad and that the reported pattern didn't
3	fit, they said,
4	"The residents are" in the letter from
5	Mr. Zfaty's office, they stated,
6	"The residents are functionally equivalent
7	to a traditional family, whose members are an
8	interactive group of persons jointly occupying
9	a single dwelling unit. Like a single
10	housekeeping unit, there's a common area, and
11	each resident is responsible for their own
12	meals, expenses and chores. Also, the makeup
13	of the property is determined by the residents
14	of the unit, rather than the property manager."
15	This directly mirrors the language of our Code,
16	and it majorly conflicts with their early assertions. It
17	began to appear that the Applicant was characterizing
18	their use according to the result they wanted, not the
19	actual character not the actual operating pattern.
20	But again, staff gave the Applicant a chance to
21	correct the inconsistencies. We did not want to do
22	unfair surprise. We did not want to do an ambush. Staff
23	informed them that there were inconsistencies between
24	their letter and May 20, 2008, application.

76

After being informed, the Counsel sent a letter

1 on February 13th of '09 saying, "Material submitted to the City May 2008 2 reflects some inaccurate information. 3 Yellowstone does not have a contractual relationship with the residents at its 5 properties. With respect to the residents of the four Yellowstone homes in Santa Ana Heights, Yellowstone's position is correctly 8 stated in a letter to the City dated January 9 10 29, 2009. "The makeup of the property is determined by 11 the residents of the unit rather than the 12 property manager. More specifically, 13 14 Yellowstone's Board of Directors does not determine who resides at each of the four 15 homes. New residents are introduced and 16 approved by the current residents during house 17 meetings, or they are not accepted." 18 That was the first time that characterization 19 was ever presented. And I believe if we had not 20 presented them with an opportunity, this would never have 21 been raised. The Hearing Officer can determine which 22 characterization he believes to be the true one. 23 The cost of the Web site differing from the 2.4 submission from the Applicant. It's the first time I've 25

PUBLIC HEARING - 3/12/2009
ever heard the argument that the facilities are cheaper
in Newport Beach than elsewhere in the County. "The
rates quoted are not always the rates charged."
Sir, we used what we had to go with. We made
every attempt to get more financial information from the
Applicant. What we were able to get, we used.
My final comment is when Mr. Zfaty said that
when they are asking for an exemption from the single
housekeeping unit, they are not asking for a broad
exemption, they are asking for a very simple exemption,
what they are asking for is no conditional use permit
required, no conditions required, no reasonable
conditions the City could impose, such as reasonable bed
count, quiet hours, smoking areas, reasonable parking
controls, for extensive density. None of those would be

broad request.

Janet Brown was also going to address the issue of whether or not the facility was ever legally occupied for the 52 days between when Santa Ana Heights was annexed and when our Zoning Code -- the changes to the Zoning Code took effect in February of 2008.

required, and, therefore, we do feel this is an overly

MR. ALLEN: Let's just ask a question, here.

It's 10 minutes to 6. We're getting close to probably being done, but we still have a public hearing

1	to conduct on the reasonable accommodations. Can we keep
2	going?
3	MR. KIFF: We don't have a conflict tonight
4	with this room. Sometimes we do on Thursdays. This time
5	we don't.
6	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
7	MS. BROWN: Thank you.
8	Yes. Starting in January 1, 2008, when the
9	properties were annexed to the City of Newport Beach, the
10	requirement at that time for sober living use would have
11	been the approval of a Federal Exemption Permit, which
12	the applicant did not have or did not make an application
13	for at any time.
14	So to say that they were conforming use or in
15	compliance with the City regulations at that time would
16	not be a correct statement.
17	MR. ALLEN: Would they have had to have that
18	permit as a County facility?
19	MS. BROWN: No. That was not a County
20	requirement. It's a City of Newport Beach requirement.
21	MR. ALLEN: So but they should have had one
22	as a City as soon as the annexation occurred?
23	MS. BROWN: Correct.
24	MR. ALLEN: All right. So anything else from
25	staff?

1	MR. KIFF: I'll make one comment.
2	Near the end of Mr. Zfaty's presentation, he
3	was noting that I apologize. I'm going to be
4	paraphrasing about how the City's enactment of this
5	Ordinance limits of the amount of what will
6	significantly decrease the amount of beds in the
7	community.
8	And as you're aware, Mr. Allen, you've approved
9	the use permit for 11 beds and 14 beds. The City
10	has City Council has approved a development for 204
11	beds with the largest operator of facilities in the City,
12	Sober Living by the Sea.
13	Your denial actions have only been, up until
14	this point, one, Newport Coast Recovery at 29 beds. And
15	then the facility at Narconon, Southern California,
16	voluntarily offered asked to be allowed to stay up
17	until February 2010, when its ADP license expires, and
18	then they had entered into an abatement agreement from
19	that date forward. That reflects 22 nighttime beds.
20	MR. ALLEN: Thank you.
21	So before Mr. Zfaty, do you need to make
22	some more comments before we see if any public needs to
23	talk?
24	MR. ZFATY: Yeah, I would like to have an
25	opportunity to respond to some of that, thank you.

First off, maybe I'll just go backwards. As to
the last comment about number of beds, though there may
have been some approved beds, and though there may have
been some beds that were there that was agreements
reached, the net effect, I think we can all agree, is
that the number of beds available have been decreased.
That much I think there's no dispute over.

We can talk about what we've approved and what we've denied, but the bottom line is, there are less beds available today of the nature that we're discussing here than there were before February 22nd of 2008.

As to the issue of the Federal Exemption

Permit, I'm actually a little bit surprised by

Ms. Brown's comment. Because if you look at our initial submission in May of 2008, we asked specifically -- we noted that there was a provision in the Code for Federal Exemption Permit.

And we asked, "We'd like to apply for a Federal Exemption Permit." And the Code talked about how that was supposed to -- how that process happened. We never heard anything back from that or from the City on that issue.

One of our representatives actually went down to the City, and said, "I'd like to have the Federal Exemption Permit document," and was denied, was told to

1	go anyway. "We don't have those." So unless I'm missing
2	something, and I may be, we have asked the City
3	specifically that we be available to a Federal Exemption
4	Permit.
5	MR. KIFF: While you're looking there,
6	Mr. Zfaty, you are missing something. The Federal
7	Exemption Permit went away on February 22nd, when the
8	Ordinance took effect. So it was a requirement for those
9	days up until the effective date of the Ordinance. We
10	could not issue any more from that date forward, because
11	the change stripped away the FEP process.
12	MR. ZFATY: Give me one second.
13	As to the issue of whether we were heard on our
14	request number two, notwithstanding that there may have
15	been some slides that talked about request number two, I
16	actually redacted my entire presentation on number two.
17	So we haven't argued our request for number
18	two. And I think it makes sense that we don't, because
19	request number two is that we be provided additional
20	beds. If at some point in time the City wants to hear
21	our argument on that, I'm more than happy to make it, but
22	it has not been made.
23	As to the production of financials, it kind of
24	gave me a little pause to hear that some of the
25	facilities may have provided medical information, because

it sounds like a HIPPA problem to me. But that			
notwithstanding, we've never been asked to provide			
anything in way of medical anything relating to our			
residents.			

And again, I don't think that's an issue here.

From my read of the staff report, there's no question
that we have a disabled class that we're talking about in
connection with these properties.

As to the information on the Web site, again, I want to be real clear here, because I didn't say that the bed -- the cost for staying in a bed in Newport Beach is less than anything we do anywhere else. That's interesting advocacy and argument, but that's not what I said.

What I said was, as with anybody, you have something on your Web site, that doesn't necessarily mean that that's actually what is charged. So, we've provided the City with information as to what we actually charge or what we actually collect, is probably a better way to put it, from the individuals who stay at these properties.

If there's an issue regarding whether we have properly signed them under penalty of perjury, because I think that might have been the City's bigger problem or larger concern, that can be provided.

1	Certainly if there's an issue as to whether
2	we're entitled to an exemption on that issue, whether the
3	City's inclined to grant us an exemption on that issue,
4	or whether that casts any aspersions on veracity of any
5	comments or statements we've made, that can be very
6	easily remedied.
7	Again, nobody has said at any point in time
8	that there's treatments at our facilities. It was
9	discussed that well, I'll leave that one alone. And I
10	think that's all I have.
11	MR. ALLEN: Okay. Thanks. Shall we let's
12	open the public hearing now.
13	Would anyone like to make comments on the
14	elements of the reasonable accommodation, either the
15	single housekeeping unit aspect or the fee waiver?
16	Mr. Mathena?
17	MR. MATHENA: Good to see you.
18	A couple of brief comments. I'm Larry Mathena,
19	M-a-t-h-e-n-a.
20	I just wanted to second Mr. Kiff's observations
21	in respect to making the observation that, yes, hopefully
22	actually bed counts are declining, considering that
23	there's still excessively disproportionate, even after
24	the decline, compared to anywhere else in the state. And
25	I just think that's worth having in the record.

	And the second point I'd like	to make,	there's	
an	implied lack of cooperation relative	to financ	cial data	a
on	the hardship point. I would observe	that, as	a .	
nor	aprofit entity, all you need to do is	ask them	for copy	У
of	their 990, and they have to give it	to.		

And you will instantly be given the global economic status, as least reported for tax purposes.

And, in fact, I would find it very disappointing that they didn't choose to volunteer that for you. It's the law that that is available.

Secondly, I believe, although I don't have the expertise, that there's similar State filings that are also absolutely publicly available.

And I have two observation there. One, I would view that as a sign of a lack of cooperation in terms of saying, "Here's the things we have to give to anybody."

It also troubles me that actually the City, in a nonprofit examination, isn't aware enough to understand that and go get that as additional evidence of what charges are, frankly, what expenses are, and a variety of other things that are useful.

And just an aside to that, the whole process, I have to say of this, is as long as you tell us what you're going to tell us, and if -- assuming you do it subject to a penalty of perjury, it's like I don't see

the City independently verifying. I don't see the City doing even a small amount of confirmation that it really ought it.

And otherwise, you're kind of stuck with us poor citizens, who really don't have the tools to do it either. And you end up with this evolving mishmash, and you also sort of end up -- because the City isn't, I think, doing a great job of investigating, it sort of says, "Well, but we can kind of see there's this potential violation here, but for us to answer that, we have to ask the question." And once of question is asked, you have this evolution of what the answer is.

And the easy answer is, the way these things are unfolded, from my perspective, if you're smart and paying attention and you're the operator, you probably ought to be able to get yourself into the box that you qualify. And it's unfortunate that it isn't really necessarily what the operations are and what the reality is.

And one final brief point. A whole bunch of testimony was presented at the February 20th hearing about a whole bunch of different issues that do get to parking, do get to, frankly, unlawful assembly, do get to safety and health issues. And I -- I know you're aware of that, but I just wanted to reiterate it in this

1	hearing. Thank you.
2	MS. WALKER: Good evening. Judy Walker, 1571
3	Indus.
4	We have heard repeatedly that there are no
5	parking problems, and that no evidence has been
6	presented. I bring with me this evening photographs. I
7	will leave the disk with I have the thumbprints as
8	well. It's hard for me to be able to say, "Here, plug in
9	a thumb drive."
10	These are documentation of parking issues that
11	we did explain in February. And we now are
12	substantiating those with visuals in addition, because
13	that was brought up, that there were no parking issues.
14	Also, that there are no safety issues.
15	Also, there are photographs of behavior with,
16	particular case, trash receptacles being placed and left
17	in front of the fire hydrants that, I believe, is a
18	safety issue to the neighborhood.
19	And hearing that the residents decide who's
20	going to be the next set of residents is most
21	disconcerting when you understand that these are the
22	people who are parking and are taking care of things like
23	trash and not paying attention to safety issues.
24	Thank you.
25	MR. ALLEN: Anyone else from the public?

1	Okay. Thank you. We'll close the public	
2	hearing.	
3	Anything else from staff?	
4	MR. KIFF: No, sir.	
5	MS. WOLCOTT: No, sir.	
6	MR. ALLEN: I'm persuaded by staff's argument	
7	with respect to the single housekeeping. I just don't	
8	think that the reasonable accommodation requirements	
9	extend that far, and the analysis that's been done is	
10	solid. So I would rule to deny that portion.	
11	Frankly, with the reasonable accommodation for	
12	the fee, I can't get my head clearly around all of the	
13	information and numbers here to be able to do it. And	
14	I'm not sure how I can I don't see the need to	
15	continue the hearing necessarily, but I'd like to be able	
16	to take further time to analyze what's been presented and	
17	what's been said to make a decision.	
18	Does anybody have any suggestions?	
19	MR. KIFF: Just a moment.	
20	(Pause in proceeding.)	
21	MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry. Can I intervene one	
22	moment?	
23	MR. KIFF: Sure.	
24	MR. ALLEN: Mr. Mathena made the suggestion	
25	about the 990, which I assume is some Federal tax return	
		88

1	or some such document that hasn't been provided. And
2	whether that would help at all, that should be considered
3	in my mind, if it's available and helpful.
4	MS. WOLCOTT: I would ask whether the Applicant
5	is willing to submit more, because we have always been
6	willing to review more up to the minute the staff report
7	was published, yes.
8	MR. ALLEN: Would the Applicant like to respond
9	to that at this point?
10	MR. ZFATY: As I mentioned in my presentation,
11	Mr. Allen, we're more than happy to continue our dialog
12	with the City on that issue.
13	MR. ALLEN: All right. Well then, again, the
14	procedure I hate to have to take up and spend City
15	money and time to conduct hearings here just on that
16	issue, because but if that's inappropriate, tell me,
17	you know. I wish we could do it without having to go
18	that far.
19	MR. ZFATY: I would actually defer to the staff
20	on that issue, but we are certainly willing to submit
21	that you can take the matter under submission, Mr. Allen,
22	subject to additional provision of information by us.
23	MS. WOLCOTT and I are in frequent
24	communication, so I'm more than happy to speak with her
25	next week about the provision of additional information.

1	She can forward it on to you whatever she needs.
2	I don't need about the public the
3	transparency issues. I will leave that to the staff,
4	but
5	MR. ALLEN: Right.
6	MR. ZFATY: in terms of our requirements, we
7	will waive any kind of additional hearing on that
8	particular issue.
9	MR. ALLEN: All right. Thank you.
10	MR. BOBKO: Mr. Allen, what we suggest
11	is Kit Bobko is that the Applicant will submit to
12	staff whatever additional documentation that they'd like
13	to provide, and staff will submit it to you, and, you
14	know, two weeks from now or whatever, whatever is
15	convenient for you, you can issue your ruling.
16	But the City would be acceptable to letting you
17	take this under submission with that proviso.
18	MR. ALLEN: That's just fine with me.
19	MR. BOBKO: Okay.
20	MR. ALLEN: Let's proceed in that fashion,
21	then. Applicant will present whatever they wish to
22	present within, what, a week?
23	MR. ZFATY: That's fine. Actually, before you
24	do this, let me say one additional thing, because I
25	wanted to make sure we're clear.

1	Any such documentation that we provide that
2	relates to the broad Yellowstone, Yellowstone as a
3	facility, may not be specific enough. I think we're
4	talking about individual homes here. But with that
5	proviso, just so we're all clear
6	MS. WOLCOTT: The kind of documentation we
7	requested before was mortgage statements, utility bills,
8	that kind of information, nothing confidential.
9	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
L O	MR. BOBKO: The other thing is that to the
11	extent that any of this is sensitive information, we
12	would be more than happy, the City would, to submit it to
13	you under seal, or for review confidentially, of course,
14	and, of course, we return it back to them.
15	MR. ALLEN: If you'll identify that when you
16	submit it to me, then I would hold it confidentially.
17	MR. BOBKO: I'm sorry. I wasn't paying
18	attention. Could you say it again?
19	MR. ALLEN: I said that if you submitted it to
20	me under confidential in a confidential manner, then I
21	would hold it in that right and nevertheless consider.
22	MR. BOBKO: Okay. And the other thing is, of
23	course, just for clarity's sake, when staff provides this
24	information to you, there is no further communication
25	between the Hearing Officer and staff. I just want

1	everybody to be clear on that.	
2	MR. ALLEN: Yes.	
3	MR. BOBKO: Staff is providing you with	
4	information in response, of course, to any additional	
- 5	questions that you have. But staff does not work with	
6	you in any regard. You are making these determinations	
7	completely.	
8	MR. ALLEN: Independently. So then also I	
9	would prepare whatever finding needed to be made to	
10	incorporate into a Resolution.	
11	MR. BOBKO: Very well.	
12	MR. ALLEN: Correct?	
13	MR. BOBKO: Yes. I just want to make sure that	
14	everyone who is listening or perhaps watching will know	
15	that even though that this is going to occur under	
16	submission, that, in fact, you will still be making this	
17	independently.	
18	MR. ALLEN: Correct.	
19	MR. BOBKO: Okay.	
20	MR. KIFF: I just had announcements, if you're	
21	going to end the hearing, as to when the next hearings	
22	are for the public's input, I'm sorry, for the public's	
23	participation and information. I'm sorry. It's a long	
24	day.	
25	Notwithstanding the Yellowstone case, I was	
		92

1	going to for the folks in the audience who usually
2	attend these, just so they know when the next ones are,
3	if you're ready for that.
4	MR. ALLEN: I just wanted to clarify for us the
5	procedure we'll follow. Is it necessary to hold up the
6	entire Resolution on just for this fee, or can we do
7	that separately?
8	That is, we can adopt a Resolution with the
9	determinations that have been made today with respect to
10	all except the fee waiver request, and do that separately
11	or
12	MR. KIFF: That would be my understanding.
13	After conferring with Counsel, we would we could maybe
14	do them in three different steps. The Resolution of
15	Denial for the use permit could be one. The Resolution
16	of the Denial on the single housekeeping unit reasonable
17	application. And then a third one could be the use
18	permit sorry the fee waiver, and that would be held
19	under your submission until you review additional
20	financial data.
21	MR. ALLEN: I think that's the way to do it.
22	MR. KIFF: Okay.
23	MR. ALLEN: All right. Then, there's nothing
24	further from me, except now, you wanted to make an
25	announcement about upcoming hearings?

1	MS. WOLCOTT: Mr. Zfaty also asked me to
2	interject. He'd like you to put on the record the
3	procedure of how the Resolution will be adopted so that
4	they don't lose any due process rights.
5	MR. ALLEN: The procedure of how the Resolution
6	will be adopted?
7	MS. WOLCOTT: Maybe you could ask Mr. Zfaty to
8	clarify.
9	MR. ZFATY: I'm not talking about due process
10	now. I'm just talking about notice. I just want to know
11	what the procedure is going to be.
12	In other words, will the staff provide you with
13	a proposed Resolution? Will you sign the Resolution?
14	Will we subsequently be will there be an announcement?
15	After the Resolution has been adopted, will we be
16	provided with some notice that that's occurred? Those
17	are my questions, just so we don't lose any appellate
18	rights.
19	MR. ALLEN: What we've been doing heretofore on
20	these is bringing the Resolutions back at a
21	subsequent like we did this afternoon with
22	that with the one that I signed. It was made public
23	by the staff several days ago.
24	MR. ZFATY: Perfect.
25	MR. ALLEN: So I would expect that we would do

1	the same procedure with this one, so that you get an
2	adequate opportunity to at least look at that before it's
3	signed.
4	MR. KIFF: And for, again, the Applicant's
5	information, the appeal timeline, which is deemed 14
6	days, takes effect begins, the clock starts, when
7	Mr. Allen signs the Resolution.
8	Let me go into, then, the next hearings. We
. 9	have a reasonable accommodation hearing for 900 West
10	Balboa. That's scheduled for March 19 at 4 o'clock.
11	We have another reasonable accommodation for
12	Pacific Shores Recovery. These are facilities at 3309
13	Clay, and 492 and 492 1/2 Orange. And that's scheduled
14	for March 25th, at 2 o'clock.
15	The Council has also hearing two appeal issues.
16	One is the Newport Coast Recovery denial. So the Council
17	will hear decide whether or not to uphold or overturn
18	that denial. That's March 24 at 7 p.m. here, starting at
19	7 p.m. That's a regular City Council meeting.
20	At the same night, the Council is expected to
21	weigh in on an Ocean Recovery application relating to
22	1115 West Balboa. And the action by the Hearing Officer
23	to continue that hearing to for six months. So the
24	Council has been asked to offer an opinion about that,
25	and potentially either declare it to be a decision or not

1	a decision, and then uphold it or return it to the
2	Hearing Officer for future action or further action.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. ALLEN: So that concludes our proceedings
5	for today, and we'll convene our hearings again on the
6	19th; is that correct?
7	MR. KIFF: Yep.
8	MR. ALLEN: Thank you.
9	(Ending time: 6:16 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	96

1 2 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 3 Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 That prior foregoing proceedings were taken 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; that 6 any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 7 testifying, were placed under oath; that a verbatim 8 record of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate 11 12 transcription thereof. I further certify that I am neither financially 13 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of 14 15 any attorney of any of the parties. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed 16 17 my name. 18 Dated: MAR 2 5 2009 19 20 21 22 CSR No. 9266 23 24 25

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
A	72:14	addicts	advocacy	38:9
	73:18,18	62:11	83:13	Allen 1:11
abatement	73:22	addition	affect 67:5	4:4,5 5:4
15:18	75:16	87:12	affiliation	5:6,13,20
26:13	84:14 88:8	additional	22:15	5:22 12:17
80:18	88:11 95:9	6:4 82:19	affirmative	12:20,22
able 20:20	95:11	85:19	24:21	13:5,9
21:7,9	accommod	89:22,25	32:14,18	14:3,5,6
46:17 48:1	24:22 52:3	90:7,12,24	35:9 51:3	15:21
56:17	60:3 63:7	92:4 93:19	affirmat	16:24 18:8
65:19,20 78:6 86:16	72:20 79:1	address	62:8	19:25
1	accompanied	29:14	afford 50:25	20:19 24:2
87:8 88:13	71:14	50:15,16	56:17	26:18,20
88:15	accurate	52:14	66:11	26:22 29:4
absent 63:13	56:13	57:16	67:20	29:7,11
absolutely 38:18 63:6	64:16	78:18	affordable	30:1 31:17
66:8 85:13	65:12	addresses	63:15	31:18
abuse 66:13	97:12	4:10 9:18	afforded	33:12,17
accept 27:7	accurately	adequate	15:8 38:19	34:5,16
accept2/:/	12:4 58:20	44:5 95:2	38:23,24	35:12
27:2 90:16	achieve	adjudicated	40:9 42:3	36:10
accepted	51:18	25:11	47:3	37:24
77:18	act 39:9	adjudica	afternoon	40:12,18
accommodate	50:21	25:24	6:6 94:21	40:23
54:22 72:7	acted 4:19	65:24	agency 11:20	42:24
accommod	39:10	administ	agenda 4 : 24	43:22 45:9
24:18	acting 35:21	5:2 51:10	ago 37:8	45:21,23
29:17	action 5:2	60:17,18	43:13	46:11
34:22 49:2	7:7 10:6	61:16,18	94:23	47:13,15
49:7,10,19	20:17,20	admit43:7	agree 5:19	48:7,9,23
49:25	23:14 41:2	admits 23:11	14:23	49:5,7,16
50:21	95:22 96:2	admittedly	15:15	49:18
51:21,24	96:2 97:15	41:20	39:20 81:5	50:18
52:6 54:11	actions	adopt 5:6	agreed 36:18	56:24
54:16,20	80:13	12:11 44:7	agreement	57:14,16
55:10,13	activities	93:8	39:22	57:19 61:6
56:20	52:22	adopted 94:3	61:19,24	66:1 72:25
57:22 60:7	58:10 59:7	94:6,15	80:18	78:23 79:6
60:12,16	Activity	adoption	agreements	79:17,21
60:21 61:1	10:11	4:25 11:23	81:4	79:24 80:8
61:9,15,21	actual 10:12	adopts 5:3	agrees 12:9	80:20
62:2,7	16:12	ADP 80:17	62:12	84:11
63:13 64:7	71:20	adult 52:25	ahead 29:11	87:25 88:6
67:18 68:3	76:19,19	58:13	35:7	88:21,24
69:2,6,8	add 12:18	adults 8:9	alcoholism	89:8,11,13
69:10 70:7	36:23	adverse	59:10	89:21 90:5
70:10	addiction	13:13	61:13	90:9,10,18
71:10	58:25	53:22,25	allegations	90:20 91:9
			:	

91:15,19	32:8	25:1 51:25	applicants	77:17 80:8
92:2,8,12	analysis	56:23	74:6	80:10 81:3
92:2,8,12	15:4,7,20	86:10,12	applicant's	81:8
93:21,23	19:20,20	86:13	7:9,13,18	approxim
94:5,19,25	24:16 34:6	anybody	27:7,14,24	20:4 55:17
95:7 96:4	34:10,12	73:12	29:21	56:14
96:8	34:10,12	83:15	49:24 56:6	aptly 42:24
allow 6:12	35:23 38:4	85:16	73:20 95:4	arbiter
51:22	45:7,10,14	88:18	application	23:23
54:16 55:7	45:24	anyway 82:1	14:19 22:1	area 4:9
57:1	46:16 47:7	apologize	31:9 34:17	9:12,16
allowed 8:20	51:8 52:6	49:4 80:3	34:20 49:8	39:4 59:12
9:24 13:24	53:8,17	App 18:2	58:1 64:2	76:10
44:24 73:7	54:14 56:4	appeal 20:18	64:23	areas 9:9
80:16	56:17,21	33:10	75:16	52:21 58:9
allowing	73:4,8,11	39:25,25	76:24	59:7 75:19
9:25	73:4,8,11	40:1 95:5	79:12	78:14
allows 20:25	74:17 88:9	95:15	93:17	argue 22:23
69:2	analyze	appear 76:17	95:21	argued 82:17
alter 69:7	16:11	APPEARANCES	applicat	arguendo
69:11	43:14	3:1	7:16 29:17	15:2
alteration	44:18	appeared	71:13	argues 67:6
51:13,16	72:23	69:22	73:17,24	argument
60:22,24	88:16	appears	applied	7:13,23
68:4,25,25	analyzed	10:14	21:22,23	13:6,23
alternative	24:19	appellate	31:1	23:1 28:3
52:3 54:23	analyzing	33:9 94:17	applies	31:3 36:11
alumni 70:12	14:25 32:6	applicable	59:22	78:1 82:21
ambush 76:22	63:17	7:25 16:16	apply 11:2	83:13 88:6
amended 49:9	Angeles 3:5	45:16 52:9	21:7,9,16	arising 55:8
Amendment	annexation	applicant	23:2 31:3	arose 73:19
15:12	9:16 11:11	4:12 5:17	31:5 49:8	arrangement
50:22	30:21	6:17 10:2	81:18	75:23
Amendments	79:22	10:18 12:8	approach	Article
17:16	annexed 4:20	12:21	5:18 29:6	17:23
amount 30:9	40:24	27:10 28:3	appropriate	aside 85:22
57:6,6	41:21	28:19 30:4	7:6 38:11	asked15:18
80:5,6	43:25	30:8 31:7	65:1	27:7 29:18
86:2	78:21 79:9	41:18	approval	33:25
ample 71:2	announced	42:18,20	4:25 5:7	80:16
amplify 42:7	46:3	50:3 55:15	20:15 37:3	81:15,18
Ana 5:25	announce	57:16	79:11	82:2 83:2 86:12 94:1
8:16 15:3	93:25	75:24	approvals 44:1 71:13	95:24
20:1 21:13 25:7 26:8	94:14	76:17,20	approve 6:10	asking 37:25
39:3 47:17	announce 92:20	77:25 78:6 79:12 89:4	44:16	41:22
77:7 78:20	answer 24:6	89:8 90:11	approved	43:15
analyses	24:7,25	90:21	44:12,12	48:18
~arlaes	4.4.1.4.3	J U . 4 ±	11.12/14	10.10

	·			
57:20 67:9	55:14	44:14 45:5	72:12,17	40:25
67:12,13	attend 93:2	62:15	72:12,17	big 15:19
67:14,23	attention	64:14 65:6	79:9,20	16:8
67:14,23	14:15	81:21	83:11	bigger 14:24
71:16 78:8		91:14	bed 61:16	42:14
1	86:15 87:23	94:20	64:17	83:24
78:9,10,11 aspect 53:7	91:18	background	70:20 71:8	bills 64:24
84:15	attorney 3:7	50:20	70:20 /1:8	91:7
	50:2 97:16	backup 74:4	78:13	bit 50:20
aspersions 84:4		backwards	83:11,11	68:8 81:13
	audience 93:1		84:22	blanketly
assembly	l '	81:1	beds 6:13	72:19
86:23	augment 29:9	balance	10:13	Board 77:14
asserted	authority	71:25,25	I .	
29:22 30:4	27:12	Balboa 5:8	44:22,24 45:2 64:12	Boarding 54:7
	31:12,20 31:23	95:10,22 based6:16	72:11 80:6	Boards 31:22
asserting 28:4	authorized	7:2 10:14	80:9,9,11	Bobko 3:4
assertions	45:1	i .	80:14,19	26:22,23
30:14 56:6	availabi	14:1,21 16:15,21	81:2,3,4,6	29:5 40:20
73:15	66:9 67:1	16:25 17:7	81:9 82:20	42:24
76:16	available	18:10,12	began 76:17	46:21
assessment	6:2,18	21:18 25:2	beginning	49:12
18:13	12:16 14:9	32:14 34:7	2:9 14:18	90:10,11
assigned 5:5	70:25 81:6	35:17	begins 95:6	90:19
assist 40:21	81:10 82:3	46:12 56:6	behalf 2:7	91:10,17
Assistant	85:10,13	64:20	12:23 60:8	91:22 92:3
3:8,9	89:3	73:18	61:10	92:11,13
Associate	Avenue 3:5	74:10	behavior	92:19
3:8	average	basic 15:7	87:15	boilerplate
assume 35:3	55:16 56:3	51:17	belies 34:17	25:17
88:25	56:5,10	53:24	believe	bottom 26:11
assuming	aware 19:16	70:22	10:16,21	32:10 81:9
15:1 85:24	23:12 80:8	basically	28:2 38:10	Boulevard
assumptions	85:18	4:18 9:7	44:5 55:21	2:8 3:9
64:15	86:24	21:4 28:16	56:19	5:8
attached		basis 4:16	77:20	box 16:3
8:21	В	52:7	85:11	86:16
attachments	b 11:5 17:7	beach 1:12	87:17	break 49:13
69:17	17:9	2:8,9 3:3	believed	brevity
attack 49:15	back 4:24	3:7,10,14	39:8	73:12
attacked	5:9 14:18	4:1 26:6	believes	brief 84:18
33:11	16:5 23:21	32:17 35:1	12:3 77:23	86:20
attempt	24:20 25:1	35:9 41:6	benefits	briefing
20:20 78:5	25:19,23	42:9 52:15	64:3	42:15,17
attempted	28:5 33:4	· ·	best 69:24	bright 41:17
10:9 19:10	35:1,24	55:10 58:3	better 35:16	42:25
71:23	36:14 37:2	63:18	83:19	bring 7:16
attempts	38:2 42:13	68:11,23	beyond 15:7	87:6
	42:23			
L				

bringing	calculat	CEO 65:11	charge 55:20	3:7,7,8,9
94:20	64:20	certain 8:20	55:23	4:6,14,21
broad 21:12	California	certainly	62:23	9:15,16
35:22	1:12 2:9	68:16 84:1	83:18	11:11 15:5
54:21 67:7	4:1 7:20	89:20	charged 63:2	15:15,23
78:9,17	17:23,25	certainty	64:16 78:3	15:24 16:4
91:2	18:5 53:14	44:11	83:17	16:5,9,22
broader	57:6 80:15	certific	charges	18:21 19:8
17:24 18:6	97:5	10:4,10	85:20	23:22,23
67:19	called 20:5	19:11 22:2	charging	24:6 26:6
68:18	66:24	22:4,7	56:11	26:19,20
1	camera 74:20	29:19	cheaper 78:1	27:3,6,13
Broadway 3:13	cards 43:18	certified	check 27:19	27:18,25
ł	1	2:11 22:6	47:21	28:4,7,9
broken 21:14	care 8:4,5,7		checked	28:15,22
brought	8:9,9,11	97:4		29:7,16
14:14	8:12,12,22	certify 97:5	47:20,25	30:7,16
40:16	8:23 9:6		48:12,13 55:25	30:7,12
87:13	10:18	cetera 59:14	checking	32:5,7,13
Brown 3:8	18:23,23	chagrin	31:24	35:9,12,16
6:4,6 14:7	22:21,22	19:25		36:12
14:13	44:22	challenge	children 8:9	36:12
78:18 79:7	54:11 64:6	25:21 26:1		
79:19,23	66:2 87:22	28:17	67:21	40:13,17
Brown's	case 28:1	46:25	choose 85:9	41:21
81:14	30:9,14	chance 76:20	chores 52:23	42:11,16
Building	52:10	change 51:11	58:11 59:7	42:20 43:8
41:8 53:14	60:20,25	82:11	59:14	43:10,25
57:7	61:2,12,22	changed 7:22	75:21	46:14 47:1 50:2 51:10
built41:7	64:5 66:1	41:20	76:12	
41:14	67:9 71:19	45:13	chosen 52:25	51:11,15
bunch 18:15	71:19	75:14	58:13	53:12,21
86:20,22	87:16	changes	circles 45:6	54:2,6,10
bungalows	92:25	13:16	Circuit 55:7	54:13
42:9	cases 7:21	45:12	circumst	57:12 59:6
burden 51:10	30:10	78:21	7:24 43:23	60:1,18
60:17,19	case-by	character	citation	61:7,16,18
61:16,18	52:7	69:7 76:19	23:10	63:17,21
business	cast 22:19	characte	24:10 39:7	64:22
41:25	casts 84:4	29:15	cited 7:20	65:10 67:6
70:23	catch 33:13	74:25	15:18 16:6	67:17,18
74:14	catchall	75:12,13	30:15	68:11,23
buying 27:16	21:4	75:15	37:13 40:9	70:5,8,22
· C	category	77:19,23	cites 18:8	71:2,15,17
	22:24 45:1	characte	21:11	71:19,24
C 25:7	Cathy 3:7	7:19 12:4	71:17	72:12,17
CA 3:5,10,14	29:13 50:1	characte	citizens	72:22 76:1
Cal 18:2	caught 36:4	30:10	48:14 86:5	77:2,9
calculation	36:6 40:24	76:17	City 2:8 3:3	78:13 79:9
67:1				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	·	

				·
79:15,20	29:1 33:18	E2.14 14	76.10	74:15 75:2
79:15,20	33:22,24	53:14,14 54:23 57:7	76:10 communic	concludes
80:10,11	34:7 83:10	i	89:24	12:15
•	•	57:11,11	91:24	18:25
81:21,24	90:25 91:5	58:3 76:15	Į.	1
82:2,20	92:1	78:21,22	community	20:13 24:1
83:18	clearance	81:16,19	8:4,7,22	64:21 71:9
85:17 86:1	31:10,11	Codes 41:10	9:6,8,11	96:4
86:1,7	31:13	41:14	18:23	conclusion
89:12,14	Clearances	collect	22:21 41:6	6:25 18:11
90:16	23:6 40:16	65:21	55:4 58:24	24:7,9
91:12	clearly 41:1	83:19	59:20 66:4	concurred
95:19	41:23	collecti	67:2 80:7	7:1
City's 14:15	88:12	71:8	compared	conditional
16:14	Clerk 3:9	come 23:8,9	63:21	70:10
18:12	cliche 40:4	25:22 27:3	84:24	78:11
27:21 34:6	client 13:12	27:10,15	competent	conditions
35:13,20	clients 7:16		23:17,19	6:10 11:9
38:20	55:20	33:14 46:4	25:14	30:18,22
43:14	clock 95:6	49:14 52:8	complete	39:21
60:23	close 25:22	62:14	31:9 56:24	53:21 57:3
64:20 68:4	45:18 46:6	69:18	completed	78:12,13
68:15 69:1	66:20	comes 31:17	13:2	conduct
69:5,16	78:24 88:1	coming 42:23	completely	20:12 26:4
72:1,10	closed 7:3	comment 14:7	22:12 57:1	36:18 79:1
80:4 83:24	47:2 71:6	31:16 32:3	92:7	89:15
84:3	71:6	32:19	complete	conducted
claim 25:3	closely 8:13	40:15 46:9	7:17	7:23 9:21
26:18 32:4	closing	46:12	compliance	16:14
43:9	66:25	47:14	22:18	conferring
claiming	closure	48:11 64:4	32:20,21	93:13
14:15 34:1	66:14,18	72:6 78:7	33:1 79:15	confiden
clarific	Coalition	80:1 81:2	complied	74:7,9,23
31:19 41:6	22:14	81:14	41:18	91:8,20,20
clarific	Coast 80:14	commentary	complies	confiden
16:23	95:16	70:7	31:24	91:13,16
clarified	code 8:3,6	comments	comply 17:10	confines
33:17	9:2,6 10:6	39:5 40:14	20:14	72:22
clarify 93:4	11:3 18:21	47:5 62:17	41:23,24	confirma
94:8	19:16,19	73:1 80:22	concept	86:2
clarity's	19:20 20:3	84:5,13,18	44:15	conflict
91:23	20:11,23	Commission	concern	79:3
class 83:7	21:10,10	9:1,3,13	83:25	conflicts
classifi	22:20	20:16,23	concerned	16:22
8:13	23:12	21:1	44:15 57:4	76:16
classified	25:10	common 52:21	74:14	conformance
8:4 18:22	32:24 35:5	58:9 59:7	concerns	15:10
Clay 95:13	37:7 41:8	59:12	65:2 72:1	conformed
clear 19:3	52:15	75:19	74:12,13	41:14

	·			
conforming	continue	77:24	34:9,11,13	11:25
79:14	6:12 7:5	83:11	36:14,15	63:14
conforms	11:25 69:4		37:3,7,11	64:12,19
11:8	88:15	Council	37:22 38:5	77:17
confused	89:11	71:15	38:21 39:1	currently
32:10	95:23	80:10	39:4,6,8,9	23:5 26:15
confusion	continued	95:15,16	39:10,17	54:5
22:3	4:8 7:7	95:19,20	39:10,17	cursory
congregate	11:14 70:9	95:19,20	39:19,24	21:18
8:5 18:23	71:3	Counsel 7:10	40:16	customers
44:21	continues	7:13,18	41:16 43:1	63:2
connection	11:13	28:12	43:5,20	03.2
39:2 62:5	continuing	29:21	44:1,16	ם
83:8	45:16	i)	damage 61:5
consider	continuo	47:20 76:25	45:1,12 46:15,24	data 71:18
91:21	68:10	93:13	46:15,24	85:2 93:20
consider	contractual	count 61:16	48:18	date 80:19
5:15	75:23 77:4	70:20 71:8	62:21,21	82:9,10
considered	contrast	78:14	78:2 79:18	97:17
51:8 52:4	17:1 30:25	counts 84:22	79:19	dated 10:11
53:9 62:5	controls	County 8:2,6	County's	77:9 97:20
74:22 89:2	54:1 78:15	8:19 9:5	15:4 26:1	DAVE 3:8
considering	convene 96:5	9:16,17,21	couple 6:7	DAVIS 3:12
13:20 69:5	convenient	10:3,9,11	20:1 32:3	day 8:9,11
84:22	90:15	15:9,11,25	47:5 66:23	8:12,12,23
consistent	conviction	16:11,18	84:18	43:12
9:3 21:1	27:23	17:22	course 13:4	92:24
constitute	convince	18:12,16	14:20 26:7	days 9:24
13:17	39:17,19	18:20,25	42:20	43:13
Constitu	cooperation	19:3,4,11	91:13,14	68:12,17
15:13	85:2,15	19:16,20	91:13,14	78:20 82:9
17:17,23	copies 9:17	19:22	court 23:17	94:23 95:6
18:5,7	40:16	20:11,16	23:18,24	Dealing
Constitu	copy 85:4	20:21 21:5	· ·	_
15:7 25:20	correct 6:24	21:7,19	49:13	December
constraints	44:4 57:13	22:10,20	courtroom	32:15 34:9
55:8	76:21	23:2,3,5,7	37:9	39:2
contact 47:9	79:16,23	23:12,16	creates 56:1	decide 87:19
contacted	92:12,18	23:20 24:3	critical	95:17
9:17	96:6	24:10,12	35:18,19	decided
contempl	correctly	24:10,12	43:5,6,18	15:10 23:3
25:8,18	77:8	25:18,21	CSR 1:24	deciding
26:8	correspo	26:3,9	97:23	43:4,4
contention	29:15	30:17,23	CUP 12:25	decision
4:18	cost 55:11	31:12,20	13:25 16:9	22:13
continuance	56:5 62:13	31:21,23	17:7 35:8	23:25
28:24	62:18	31:25,25	cure 21:17	25:15 27:1
43:15	63:20	32:18 33:6	current	27:2 38:11
			 - 	38:13 43:6

46:3 88:17	38:10	53:5 58:18	disabili	dispute 81:7
95:25 96:1	49:23	76:13	59:20	district
declaration	63:11,15	77:11	disability	8:20 9:4,8
37:3,6	81:9,25	determines	55:9 60:9	9:11 11:10
declare	densities	57:7	60:14	11:22 21:2
95:25	71:1	detrimental	61:10,13	53:13 57:5
declared	density	13:13	62:3,9	districts
25:13	70:23	detrimen	63:11 66:5	54:6,13
decline	78:15	13:23	73:20	Doctrine
84:24	deny 32:13	development	disabled	23:25
declining	35:16	10:23	50:25	document
84:22	88:10	80:10	51:22,23	20:24
decrease	denying	dialog 89:11	54:17,19	81:25 89:1
80:6	36:12	Diego 18:1	67:20	document
decreased	Department	difference	72:15 83:7	6:17 56:13
81:6	18:20	26:10	disagree	87:10
deemed 11:11	dependen	different	34:19	90:12 91:1
59:24 95:5	66:14	27:9 28:8	39:25 65:3	91:6
defend 20:20	deposit 55:6	41:16 42:4	74:24	doing 19:23
defer 89:19	deprived	42:6,8	disagrees	26:16
define 61:13	17:18	52:8,8,9	5:18	27:21
defined	Deputy 3:7	53:11 56:1	disappoi	34:18 39:2
44:21	50:2	68:21	85:8	39:7,8,14
51:16 58:2	described	86:22	disconce	40:7,9
58:4 60:19 60:24	35:7 58:21	93:14	87:21	52:5 68:22
defines 8:6	designated 4:6	differing 77:24	discovered 4:16	72:11 86:2 86:8 94:19
definition	designed	differs	discredit	donated
52:16 53:7	75:1	62:19	28:18	65:15
75:10	despite 46:2	difficult	discrepa	DONOUGH
delay 36:17	detail 4:17	44:17	16:25	47:13,16
delivery	12:6 45:11	72:23	discreti	48:21
59:21	73:9	diligence	71:13	Donough's
demonstr	details	27:22 28:7	discuss 72:4	48:11
10:24	66:12	direct 12:13	discussed	doors 47:2
17:14	73:12	61:3,23	84:9	doubt 47:7
demonstr	determin	directed 7:6	discussing	Draft12:11
10:17	11:4 44:10	direction	81:10	draw 70:22
denial 6:14	74:21	97:12	discussion	drive 87:9
12:7,11,14	determin	directly	22:1 33:4	drop 44:14
36:11 44:8	44:6 92:6	20:9 50:14	38:9 47:2	dropped 22:6
45:17	93:9	55:8 66:19	49:3 72:21	due 7:9 15:8
47:11 67:6	determine	73:19	discussions	17:18,19
70:20	6:23 28:5	76:15	7:14 25:6	18:4,16
80:13	36:14	Directors	47:10	20:6 23:21
93:15,16	77:15,22	31:22	disk 87:7	27:21 28:7
95:16,18	determined	77:14	dispropo	28:12 29:2
denied 37:21	7:2 30:15	dirth 66:8	84:23	33:2 34:5
	;			
		·		

34:14	effectively	62:13	evaluation	38:25
36:11	28:3	equal 50:25	6:22 19:4	excuse 11:7
38:10,12	either 8:4	60:14 62:3	evening 87:2	25:10
38:17,19	18:22	63:11 66:5	87:6	29:24 30:6
38:23,24	48:22 51:9	equaled 31:4	eventually	51:13
39:12 40:3	69:16 70:9	equals 31:5	65:11	61:21 70:9
40:10	84:14 86:6	equitable	Evergreen	72:1
42:18	95:25	71:25	71:18	exempt 47:22
43:17	elements	equivalent	everybody	53:20
48:19,21	51:6 75:9	52:17 58:5	92:1	exempting
73:6 94:4	84:14	58:23 76:6	evidence	54:1
94:9	employee	ESQ1:11 3:4	19:7,8,14	exemption
	97:15	3:13	19:7,8,14	50:7 54:21
duty 51:3	enactment	essential	23:12	56:24
dwelling	80:4	75:9	27:25	67:25 78:8
51:1,22,24		essentially	28:14,18	78:10,10
51:1,22,24	encourage 59:9	53:20	28:14,18	78:10,10
52:19 53:1	enforce	53:20	69:15,18	81:12,17
54:17,20	47:24	63:19	69:13,16	81:12,17
58:7,14	enforcement	establish	85:19 87:5	82:3,7
59:4 60:15	8:3 10:6	40:23	evidenced	84:2,3
62:4 67:10	18:21	51:19	64:3	exist 47:24
76:9	19:16	established	evolution	existed 41:1
dwellings	1	4:20 7:19	86:12	existing
8:21	20:11,17 23:12,14	7:21 8:1	evolving	66:2
0:21	engaged	8:15 10:20	86:6	expand 42:10
E	36:12	11:6,14,16	ex 15:5	expand42.10
e 21:22	enhance 62:8	14:16,20	Exact 42:13	27:17
earlier	62:10	16:17	exactly 40:8	expect 13:11
17:20	enjoy 60:15	30:19	40:8 48:16	94:25
29:15	62:4 63:12	32:23	48:16,16	expected
64:14 68:9	entered	41:19	examination	95:20
71:5	80:18	43:25	85:18	expense
early 76:16	entire 43:18	61:12 68:9	examine	55:17
easily 65:7	53:1 58:14	71:15	28:16	expenses
84:6	68:6 82:16	establishes	Examiner 4:6	52:24
easy 86:13	93:6	44:8	example 17:2	55:16
economic	entirely	estimate	18:19	56:12
85:7	33:12 42:5	55:19 56:5	excellent	58:12
economic	entities	Estimated	45:24	59:13
64:8,13	50:22 51:3	56:7	exception	75:21
effect 10:19	entitled	estimation	67:8	76:12
13:13	30:21 84:2	45:8	exceptions	85:20
25:16	entity 31:4	estopped	50:22 63:8	expensive
66:19	32:25 52:3	28:4	excessively	63:22
78:22 81:5	52:8 65:23	et 59:14	84:23	expertise
82:8 95:6	65:24 85:4	evaluated	excited 74:1	85:12
effective	environment	6:5	exclusive	expires
82:9				<u>F</u>

00.15	== 00	1 05 00	1 02 70 70	16.10
80:17	55:23	25:22	93:10,18	16:12
explain 4:17	1	44:13	feel 78:16	32:14,18
6:4 87:11	57:2 64:1	63:22	feels 46:3	35:18,19
explaining	64:6 66:2	66:12	fees 55:19	36:8 38:20
49:21	67:11	73:16 75:4	•	43:20
explanat	78:19	88:9 89:18	58:1	57:22 60:2
17:2	79:18	farther	fell 22:24	61:25 68:1
extend 88:9	80:15 91:3	29:20	felt 62:1	68:2,13,15
extensive	fact 15:16	fashion 5:20	1	68:17 92:9
78:15	19:3 27:10	90:20	FEP 82:11	findings
extent 38:19		favor 13:7	fewer 8:22	60:5 61:6
72:3 91:11	31:10 36:5	February 6:8		61:7
extra 42:19	38:1 41:5	7:12 10:3	figure 39:21	finds 9:3
e-mailed	44:5,19	13:3 14:10	filings	21:1
70:8	46:2 47:21	15:17	85:12	fine 32:9
100	47:23	1	final 27:1,2	•
F	62:21 63:1		f .	fire 10:12
f 21:24	64:23 85:8			23:6 31:10
facilities	92:16	30:8 33:23	_	31:11,12
5:24 6:12	facto 15:5	43:10 59:5		1
7:19 8:11	factor 63:10	68:7 69:14	finances	31:23
8:14,22	factors	69:20,21	56:4	40:16
9:6,20	51:15 62:5		financial	87:17
10:18,20	63:16 67:5	73:14 77:1	1	first1:10
10:25 11:2	69:5 70:19	78:22	55:12,13	3:11 14:14
12:4 15:3	facts 32:9	80:17	55:15	19:10 22:4
17:15 22:6	52:9 61:2	81:11 82:7	1	24:12,14
31:10,14	61:22	86:21	61:15,17	26:24 29:8
43:24	factual	87:11	73:17,19	29:16,25
44:22 47:8	į –	Federal	73:24 74:2	L .
50:4 53:23		11:19 18:7	i e	34:17
54:11	failure 31:3	i e	78:5 85:2	38:15 50:1
62:10,20	fair 60:10	61:12	93:20	52:14
62:24	60:19,24	79:11	financially	53:17
63:22 64:7	61:11	81:12,16	97:14	57:21,24
66:3,21	71:25	81:18,24	financials	58:22 60:7
67:3 70:13	fairly 45:13	82:3,6	82:23	62:7,20
78:1 80:11	57:8	88:25	find 9:22	73:16
82:25 84:8	fairness	Federation	21:18	77:19,25
95:12	30:24 73:7	18:1	30:17,24	81:1
facility 7:5	falls 13:8	fee 50:12	33:14	fit 76:3
8:3,4,5,7	43:18	52:13 55:2	35:10 38:7	five 60:6
8:7 9:7,10	family 8:12	55:5,22	49:9 54:22	61:6
16:17 17:9	8:17,21,23	71:11,14	72:7 73:13	five-minute
18:23,23	52:18 58:6	71:16 72:4	85:8	49:13
19:17	58:23	73:16	finding	Floor3:5
22:21	67:10 76:7	84:15	10:21	folks 45:12
23:13	far 15:5,7	88:12 93:6	15:24,25	47:3 93:1
30:18 39:3				
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

follow 93:5	frequent	46:4 50:20	32:13 33:4	groups 54:4
following	89:23	73:8,10	35:2,7,16	guess 48:10
8:25 9:16	front6:2	82:12 85:5	36:15 37:8	59:22
49:11 60:5	21:4 32:7	85:16	37:9,10	guidelines
follows 54:8	48:18	given 16:7	38:20	24:15
foreclosed	49:20	19:5 22:22	40:20	guilty 40:4
28:4 32:6	87:17	33:8 34:21	43:16	
foregoing	fully 56:9	38:1 39:14	44:13 45:6	H
97:6,8,12	65:11	41:22	52:6 55:1	h 17:8,13
form 31:9	functional	43:23	59:21	handicapped
formed 31:22	52:17 58:5	49:22	65:11,16	8:10
former 41:2	58:22	67:25	65:18	happen 15:21
formulated	function	70:25 74:7	66:16,17	20:12,21
54:24	76:6	85:6	71:6 72:13	36:16 37:4
forth 21:12	fundamental	global 85:6	72:14,18	37:4,9,23
21:20,20	51:11,13	go 24:4 25:1	78:18 79:2	37:23
97:7	51:16	25:25	80:3 85:24	38:16
forward 27:3	60:22,24	27:19	87:20	40:11 47:4
27:11	68:4,25	29:11	92:15,21	72:10
45:14 46:4	fundamen	34:12 35:7		happened
80:19	69:6,10	36:13 37:2	good 6 : 6	33:6 34:23
82:10 90:1	further 4:23	i .	36:23 45:9	37:7 48:17
found 10:2,8	6:21 16:15	39:18,23	84:17 87:2	48:19
19:2 30:25	28:25	42:13 45:5	gotten 33:14	72:17
37:21	36:19,19	45:10	government	81:20
48:13,13	38:4 40:7	46:15,25	31:4 45:11	happening
56:25	40:12,13	47:10	50:22 51:3	27:23
73:25	72:5,18,21	55:24 65:5	52:3	happens 33:1 39:16,18
four 4:8,18 5:16,24	88:16 91:24	66:11	governme	39:10,10
· ·	91:24	71:12 78:4	1	happy 13:5
9:18,20,24 12:7 13:1	97:12,14	81:1 82:1 85:19	governments 31:22	42:16 46:5
13:25 23:5	future 63:14	89:17 95:8	Grand 3:5	56:22
32:16 44:8	96:2	goes 14:20	grant 49:10	82:21
47:8 59:2	90.2	23:21	51:3 60:3	89:11,24
60:4 66:1	G	24:19,23	84:3	91:12
66:7,15	general 25:8	33:11	granted 24:3	hard 19:9
68:2,10	55:16	36:24	31:1	71:20 87:8
77:7,15	generally	64:14	granting	hardship
frame 14:6	6:3 7:21	72:18	51:9	50:11 74:5
frankly	18:2 35:22	going 4:23	great 86:8	75:2 85:3
85:20	GERSHON 3:4	6:7 12:25	greater 12:6	hate 89:14
86:23	getting	13:22 14:8	group 5:23	head 24:25
1 00.11	19:19	14:18 16:5	52:18 53:8	40:22
88:11	i e	1	54:8,12	88:12
fraterni	25:22	25:23 26:9	21.0,12	
	25:22 66:11 70:9	25:23 26:9	58:6 59:1	health 61:3
fraterni			•	health 61:3 61:23
fraterni 54:7	66:11 70:9	27:6,17,22	58:6 59:1	
fraterni 54:7 free 62:14	66:11 70:9 78:24	27:6,17,22 28:5 29:9	58:6 59:1 59:16,16	61:23

46:5 82:20 73:16,20 homes 8:12 66:24,24 18:8 82:24 74:18 8:23 10:16 71:7 impose 51: 95:17 77:22 22:8,15 housing 53:22 heard15:14 78:25 24:13 41:7 19:17 60:16 16:2,7 84:12 59:3,6 23:13 61:15,17 18:17 19:6 86:21 87:1 63:23 50:21 78:13 20:18 21:5 87:19 88:2 64:17 66:7 60:10,19 imposed 57 21:16 88:15 90:7 66:10,15 60:25 57:10 22:23 91:25 66:23 70:2 61:11 imposeble 24:11 92:21 95:9 70:25 71:5 63:12 36:1,2 31:5 33:8 95:23 96:2 77:7,16 67:21 inability 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 77:3 35:20 43:17 47:4 13:1,8,11 78:14 10:2 77:3 48:4,5,15 13:14,18 10:12 40:21 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inasmuch 82:13 87					
46:5 82:20 73:16,20 homes 8:12 66:24,24 18:8 82:24 74:18 8:23 10:16 71:7 impose 51: 95:17 77:22 22:8,15 housing 53:22 16:2,7 84:12 59:3,6 23:13 61:15,17 18:17 19:6 86:21 87:1 63:23 50:21 78:13 20:18 21:5 87:19 88:2 66:10,15 60:25 57:10 22:23 91:25 66:23 70:2 61:11 imposed57 24:11 92:21 95:9 70:25 71:5 63:12 36:1,2 31:5 33:8 95:23 96:2 77:7,16 67:21 inability 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 house1:10 87:17 35:20 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 78:14 77:3 10:22 87:17 35:20 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inaccurate 69:1 69:15 inaccurate 8:12 42,6 5:1 55:2,23 6:8 8eights:16 64:19 51:1 11:15 12:2 86:33,15 63:13 63:13,15 63:13 63:13 69:15 63:1	hear 44:20	70:11	66:15,18	54:8 56:9	Importantly
82:24 74:18 8:23 10:16 71:7 impose 51: 95:17 77:22 22:8,15 19:17 60:16 16:2,7 84:12 59:3,6 23:13 61:15,17 18:17 19:6 86:21 87:1 63:23 50:21 78:13 20:18 21:5 87:19 88:2 64:17 66:7 60:10,19 impose 57 21:16 88:15 90:7 66:10,15 60:25 57:10 22:23 91:25 66:23 70:2 61:11 imposs ble 24:11 92:21 95:9 70:25 71:5 63:12 36:1,2 31:5 33:8 31:2 95:15,22 97:7,16 67:21 imbosible 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 hopefully 87:17 35:20 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 13:14,18 10:12 40:21 36:1,2 48:4,5,15 13:14,18 10:12 40:21 89:16 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 55:17,18 69:15 inasmuch 6:29, 9,20 6:5 59:4,19 91:15 62:12 69:1 5:2,23 6:8	1	i .		1	· -
95:17	82:24		1	· ·	impose 51:9
heard 15:14 78:25 24:13 41:7 19:17 60:16 16:2,7 84:12 59:3,6 23:13 61:15,17 18:17 19:6 86:21 87:1 63:23 50:21 78:13 20:18 21:5 87:19 88:2 64:17 66:7 60:10,19 60:25 57:10 22:23 91:25 66:23 70:2 61:11 imposed 57 57:10 22:23 91:25 70:25 71:5 63:12 36:1,2 36:1,2 28:18 31:2 95:15,22 77:7,16 67:21 impossible 36:1,2 31:5 33:8 95:23 96:2 77:7,16 67:21 inability 35:17,19 36:13 4:15,16 hopefully 87:17 35:20 inaccurate 43:17 47:4 13:14,18 78:14 house 1:10 40:21 89:16 82:13 87:4 49:20 3:11 10:12 40:21 89:16 82:13 87:4 4eights 4:9 55:17,18 68:13,15 6:20,23 6:8 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 68:13,15 <td>95:17</td> <td>77:22</td> <td>22:8,15</td> <td>housing</td> <td>_</td>	95:17	77:22	22:8,15	housing	_
18:17 19:6 86:21 87:1 63:23 50:21 78:13 20:18 21:5 87:19 88:2 64:17 66:7 60:10,19 imposed 57 21:16 88:15 90:7 66:10,15 60:25 57:10 22:23 91:25 66:23 70:2 61:11 imposeble 24:11 92:21 95:9 70:25 71:5 63:12 36:1,2 31:5 33:8 95:23 96:2 77:7,16 67:21 inability 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 houselly 87:17 35:20 43:17 47:4 43:18,1 house 20:1 77:3 77:3 13:20 48:4,5,15 13:14,18 house 1:10 40:21 89:16 10ea 28:10 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 40:21 89:16 69:15 1nches 20:1 82:13 87:4 49:20 3:11 10:12 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20:1 69:15 1nches 20:1 69:15 1nches 20:1 69:15 1nches 20:1 60:20:5 1nches 20:1 60:20:5 1nches 20:1 60:20:5 60:20:5 1nclude 23:1 44:9 20:5 1nclude 23:1	heard 15:14	78:25	l '	1	60:16
20:18 21:5	16:2,7	84:12	59:3,6	23:13	61:15,17
21:16	18:17 19:6	86:21 87:1	63:23	50:21	78:13
22:23	20:18 21:5	87:19 88:2	64:17 66:7	60:10,19	imposed 57:3
24:11 92:21 95:9 70:25 71:5 63:12 36:1,2 28:18 31:2 95:15,22 77:7,16 67:21 inability 36:13 hearings 4:8 hopefully 87:17 35:20 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 13:1,8,11 hours 20:1 Imaccurate 43:17 47:4 13:1,8,11 hours 20:1 Imaccurate 48:4,5,15 13:14,18 78:14 idea 28:10 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inasmuch 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 82:13 87:4 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20: 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identical 69:1 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 1:15 inclined 5:2,23 6:8 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:22,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 8:19,24	21:16	88:15 90:7	66:10,15	60:25	57:10
28:18 31:2 95:15,22 77:7,16 67:21 inability 36:13 hearings 4:8 hopefully 87:17 35:20 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 77:3 48:4,5,15 13:14,18 hours 20:1 77:3 48:21 40:21 89:16 72:9 78:1 13:19 housel:10 40:21 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inampprop. 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 8earing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20:1 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:20,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 11legally 8:19,24 14:13 held 7:21 household 7	22:23	91:25	66:23 70:2	61:11	impossible
31:5 33:8 95:23 96:2 91:4 hydrants 35:17,19 36:13 4:15,16 84:21 77:3 43:17 47:4 13:1,8,11 hours 20:1 77:3 48:4,5,15 13:14,18 78:14 idea 28:10 sp:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inamprop. 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 hearing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20: 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 6:9,9,20 6:5 79:4,19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:9,9,20 6:22,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11	24:11	92:21 95:9	70:25 71:5	63:12	36:1,2
36:13 hearings 4:8 hopefully 87:17 35:20 39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 77:3 43:17 47:4 13:1,8,11 13:14,18 78:14 14:22 77:3 48:4,5,15 13:19 13:19 14:10:12 89:16 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 10:21 89:16 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 14:41:0.12 69:15 10:40:21 69:1 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 14:41:15 10:12 69:15 10:40:42 69:1 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 14:41:49 91:15 10:40:42 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 10:11:40:42 68:13,15 5:2,23 6:8 Heights:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:29,9,20 Heights:4:9 77:17 12:5 27:9 10:10:40:43 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 10:10:40:43 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held7:21 <t< td=""><td>28:18 31:2</td><td>95:15,22</td><td>77:7,16</td><td>67:21</td><td>inability</td></t<>	28:18 31:2	95:15,22	77:7,16	67:21	inability
39:15 43:8 4:15,16 84:21 77:3 43:17 47:4 13:1,8,11 78:14 1dea 28:10 77:3 48:4,5,15 13:19 40:21 89:16 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inasmuch 69:1 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 69:1 hearing 1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20: 11:12:0 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 68:13,15 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 10usehold 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 14:13 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 17:22 19:5 19:18 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 19:18 imagine 15:9 8:11 10:20 17:5 93:18 53:9,10,12 </td <td>31:5 33:8</td> <td>95:23 96:2</td> <td>91:4</td> <td>hydrants</td> <td>35:17,19</td>	31:5 33:8	95:23 96:2	91:4	hydrants	35:17,19
43:17 47:4 13:1,8,11 hours 20:1 78:14 13:14,18 78:14 13:14,18 13:19 40:21 89:16 89:16 89:16 89:16 89:16 89:16 89:16 69:15 10 asmuch 69:1 69:1 69:1 69:1 10 asmuch 69:1 69:1 69:1 10 asmuch 69:1 11 asmuch 11 asmuch 11 asmuch 11 asmuch 11 asmuch 11 asmuch	36:13	hearings 4:8	hopefully	87:17	35:20
48:4,5,15 13:14,18 78:14 40:21 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inasmuch 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 hearing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20: 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 5:2,23 6:8 Height 8:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:9,9,20 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 11egally 8:19,24 14:13 held 7:21 housekee 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately	39:15 43:8	4:15,16	84:21		inaccurate
72:9 78:1 13:19 house1:10 40:21 89:16 81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inasmuch 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 hearing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20: 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 5:2,23 6:8 Heights:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:9,9,20 Heights:4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 1legally 8:19,24 14:13 held7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 <			hours 20:1		77:3
81:21 49:20 3:11 10:12 69:15 inasmuch 82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 69:1 hearing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:22,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	,		78:14		inapprop
82:13 87:4 89:15 43:18 identical 69:1 hearing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20: 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 6:2,23 6:8 Height 8:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 1legally 8:19,24 14:13 held 7:21 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 magine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	1	13:19	house1:10	1	89:16
hearing1:7 92:21 55:17,18 5:17 inches 20:20:5 1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 identify 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 5:2,23 6:8 Height 8:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:22,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	1	1	3:11 10:12	1	
1:11 2:7 93:25 95:8 56:5,7 91:15 20:5 4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 5:2,23 6:8 Height 8:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 38:11,17 8:19:8 8:19,24 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 10:21 25 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21		1	i .	1	1
4:4,6 5:1 96:5 59:4,19 91:15 inclined 5:2,23 6:8 Height 8:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 68:13,15 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	, –	į –		ī	inches 20:4
5:2,23 6:8 Height 8:16 64:19 11:15 12:2 68:13,15 6:9,9,20 Heights 4:9 71:18 11:15 12:2 84:3 6:22,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21		}	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i e
6:9,9,20 6:22,25,25 7:7,11,13 7:18 12:9 12:10 13:3 14:10,10 14:13 16:10,19 16:20 17:5 16:20 17:5 17:22 19:5 17:22 19:5 26:8 39:2 71:18 77:17 77:17 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 12:5 27:9 11:15 12:2 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 14:9 11:15 12:2 12:5 27:9 11:18 12:5 27:9 14:9 11:15 12:2 12:5 27:9 14:9 11:15 12:2 12:5 27:9 14:10 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:12 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:9 14:19 12:5 27:19 12:10 12:10 12:10 13:10 12:10 13:10 14:10 14:10 14:10 14:10 14:10 14:10 15:18 14:10 11:15 12:2 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:12 1	· ·	i e	1	f	i e
6:22,25,25 5:25 15:3 77:17 12:5 27:9 include 23 7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21		. –			
7:7,11,13 21:13 25:7 household 28:6 40:23 44:9 7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21		_	1	1	1
7:18 12:9 26:8 39:4 52:22,23 42:12 included 12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	1	J .		1	1
12:10 13:3 47:17 77:8 53:4 58:10 illegally 8:19,24 14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21			1	· ·	· ·
14:10,10 78:20 58:11,17 42:4 19:8 21:2 14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	1 I		,	f .	l '
14:13 held 7:21 housekee imagination 72:12 21:21 25 16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21	i I		1		
16:10,19 15:18 19:5 50:6 52:12 72:12 includes 16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21			i '	ì	
16:20 17:5 93:18 52:14,16 imagine 15:9 8:11 17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21				I = =	1
17:22 19:5 help 6:22 53:9,10,12 62:25 63:4 including 21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21			ì	ž	
21:21 25:2 89:2 53:20 54:5 immediately 8:10,21			1	cause cause	8:11
	1	-	1		1
1		i i	B	. –	· ·
•	l .	_		ľ	
	1			-	í
	1				52:20 58:8
35:25 36:1 hit 40:22 63:9 67:5 implemen 71:14	1			1	ſ
36:14,15 hold 36:14 67:11,15 14:21 inclusive	!	j		•	
					18:6 43:3
				_	incompetent
46:2,6,7 home 10:4,7 76:10 78:9 implied 85:2 8:11	1	ì			
		'		_	inconsis
48:15 49:4 27:16,16 93:16 44:12 76:21,23		i i		_	
	1	· ·	i		incorporate
69:21 31:24 41:11 54:7 21:3 53:7 92:10	l l	· 1		_	-
		J	***** J**/	22.0 00.7	J & U
		·			

increase	14:9 15:1	31:23	25:11,14	items 17:7
69:8	15:2 16:19	instance	investig	I cems I / . /
incurred	16:21	75:11	86:8	J
13:12	18:15 27:3	instances	investig	Janet 3:8
independ	28:15	4:13 63:5	7:24 16:15	6:4 78:18
86:1 92:8	43:10,11	instantly	20:11 40:7	January 26:6
92:17	55:13,15	85:6	involving	68:18
indicated	60:1 64:3	institut	4:9 5:24	75:25 77:9
4:11 16:14	64:21,25	64:1	Isaac 3:13	79:8
30:11	65:2 71:2	insuffic	12:23	job 1:24
31:13	71:20,21	69:9 71:17	57:19	45:24 86:8
individual	71:21,24	intent 9:4	issuance	JOHNSON 3:8
51:22,23	72:2,3	21:2	9:12 50:14	joint 52:20
59:15	73:8,24	interact	issue 14:6	53:2 58:8
63:10	74:2,8,8	75:18	14:11,25	58:15
73:23 74:6	74:11,11	interaction	15:8 17:19	jointly
75:19,22	74:11,11	59:6	23:3,21	52:19,25
91:4	77:3 78:5	interactive	•	58:7,13
individuals	82:25 83:9	52:18 58:6		76:8
54:19 55:9	83:18	58:25 75:5	33:2 38:23	judgment
59:19 60:9	88:13	76:8	38:24	22:20
60:13 61:4	89:22,25	interest	43:18	judicial
61:10 62:3	91:8,11,24		52:14	16:12 37:2
62:9 63:11	92:4,23	interested	64:22 65:6	37:6
66:4,9,12	95:5	97:15	65:8,22	Judy 87:2
67:20	informed 8:2	interesting	67:4,22	jurisdic
72:15	18:21	46:13	68:7,24	16:17
74:13	75:25	83:13	69:13,25	23:18,19
83:20	76:23,25	interject	70:5,13	25:14 41:2
Indus 4:10	initial 5:13	94:2	74:3 78:18	jurisdic
5:9 6:12	17:4 33:4	interpre	81:12,22	23:23
6:16 7:3,4	81:14	32:24	82:10,13	justice
9:23 12:13	initially	interpre	83:5,22	28:11,21
56:14,15	22:11	60:20,25	84:1,2,3	
87:3	initiated	interpreted	87:18	K
ineffective	20:21	22:21	89:12,16	K3:4
25:15	41:24	45:15	89:20 90:8	keep 42:22
infer 23:7	injunctive	interrupt	90:15	64:19 79:1
inference	23:17	5:12	issued 9:20	keeps 47:18
19:2,12	innocent	Intersch	9:23 10:1	key 63:16
inferences	40:4	18:1,1	21:15	Kiff 3:8 5:4
23:7	input 92:22	intervene	issues 16:21	5:11,19,21
infers 18:9	insofar 57:4	88:21	32:6 70:18	12:19
information	inspection	introduced	86:22,24	31:16,19
4:16 6:4	10:12	6:21 77:16	87:10,13	33:25 45:9
6:18,21	inspections	intrusive	87:14,23	45:22 46:8
7:2,10	23:6	73:25 75:1	90:3 95:15	46:13 47:5
9:14 14:1	Inspector	invalid	item 5:23	49:1,6
'				57:15 79:3
. I		<u> </u>		·

80:1 82:5	landlord	61:11	line 26:11	location
88:4,19,23	53:6 58:19	•	32:10	12:1
92:20	language	58:15	70:22 81:9	lodging 54:7
93:12,22	17:25 18:4	leave 84:9	list 24:23	long 46:17
95:4 96:7	44:5,13	87:7 90:3	listed 24:4	85:23
Kiff's84:20	76:15	left 87:16	24:5	92:23
kind 25:17	large 8:23	legal 26:4	listen 13:12	longer 11:8
34:6 45:2	larger 53:23	1 -	23:19	23:20 26:2
47:6 54:1	83:25	28:6 30:5	listening	38:2 41:23
63:3 67:24	largest	41:3	92:14	look 20:6
73:10 75:1	80:11	legally	litter 69:11	35:1 38:1
82:23 86:4	Larry 84:18	30:20 36:1	69:14,18	40:20
86:9 90:7	lastly 72:6	41:12,13	little 9:14	42:25 51:7
91:6,8	Latches 28:2	78:19	41:6 42:9	65:6 81:14
Kit 90:11	1	1	42:14	95:2
knew 19:22	32:4 latest 7:11	length 17:2	50:20 68:8	looked 29:20
19:23 39:6	laughed 37:8	28:13	81:13	69:16
39:7 40:8	Laura 1:23		82:24	looking 16:5
know 18:24	2:11 97:22	letter 10:2	live 51:22	34:5 42:23
21:14 23:8	law 7:22	76:4,24,25 77:9	51:23	43:1 65:25
23:15	10:25	letting	53:12	82:5
24:18	16:13	_	54:17,19	looks 75:13
25:20 26:5		90:16	59:19 66:5	loose 22:14
i e	18:9 19:1	let's 4:5	1	l .
28:12		5:20 29:1	living 6:12	Los 3:5
29:18	20:14	49:5 78:23	7:5 8:3	lose 39:25
34:22,24	24:10 30:9	84:11	10:4,4,6,9	40:1 94:4 94:17
34:24	30:10,14	90:20	10:16	1
36:11 37:8 39:13,19	32:1,18	level 42:10	18:22 19:11 22:8	low 62:13 lower 63:20
40:2 43:10	35:11 38:5 38:10	liberty 17:18		Lynn 66:24
	1	1	22:14,15	71:7
45:2,3,4 45:25	ľ	license 8:8	53:8 54:4 57:2 59:18	/1:/
•	47:8,24,24	80:17		M
46:23	60:20,25 61:12	licenses	62:13	machine
66:16 74:16 75:7	85:10	11:17,18	63:15,21	97:10
86:24		life 62:8,11	66:14,15	main 36:24
1	lawfully	light 41:17	66:18	maintained
89:17	4:20 11:6	42:25	79:10	[
90:14	11:14 26:5	57:22 64:8	80:12	11:15 maintaining
92:14 93:2	43:24	65:1 72:10	load 30:20	maintaining 64:11
94:10	68:12,19	likelihood	local 10:19	maintenance
knows 34:22	69:4	44:10,11	11:19	52:23
L	laws 7:24	limit 67:13	17:10	52:23
lack 31:4,5	10:19 11:7	70:21	20:14	majorly
85:2,15	16:16	limitations	35:11	76:16
Laguna 3:14	35:21	50:8 73:19	located 6:11	
land 8:19	45:15	limited	6:15 8:16	makeup 53:4 58:17
31:25,25	60:10,19 60:25	11:18	11:10	76:12
31.23,23	00:25	limits 80:5	12:12	70:12
				//:11
L				

<u></u>	***************************************			
making 23:7	35:14,22	2:11 97:22	Municipal	31:6 34:2
24:16 32:4	39:12 40:2	mind 89:3	11:3 58:3	47:8,19,21
56:14	44:20	minimum 26:4	mutually	92:9
73:21	46:20	68:17	38:24	needs 32:8
74:21	47:24	minute 89:6	M-a-t-h	60:2 64:19
84:21 92:6	74:16,20	minutes	84:19	72:14
92:16	83:16	78:24		80:22 90:1
management	meaning	mirrors	N	negative
17:11	20:13	76:15	name 4:5	54:2 63:24
manager 3:8	means 17:20	miscarriage	50:1 97:18	neighbor
10:24	46:20	28:11,21	Narconon	69:7,11
17:13 53:6	medical 74:8	29:2	80:15	70:24
58:19	82:25 83:3	misconce	narrowly	87:18
76:14	meeting 4:9	65:11	52:2	neighbor
77:13	4:19 5:15	mishmash	nature 51:11	64:2
manner 91:20	6:3,5,5	86:6	60:23 64:8	neither
March 1:13	70:1,1	missing 82:1	64:24 66:3	15:14
2:10 4:1	95:19	82:6	67:2 68:4	97:14
7:8 10:1	meetings	misspoke	81:10	net 81:5
95:10,14	9:24,25	35:15	Near 80:2	network 59:8
95:18	69:11,25	mitigate	necessarily	never 7:14
market 64:9	70:3 77:18	53:25	17:1 62:23	16:6,6,7
64:9	member 63:8	modifica	64:16	20:21 30:4
matches 8:13	members	17:11	83:16	31:2 37:22
Material	52:18 58:6	moment 29:4	86:18	37:23 45:4
77:2	76:7	88:19,22	88:15	46:25
Mathena	mentally	moments 6:7	necessary	48:20,20
84:16,17	8:10	money 19:20	15:24 29:1	77:21
84:18	mention	55:3 89:15	42:21	81:20 83:2
88:24	19:24	month 56:6	50:24 51:5	neverthe
matter 12:24	29:18	56:14,15	51:21	38:4 91:21
19:1,4	66:22 71:5	56:16	54:15	new 6:21
26:25 28:7	mentioned	monthly 56:7	60:12 62:2	7:10 14:1
36:5,17	17:19	months 7:15	64:7,12	14:8,12,23
42:5,17	38:15	31:8 95:23	67:20	14:25 15:1
89:21	57:20 59:4	moot 23:18	73:22 93:5	43:10
matters 4:7	63:24	57:23	necessity	77:16
5:9,14	64:14 70:6	mootness	56:20	Newport1:12
Mc 47:13,16	70:11	15:6 23:25	need 4:24	2:8,8,9
48:11,21	89:10	morning	33:17	3:3,7,9,10
McDonough	merely 27:7	55:25	36:23 37:6	4:1 26:6
47:16	Mesa 70:13	mortgage	37:25 38:6	32:17 35:1
meals 52:23	met 69:14	91:7	38:13 44:6	35:9 52:15
58:11	MIDDENWAY	move 49:3	46:4 72:2	52:15 54:6
59:13	3:9	51:20	75:2 80:21	54:13
75:20	middle 66:20	Moving 55:2	85:4 88:14	55:10 58:3
76:12	Mike 47:16	multiple	90:2	63:18
mean 5:12	Millsap 1:23	18:10	needed 30:15	68:11,23
			30:17,24	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
72:12,17	18:12 19:5	observat	73:17,20	6:13 10:7
72:22 78:2	21:6,15	44:19	74:18	10:18,24
79:9,20	24:11,17	84:20	77:22	15:3 17:14
80:14	26:13,13	observe 85:3	91:25	26:5 50:8
83:11	31:4 33:7	obtain 10:3	95:22 96:2	64:15
95:16	34:13	10:9 19:11	office's	68:12,19
night 19:25	39:13	19:13	75:12	76:19
95:20	94:10,16	obtainable	oftentimes	operation
nighttime	noticed 16:1	38:3	66:11,12	57:4 64:8
80:19	16:6	obtained	Oh 40:15	66:3 67:2
nine 7:15	noting 80:3	16:19	okay 5:21	operational
31:8	notwiths	20:15	14:4 20:7	6:10 10:23
Ninth 55:7	82:14 83:2	obviously	29:5 40:12	54:8
NOELANI 3:9	92:25	45:11	43:6,13	operations
nonprofit	Nuisance	occupancy	45:22 46:6	30:19
55:3 65:24	57:11	6:13 30:20	48:23	86:18
85:4,18	number 4:25	50:8 53:13	50:18 55:2	operator
non-conf	5:5,7 7:20	64:19	57:14	20:15
7:20 11:12	12:12 43:8	75:14	68:14	80:11
11:23 12:5	50:5,7,10	occupied	73:15 79:6	86:15
14:16,22	50:13,16	56:9 78:19	84:11 88:1	opinion 54:1
27:8,9,18	53:15	occupy 52:25	90:19 91:9	95:24
27:24 28:6	55:21	57:2 58:13	91:22	opportun
28:9 29:18	57:23	occupying	92:19	43:9
29:19,23	59:23,24	52:19 53:4	93:22	opportunity
30:5 41:9	61:25 62:4	58:7,17	once 86:11	15:13 16:1
41:12,13	62:15	76:8	once-a-week	16:1,7
42:1,4,12	64:12 68:1	occur 59:16	70:4	17:21
Non-Conf	71:10,11	72:21	ones 24:24	18:17 19:6
11:4	72:11 73:4	92:15	26:22 93:2	20:17,18
non-medical	73:5,9	occurred 6:8	on-site 70:3	21:5,15,16
8:8	81:2,6	19:4 79:22	75:7,7	21:17
non-single	82:14,15	94:16	open 4:4	22:23
54:12	82:16,17	occurs 42:8	5:15 16:4	24:11
normally	82:19	Ocean 1:8	16:9 25:1	28:16,19
55:20	numbers	5:1,8	64:20	33:8 36:13
note 22:22	88:13	95:21	74:16	38:1 39:10
72:2	nurseries	offer 45:18	84:12	39:15 45:20 47:4
noted 5:22 6:20 8:14	8:12	62:13	opening 5:10	45:20 47:4
i e	0	95:24	operate 7:5	47:12 48:3
14:13 63:6 81:16	oath 97:9	offered 13:6 80:16	operated	48:5,14 50:25
notes 10:10	object 68:16	office 76:5	11:16 35:3	60:14 62:3
20:10 22:5	objected	Officer 1:11	35:10	63:12 66:5
61:7 63:14	48:6	5:3 6:9,22	68:14	67:21
64:11 69:9	observation	7:1 12:9	operates	74:19
notice 15:13	84:21	12:10	65:23	77:21
17:20	85:14	28:22 46:2	operating	80:25 95:2
1 - 1 - 2 - 1		20,22 30,2		

opposite	17:13	pattern	7:12 9:1	8:25 9:8
64:22	Oxford 71:18	•	9:12,19,23	9:11 57:7
optional	o'clock 48:9	17:14 54:8	9:25 10:7	person 32:25
22:7	95:10,14	75:14 76:2	11:25	39:14
orally 73:10		76:19	12:12	50:25
Orange 8:6	P	pause 49:17	17:12	personnel
9:5 16:18	Pacific	82:24	19:18	20:11
18:20	95:12	88:20	20:16 21:9	persons 8:11
20:16	pages 20:2	pay 50:11	21:16,19	8:22 9:8
31:11,20	Pandora's	56:17	22:25 23:2	9:10 10:16
31:21,25	16:3	paying 86:15	23:14 24:3	11:21
31:25 33:6	paper 20:5	87:23	24:13	52:19
95:13	paraphra	91:17	29:17,24	54:17 58:7
order 15:22	80:4	Pegasus 4:11	30:6,12	76:8
24:7,8	parking 69:9	6:15 7:3	31:4,6	perspective
25:1 69:13	69:12	10:5,5,15	32:14	86:14
ordered	70:15,16	19:11	33:15,19	persuaded
49:16	70:17 71:1	31:11	33:24 34:1	43:23 88:6
ordinance	78:14	47:16	34:3,9	pertained
5:23 11:24	86:23 87:5	56:15	37:17,20	70:12
14:22	87:10,13	66:23 71:6	37:20,22	pertains
15:19 21:7	87:22	Penal 57:11	39:18,25	65:9
26:9 33:11	parlays 68:8	penalty 65:4	47:19 48:2	pertinent
53:24 67:8	part 22:9,11	83:23	48:4,15,18	18:4
72:11 80:5	22:13	85:25	49:8,10	photographs
82:8,9	23:20	people 10:7	50:9,12,14	70:16 87:6
original	25:15 26:2	46:15,16	52:13 55:5	1
14:19 25:2	26:2,8	53:15 63:7	56:18 70:9	physical
64:2 75:15	32:16,16	66:9,21	70:10	61:4
ought 86:3	34:15,25	87:22	78:11	physically
86:16	37:11	perceived	79:11,18	8:10
outset 38:8	45:11 47:1	16:22	80:9 81:13	picture
outside	66:25	percent	81:17,19	14:24
62:21	68:11,22	55:11	81:25 82:4	15:20 16:8
overbroad	particip	Perfect	82:7 93:15	56:1
76:2	64:10	94:24	93:18	piece 63:25
overconc	particip	perfectly	permits 4:22	69:23
70:21	92:23	27:2	6:10,15	71:23
overcrow	particular 32:6 39:5	performed	9:15 11:3	pieces 19:7 62:19
64:1	50:15 57:8	10:12 75:8	11:17,18	
overly 74:25 78:16	62:6 87:16	period 13:16	12:8,14	place 11:7
overturn	90:8	36:7 45:24	21:23 35:17 44:8	15:22
65:25	particul	perjury 65:4		Į.
95:25	64:9	83:23	44:16 47:8 49:22	29:25 30:7
owned 65:14	parties	85:25	49:22 55:11	97:7
65:14	97:16	permissive 57:9	71:14	placed 30:18
owner 10:23	Patrick 3:4	permit 4:15	permitted	87:16 97:9
Owner 10:23	26:23	bermrc4:To	bermirced	07.10 97:9
			l	1

places 66:10	88:10	26:17	problematic	74:1
Plainfield	portions	27:24	20:8	production
71:19	25:9,16	28:13	problems	82:23
plan 8:17,18	poses 51:2	29:21	87:5	profit 56:7
8:24 9:9	position	36:19 38:5	Procedural	56:14,15
9:12 17:11	13:20 38:1	68:6 80:2	18:4	65:23
20:2 21:13	44:3 77:8	82:16	procedure	profiteer
25:7,10	possibil	89:10	21:19	65:18
26:1 49:15	72:20	presented	26:25	program 22:4
65:15	possible	14:12	89:14 93:5	22:7,8,8
planned 9:8	25:9 43:21	28:14,19	94:3,5,11	51:12,14
9:11	post 15:5	30:9 31:12	95:1	51:15 55:4
Planner 3:8	post-hoc	32:9 36:20	proceed 5:13	60:23 68:5
planners	27:5	39:5 73:3	5:20 14:5	69:1,2
42:7	potential	77:20,21	90:20	prohibited
Planning 8:2	16:5 22:1	86:21 87:6	proceeding	54:5
9:1,3,13	86:10	88:16	49:17	prong 51:7
9:21 18:20	potentially	presume	88:20	56:20
20:16,22	95:25	12:21	proceedings	61:14,20
21:1 45:13	practice	pretty 19:3	96:4 97:6	62:6 64:5
please 30:2	10:17	34:7 35:22	97:8,10	proper 35:8
73:2	practices	38:9 46:3	process 15:8	properly
plenty 43:14	50:23	previous	17:18,19	83:23
plug 87:8	70:17	4:19 5:15	18:5,10,16	properties
point 7:16	Prejudice	previously	20:6 21:20	6:15 7:25
13:7,23	12:11	4:9	22:2 23:21	8:16 10:15
19:22,24	preliminary	principal	28:12 29:2	13:1,25
20:9,19	12:24	8:20,25	30:12 33:2	23:9 26:15
21:25	premises	prior 7:14	34:14	32:16
25:23	53:1,3	32:15 97:6	36:11	59:17 60:4
28:17,17	58:14,16	97:8	38:10,12	65:12,13
29:22	preparation	privacy 65:1	38:17,19	65:16,19
30:17 35:8	28:25	72:1 74:12	38:23,24	66:18
36:3 38:25	prepare 7:6	74:13,15	39:12 40:3	68:10
45:10	92:9	75:1	40:10	69:19 77:6
48:16	prepared	probably	42:18	79:9 83:8
62:14 66:1	12:13	41:8,12	43:17	83:21
80:14	preparing	45:19	48:20,21	property
82:20 84:7	47:11	78:25	65:18 73:6	6:11,11
85:1,3	present	83:19	81:20	7:3,3,4,5
86:20 89:9	28:19	86:15	82:11	10:5,5,10
points 26:21	68:19 73:7	problem 15:6	85:22 94:4	11:22
36:24	75:10	25:19 34:4	94:9	12:12
* *	90:21,22	34:10,23	processes	17:18 23:8
policies		35:24,25	35:6	41:21 53:6
50:23	presenta	•	1	1
50:23 poor 86:5	6:1,3	39:1 40:2	produced	58:19 59:8
50:23 poor 86:5 portion	6:1,3 12:15 13:4	39:1 40:2 42:22 83:1	74:1	59:12,17
50:23 poor 86:5	6:1,3	39:1 40:2		f .
50:23 poor 86:5 portion	6:1,3 12:15 13:4	39:1 40:2 42:22 83:1	74:1	59:12,17

61:5 68:10	provides	pursue 19:4	62:22 63:1	reasonable
75:18,18	17:9,13,24	73:21	78:3	24:18,21
75:22	20:22 59:8	pursued 19:1		28:23
76:13,14	63:6,20	put 21:6	R	29:17
77:11,13	91:23	28:10,13	R3:13	34:22
proposed	providing	35:16	radar 40:6	41:22 49:2
45:17	70:23 92:3	42:10,24	raise 20:9	49:7,9,19
61:17 71:1	provision	54:2 83:20	raised7:14	49:25
94:13	12:2 25:8	94:2	16:22	50:21,24
proposing	81:16	p.m2:9,10	26:21 65:8	51:4,7
24:8	89:22,25	4:2,2	77:22	52:2,6
proposition	provisions	95:18,19	raises 55:3	53:18
71:20	8:24 24:19	96:9	raising 74:3	54:11,24
protect	46:1 67:8	50.5	range 18:6	55:9 56:20
74:12,15	proviso	Q	62:18	57:21 60:3
protected	90:17 91:5	qualified	rate 56:10	61:21
60:9 61:11	public 1:7	11:2,24	rates 62:12	67:24 69:2
protections	2:7 5:1,23	qualify 4:21	62:22,23	70:6,10,23
42:2	21:21	86:17	62:25 63:2	71:3,4,10
protects	45:19,19	quality 62:8	78:3,3	72:7 73:18
18:6	46:1,7	62:11	reach 24:7	73:18
proven 40:4	47:14	question	39:22	75:16
provide 8:8	74:11,22	23:15	40:25	78:12,13
13:5 17:17	78:25	33:25	56:20	78:14 79:1
22:22	80:22	36:24	reached	84:14 88:8
42:16	84:12	41:22	73:13 81:5	88:11
60:13 62:2	87:25 88:1	51:25 52:1	read 33:18	93:16 95:9
66:4 71:23	90:2 94:22	78:23 83:6	34:7 83:6	95:11
83:2 90:13	publicly	86:11,11	reading	reasons 12:6
91:1 94:12	85:13	question	19:21	22:12
provided	public's	69:23	ready 49:18	recall 44:23
6:17 7:2,9	92:22,22	questions	93:3	recap 6:8
7:10 9:6	published	11:1 12:16	real 83:10	receive 11:2
15:12,14	89:7	12:17 24:6	reality	received
16:18,21	pure 23:3	24:24 29:3	32:21	26:13
16:23	purely 22:7	56:22 92:5	86:18	receptacles
17:20,21	purported	94:17	really 15:6	87:16
18:16 19:7	17:22 19:8	quick 26:22	27:21	receptive
24:17,22	purpose 4:24	38:11	32:11	36:21
34:12 60:1	9:3 13:20	quickly	41:16	recognize
64:3,18,21	21:1 51:17	71:12	73:22 86:2	36:13 74:3
64:25 65:3	53:24 54:3	quiet 78:14	86:5,17	recollec
68:6 70:8	59:18	quite 33:18	reason 10:21	65:3
70:15 71:2	70:20,22	quote 14:8	16:18	recommen
71:22 72:3	purposes	19:21	25:13	7:1 17:6
82:19,25	73:11 85:7	20:25 21:3	29:20	55:12
83:17,25	Pursuant	25:12	41:12	74:10
89:1 94:16	11:21	quoted 17:24	56:19	recommen
,		18:19		
	l	1		

F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6:16,23		13:13	64:11 65:9	68:3 69:6
12:10	referring 14:10	ł	66:22 69:9	69:10 74:2
	1	relied 13:23	70:6 73:9	91:7
recommended	20:24	relief 23:17	i e	requesting
6:9,14	refers 21:25	relies 18:14	83:6 89:6	10:3 67:7
recommen	reflects	remain 41:9	reported	67:19
15:23	77:3 80:19	64:13,20	1:23 18:11	1
recommends	refute 28:17	remained	76:2 85:7	requests
7:11 12:7	refuting	35:5	Reporter	16:9 49:25
12:10	75:5,6	remaining	2:11 49:14	50:3,4,13
reconvene	regard 26:24	25:16	97:5	50:13
49:19	27:4 28:12	remedied	reports 6:19	require 6:21
record 10:8	92:6	65:7 84:6	18:9 73:14	8:8 42:15
14:7 21:14	regarding	reminder	represen	50:22 55:7
24:5 46:10	36:11	5:22	81:23	55:9
50:1 69:17	83:22	removed	request 9:17	required
84:25 94:2	regards	65:18 66:8	9:22 13:11	11:9,16,18
97:10	10:22	rendered	50:5,5,7,7	17:11
records 9:15	regroup	11:23	50:10,10	22:10
9:17,19,22	49:14	rent 65:19	50:15,16	24:13 27:13 31:3
9:23 10:14 27:19 28:5	regular 95:19	rental 62:12	50:24,24	1
i		rented 52:24	51:4,4,4,8	37:19
30:23	regulation	58:12	51:9,20,21	39:17,24 44:2 47:22
recovering 62:11	15:11	rents 65:21	52:7 53:18	1
1	34:14	reopen 4:14	53:19,20	48:1 60:5
recovery 1:8	67:12,24	12:25	54:15,23	68:21
4:12 5:1,8 19:10 47:7	regulations 8:19 11:9	13:11	55:1,2	73:23
55:11	25:13	reopened	57:21,23 57:24	78:12,12 78:16
58:25 59:9	61:12	7:12 13:18 13:20	59:22,24	requirement
70:24	67:17	reopening	62:15 67:7	26:14
80:14	79:15	13:7,14	70:7 71:3	35:10 43:5
95:12,16	reiterate	26:24	71:9 73:4	43:20
95:12,16	86:25		73:4 74:4	46:24 51:2
redacted	rejected	repeatedly 87:4	74:25 76:1	51:17
82:16	19:13	reply 47:23	78:17	79:10,20
Redlands	rejection	report 5:14	82:14,15	79:10,20
4:11 6:11	17:6	6:2 7:11	82:17,19	requirem
7:4 10:10	related 65:4	8:15 10:11	93:10	9:6 15:5
10:15	relates 91:2	12:7 16:16	requested	16:11
56:16	relating	18:8,14,20	4:14 12:8	24:21
reduce 53:22	83:3 95:21	18:25	48:4 52:11	25:21
54:2	relation	19:21	54:16 55:5	33:19
reduced 6:13	77:5	20:10,13	60:7,8,12	41:18 43:2
reduces 71:8	relative	20:10,13	60:16,21	46:19 88:8
reducing	85:2 97:15	23:11 24:1	61:1,9,9	90:6
72:11	relevant	39:6 61:7	61:14,21	requires
reduction	64:9	62:10	62:2,7	15:4 55:10
72:16,18	reliance	63:14,25	64:6 67:19	requiring
		33.11,23	01.0 07.10	
	·			

reread 33:23		l .	ł	t .
12224433.23	Resolution	restrictive	18:18	36:6 38:8
research	4:25,25	70:2	29:23 30:5	38:12,18
28:8 42:13	5:3,7,7	result 13:13		38:22 45:3
reside 50:25	12:11,14	35:3 51:10	33:9 94:4	46:14
53:15 54:9	44:7,9	52:9 54:25	94:18	47:18
54:13	45:8,17	60:22 61:2	rigor 73:21	55:17 73:3
59:11	47:11	61:22	room 79:4	77:1 85:16
63:22	71:15	66:19 68:3	RPR 1:23	says 21:4,11
67:21	92:10 93:6	69:8 76:18	2:11 97:22	39:24 56:2
75:17	93:8,14,15	retain 7:21	RSF 8:17,20	62:10
residence	94:3,5,13	return 53:17	rule 15:25	67:18
57:2,11	94:13,15	88:25	23:1 33:5	70:22
resident	95:7	91:14 96:1	33:6 38:21	71:19 86:9
59:13,18	Resolutions	reverse 25:2	1 ·	scale 42:14
64:17	7:7 94:20	review 21:18	88:10	scheduled
	respect 34:5	30:15 34:8	rules 23:23	95:10,13
residential	44:6 59:2	74:10,19	41:19,20	scope 17:24
5:24 8:9	77:6 84:21	89:6 91:13	41:24,24	screen 56:8
8:17 9:9	88:7 93:9	93:19	50:23	Sea 80:12
10:18	respectf	reviewed	67:17	seal 91:13
11:22	34:19	55:13 74:9	ruling 12:25	search 9:22
53:11,12	74:24	reviewing	13:19 25:2	15:17
54:6,9,11	respond	20:1	90:15	second 19:15
54:13 57:3	12:21 29:6	revised 8:18	Ryan 17:25	24:15 27:4
57:5 64:6	29:12 32:3	revoke 35:7		38:18 47:1
66:2,5	39:11	35:13	S	63:10
70:23,24	40:13 48:8	revoking	S 3:5	82:12
75:14	80:25 89:8	35:13,14	safety 61:3	84:20 85:1
,	response	RICHARDS 3:4	61:23	secondary
19:17	7:23 26:7	right 12:20	86:24	53:22,25
23:13 48:5	26:21	27:6 28:20	87:14,18	Secondly
52:25 53:5	72:25 92:4	29:13 31:5	87:23	85:11
1	responses	32:2 35:13	sailed16:10	section 9:2
56:11 57:6	38:15	36:10 37:4	sake 91:23	9:5 10:22
1	responsi	38:21,25	sale 68:15	11:3,5,5
58:22 59:8	52:22 53:3	39:11	San 18:1	11:21 17:7
59:11	58:10,16	40:22	Santa 5:24	18:2 20:24
1 1	responsi	43:11	8:16 15:3	21:10,11
72:8 75:17	52:21 58:9	44:20 45:6	20:1 21:13	25:7 44:23
	responsible	48:24 49:3	25:7 26:8	49:4 58:3
76:6,13	59:13	49:5,18	39:3 47:17	60:11
77:5,6,12	75:20	50:19 65:5	77:7 78:20	Security
77:16,17	76:11	66:17	satisfy	74:7
i .	rest 28:10	79:24	72:14	see 4:5
1	restrict	89:13 90:5	saying 14:21	13:15 20:4
resides	53:10,13	90:9 91:21	32:5,5	27:19
75:22	53:15,21	93:23	33:13,21	31:24
77:15	57:10	rights 7:22	34:2,6	40:17 44:9
			36:3,4,5,6	

47:20,21	sharing	53:9,10,12	snapshot	speak 89:24
56:7 70:18	52:21 58:9	53:19 54:4	20:6	speaking
80:22	Sheriff's	56:25	snuck 40:5	35:22
84:17	22:2,4	57:12,25	sober 6:12	speaks 68:7
85:25 86:1	shining	58:2,7,15	7:5 8:3	special
86:9 88:14	41:17	58:21 59:3	10:3,4,6,9	21:23
Seeing 46:6	42:25	59:25 60:2	10:15	specific
seek 11:24	ship 16:10	63:9 67:4	18:21	8:16,18,24
23:17	68:14	67:10,10	19:11 22:8	9:9,11
seeking	Shores 95:12	67:10,15	22:14,15	20:1 21:13
56:24	short 13:8	67:16 75:4	57:1 59:19	25:7,10
seeks 51:17	13:16	75:10 76:1	62:13	26:1 30:9
seen 30:23	45:24	76:9,9	63:15,21	30:18,19
69:17 72:9	shorthand	78:8 84:15	66:15,18	54:20
73:17,20	2:11 73:11	88:7 93:16	79:10	55:14 61:2
sense 34:7	97:4,11	singlely	80:12	61:22
82:18	showed 15:16	8:21	Social 74:7	68:24
sensitive	showing 74:5	sir 49:1	sole 59:17	71:21 91:3
36:10	shows 25:8	57:15 78:4	solid 71:20	specific
74:12	25:17	88:4,5	88:10	16:9 21:11
91:11	SI 20:12	sit 34:25	somebody	68:1 77:13
sent10:2	sign 5:11	site 55:24	19:22	81:15 82:3
76:25	57:23	56:1,10	34:11	speculating
separate	85:15	62:18 63:1)	38:6
31:22	94:13	63:6 77:24	39:13 63:8	speculation
75:17,23	signed31:11	83:9,16	65:17	23:4 35:4
separately	65:4 83:23	sites 56:2	somewhat	37:16
31:21	94:22 95:3	situation	13:9 73:25	speculative
59:11 93:7	signific	42:6,8	soon 57:23	18:15
93:10	75:21 80:6	44:21 52:5	79:22	spend 89:14
September	signs 95:7	63:4	sooner 43:13	spent 20:1
10:11	similar	six 8:22 9:7	sororities	staff 4:14
serious 38:9	10:25 12:2	10:7 19:17	54:7	4:17 5:14
services	17:14 21:8	23:13	sorry 5:12	5:17 6:1,9
70:24	44:17 52:7	44:22	30:1 40:15	6:14,22
serving8:22	59:20 64:8	95:23	49:2,6,10	7:1,6,11
9:7,10	66:3 67:2	size 57:8	88:21	7:11,15,23
sessions	85:12	70:25	91:17	8:3,15
59:16	simple 78:10	skip 55:1	92:22,23	9:16,21
set 10:13	simply 5:2	59:23	93:18	11:1 12:3
21:12,19	5:16 27:23	skips 37:18	sort13:17	12:7,7,9
21:20	28:7 40:24 44:1 67:14	sky's 67:13 slide 25:5	19:9 34:21	12:10,13 12:18 13:7
87:20 97:7 setting 63:3	67:24	slide 25:5 slides 82:15	86:7,8 sound 21:14	13:10,21
66:6	57:24 single 8:17	small 86:2	sound 21:14 sounds 40:3	15:10,21
seven 9:10	50:6 52:12	smart 86:2	72:17 83:1	16:15,23
severely	52:14,16	smart 86:14	Southern	17:6 18:8
54:23	52:14,16	78:14	80:15	18:9,14,21
1 21.23	۷۵،۲۰ ۷۵،۷	10.14	00.10	10.7,14,41

r		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18:25	start 16:5	1 42 22	72 24 20 5	
19:21	38:6 49:21	42:20	73:24 89:5	1
4	i	stop 73:7	89:20	71:21
20:10,10	73:3	stops 74:17	90:11,13	supporting
20:13,22	starting	straight	91:12,16	56:13
21:11 22:5	79:8 95:18		submitted	supportive
22:19	starts 95:6	strains	16:24	58:23
23:11 24:1	state 8:8	72:12	17:10	supposed
24:8 30:15	11:19	Street 3:13	29:16	72:10
39:5 40:21	17:10	6:15 19:12	40:19	81:20
41:5 45:12	35:11 50:1	47:17	56:12 65:5	sure 29:7
49:21	84:24	stretches	74:6,19	30:3 31:18
55:14	85:12 97:5	1	77:2 91:19	34:2 40:18
57:14 61:7	stated 8:25	strikes	subscribed	41:7 42:11
62:1,10,12	12:6 76:5	71:25	97:17	42:17
63:14,25	77:9	stripped	subsection	46:11
64:11,15	statement	82:11	17:9 21:22	47:15,19
65:8 66:22	29:10	strongly	21:23	57:18
68:2 69:9	55:16	68:16	subsequent	73:21
69:17 70:6	67:23	structure	15:17	74:14
72:25 73:9	69:20	57:8	94:21	88:14,23
73:14,21	79:16	stuck 86:4	subseque	90:25
74:9,20	statements	stuff19:9	94:14	92:13
76:1,20,22	65:4 74:7	25:17	substantial	surprise
79:25 83:6	84:5 91:7	subject 9:1	17:19 30:9	76:22
88:3 89:6	states 11:5	9:12 29:24	61:4 69:8	surprised
89:19 90:3	15:13	30:6,11	substant	45:25
90:12,13	17:17	35:20	87:12	81:13
91:23,25	56:10	39:20	sufficient	symptoms
92:3,5	stating 9:7	66:13	5:10 66:4	59:10
94:12,23	10:6	67:16	72:3	systems 59:9
staff's	status 85:7	75:22	suggest	
22:20 44:3	statute	85:25	27:25	T
67:6 70:19	35:22	89:22	49:12	table 6:2
88:6	stay 17:3	submission	90:10	tailored
stand 42:19	64:17	17:4 62:19	suggested	52:2
68:22	80:16	64:18	52:4 67:6	take 6:7
standard	83:20	77:25	70:20	27:13
10:23 18:3	staying	81:15	suggesting	32:22
55:5 57:9	83:11	89:21	37:25	49:12
standards	step 1:10	90:17	suggestion	88:16
50:8	3:11 4:24	92:16	88:24	89:14,21
standing	37:18	93:19	suggestions	90:17
23:16	54:14	submissions	88:18	taken 2:7
25:25,25	65:17	59:5	Suite 3:13	13:21
37:4,11	66:20	submit 14:17	supply 66:2	32:19 44:4
46:25	steps 93:14	18:14	support 55:4	97:6
stands 59:4	stipulate	58:20	59:9 70:8	takes 64:22
71:19	28:24	59:25 66:7	supported	67:22 95:6
İ			• •	

r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
talk 37:15	45:19	36:22,23	thumb 87:9	40:11,17
46:15	86:21	39:13 40:6	thumbprints	41:11
62:15	thank 5:6,21	40:21,22	87:7	50:16 59:3
80:23 81:8	6:6 12:22	41:4,22	Thursday	62:24
talked 17:3	29:4 31:15	43:7 44:3	1:13 2:10	65:10
24:20	46:8 48:6	45:2,9	4:1	68:22
44:22	48:7 57:18	46:13	Thursdays	73:14
69:14,25	72:24 79:7	47:20	79:4	81:10 93:9
70:17	80:20,25	48:11	tied 50:14	96:5
81:19	87:1,24	57:21,22	time 4:20	told 81:25
82:15	88:1 90:9	61:18,23	6:18 7:25	tomorrow
talking 14:2	96:3,8	63:19	8:15 10:19	37:5
32:11 59:3	Thanks 48:23	64:14,22	11:7 12:18	tonight 79:3
62:24	57:14	65:10,25	13:16 14:9	tools 86:5
63:16	84:11	66:23,25	14:14 15:6	top 24:25
65:17 68:8	therapy	68:18,18	16:16 17:4	total 9:25
83:7 91:4	59:16	69:23	20:15 22:5	town 27:15
94:9,10	thereof	70:19 71:1	28:23	traditional
talks 18:2	25:16	71:24	36:18 41:1	52:17 58:5
71:18	97:13	72:13,22	41:14,15	58:23 76:7
tax 85:7	they'd 90:12	81:5,7	41:19	traffic 69:9
88:25	thick 20:5	82:18 83:5	42:19	transcribed
tell 46:17	20:24	83:24	43:14	97:11
48:1 66:17	thing 26:24	84:10,25	45:16,20	transcript
85:23,24	42:14	86:8 88:8	45:25	33:23
89:16	63:24 70:4	91:3 93:21	46:17,22	transcri
telling 24:2	90:24	thinks 28:23	69:14,22	97:13
43:15	91:10,22	32:8 42:21	70:1,16	transpar
tells 19:21	things 17:3	third 57:21	72:18	90:3
42:11	32:3 41:4	57:25	75:14	trash 87:16
temporary	48:17,19	61:14 64:5	77:19,25	87:23
9:23	64:24	93:17	79:4,10,13	treated 50:5
ten 9:24	85:16,21	Thomas 1:11	79:15	52:11
tenants	86:13	4:5	82:20 84:7	53:19
65:21	87:22	thoroughly	88:16	57:24 67:9
terms 13:5	think 5:9	9:21	89:15 96:9	67:15,16
14:25	12:24 13:6	thought 13:9	97:7	treatment
16:22	14:1,14,20	13:10	timeline	59:15,16
58:24	15:15 16:4	30:23 39:4	95:5	75:6,8
63:17	16:4,10	threat 61:3	timely 13:10	treatments
85:15 90:6	19:2 22:2	61:23,24	times 9:24	84:8
terrible	24:1 27:2	three 12:14	31:8	trial 27:5
28:11	27:12	19:7 49:25	today 4 : 7	27:22
test 19:9	28:25	50:3,10,17	18:13,24	tries 22:19
testifying	32:19	55:2 61:6	21:8 23:1	troubles
97:9	33:13,22	62:16	36:20	85:17
testimony	33:25 35:1	71:11 73:5	38:11 40:7	true 27:25
7:9 14:13	35:15	93:14	40:10,10	34:11
		•		
I	1		I	

45:21	undermining	unlawful	32:13	varied 17:4
77:23	51:17	25:3 86:23	33:15,24	variety
try 37:17	undersigned	unlawfully	34:1,3,8	85:20
42:9	97:4	15:3 35:3	35:17	vehicles
trying 36:25	understand	68:14	37:17,19	59:21
40:5 73:10	33:22 34:4	unnecess	37:20,21	veracity
turn 65:20	36:3 37:24	54:21	39:18,24	84:4
turned 9:15	43:22	unreason	40:23	verbatim
15:16	85:18	51:8,20	42:13 44:8	97:9
twelve 9:10	87:21	unrelated	45:2 47:8	verifica
two 36:17	understa	22:12		56:12
l	l .	i	48:4,15,18	
37:1,8	13:2 93:12	untested	50:9,11,14	verify 75:2
38:14,16	undisputed	18:15	52:13,20	verifying
40:10	63:19 64:4	upcoming	53:2 55:5	27:23 86:1
43:13	undue 51:9	93:25	55:11	version
49:24 50:7	60:17,18	upgraded	56:18 58:8	73:11
50:14 51:5	61:15	41:10,10	58:15	versus 17:25
55:1 57:23 59:23	unfair 50:21	41:11	60:14 62:4	71:18 vested 29:23
1	76:22	uphold 95:17	68:9 70:9	
61:25	unfolded	96:1	70:10 71:3	30:5,13,16
62:19	86:14	upper 42:10	71:14	33:15
65:13 71:5	unfortunate	use 4:15,21	75:13,19	vesting
73:4,9	86:17	6:10,14	76:18	13:17
82:14,15	unincorp	7:12 8:13	78:11	viable 64:8
82:16,18	26:2	8:19 9:1,2	79:10,14	64:13
82:19	unit 50:6	9:12,19,23	80:9 93:15	view 74:20
85:14	52:12,16	11:3,6,8	93:17	85:15
90:14	52:20,24	11:12,13	useful 85:21	violate 37:7
95:15	53:1,4,5,9	11:15,21	uses 5:24	75:1
tying 35:24	53:9,20	11:24,25	7:20,21,25	violated
type 24:17	54:5 57:1	12:5,5,7	8:14,15,20	15:25
63:12	57:12,25	12:12,14	8:25 9:9	16:12 20:7
67:25	58:2,8,12	14:16,20	12:3 43:25	32:17 33:5
71:24	58:14,17	17:12	44:11,17	38:20 43:4
types 63:20	58:18,21	18:22	45:1 46:2	violating
67:3	59:25 60:3	19:18	53:11 69:2	21:6
typically	67:5,15,16	20:16,25	usual 50:11	violation
41:5	75:4,10	21:9,12,19	50:23	10:19,25
U	76:2,9,10	21:23	52:12	15:19
	76:14	22:24 23:2	usually 93:1	17:15,22
unable 51:23 54:19	77:12 78:9	23:14 24:3	utility 91:7	18:9,10
undated 10:6	84:15	24:13 27:8	utilized	19:1 21:15
undated 10:6 undermine	93:16	27:9,24	24:16	24:9 26:18
1	United 15:13	28:6,9	V	33:7 34:13
54:23	17:16	29:17,19		35:2,4,5
undermined	units 4:19	29:23,24	validity	35:11 36:7
70:21	53:10,12	30:5,6,11	25:16	37:13
undermines 54:3	59:4 63:9	31:25	variances	43:19
54:3			21:21	
<u> </u>	*	·		

46:18,24	wants 16:4,5	42:2 45:4	win 40:1,1	yeah 33:21
47:25	32:25	45:17 46:6		35:15
86:10	40:17 63:8	68:14 88:1	1	38:14
violations	73:12	93:5 96:5	29:7 36:18	i e
16:6 22:17	i	we're 13:22	36:21	44:24
virtually	1		{	80:24
5:17	wasn't27:18	14:1,24	40:13	I .
1	91:17	20:5 23:7	72:25	year 9:24
visitation	watching	23:20 32:4	1	years 37:8 41:11
70:12,12	92:14	32:5,5,11	90:21	I .
visitors	WATSON 3:4	34:1,2	WITNESS	Yellowstone
69:12 70:5	way 9:14	35:2,7	97:17	1:10 3:11
visuals	14:18 21:8	36:5,6,6	witnesses	4:10,12
87:12	34:24	38:19	97:8	5:14 7:19
•	35:16 36:8	41:21	Wolcott 3:7	
voluntarily	41:4 45:23	42:24,25	29:9,13,13	10:9,20
80:16	60:11 83:3	43:1,16	30:3 49:24	
•	83:19	45:10	50:2,19	12:23 15:2
85:9	86:13	49:18 55:1	1 ' '	1
T.7	93:21	57:20 59:3		
	ways 54:22	61:18	73:2 88:5	19:10 20:7
W1:11 4:5	72:7	62:24	89:4,23	20:14
L.	Web 55:24	63:16,17	91:6 94:1	22:19,23
waived 58:1	56:1,1,10	65:17 67:9	94:7	22:24 23:2
waiver 50:11	62:18 63:1	67:12,14	Women's1:10	24:9,13
50:11	63:6 77:24	67:23,23	3:11	25:3 26:5
52:12 55:2		67:24	wonderful	26:12,14
55:5 71:11	week 36:17	68:21	48:12	30:20
71:16 72:4	37:1 40:10	71:16	word 27:7,14	32:14,15
73:16	55:22 56:2	78:24	32:20,22	32:17 33:1
84:15	89:25	81:10 83:7	35:14	33:2,5
93:10,18	90:22	84:2 89:11	words 13:15	34:8,12
	weeks 37:1	90:25 91:3	38:22	35:3,10,17
87:2	38:17	91:5	94:12	35:21 39:3
want 28:10	40:11	we've15:8	work 41:5	42:23 43:1
32:2,3,10	90:14	32:19 39:4	45:14	43:4,19,24
38:6 42:17	week's 28:24	61:11,17	47:12 92:5	46:18,23
46:15	weigh 95:21	68:9,19,22	worked 7:15	47:7 52:11
76:21,22	welcome 14:5	71:1 81:8	works 33:3	62:12,18
83:10	47:9	81:9 83:2	39:12	62:20 63:5
91:25	went 37:5	83:17 84:5	worth 84:25	63:20
92:13	81:23 82:7	94:19	wouldn't	64:13,18
94:10	weren't36:7	WHEREOF	43:16	65:14,15
wanted 22:11	West 5:24	97:17	written 6:19	65:20,20
29:14	95:9,22	wife's 19:25	53:2 58:15	65:22,23
76:18	we'll4:4	willing	wrong 39:8	67:22 77:4
84:20	5:11,15	13:12	39:14	77:7 91:2
86:25	37:22	28:24 72:4	W-2's 74:6	91:2 92:25
90:25 93:4	39:20,21	89:5,6,20		Yellowst
93:24	,	, - ,	Y	

	1	1	1	201 2 12
20:19 22:6	15:11,19	4:1 10:16	75:15	301 3 : 13
32:20	20:3,23	44:24,24	86:21	31 32:15
47:20 55:4	21:10	50:4 65:9	20.03.030	34:9 39:2
55:24	22:12,16	12th 7:8	58:3	3300 2:8 3:9
64:23 67:6	22:17,18	13th 77:1	20.62.030	3309 95:12
67:7 77:8	22:20 25:9	1480:9 95:5	11:3	355 3 : 5
77:14	33:5 34:14	14th 15:12	20.91A11:21	376-2828
Yep 96:7	37:7 43:5	17:16	20.91A.060	3:14
yesterday	46:19,24	15 6:13	10:22 17:7	4
43:11,12	47:3 51:11	10:13	20.98.025B	· 7
	51:14,14	150-some	60:11	495:10
Z	52:15	20:2	2001 8:19	4th 18:2
Zfaty 3:12	53:14	1501 71 : 7	20038:1	4:002:94:2
3:13 12:22	54:23	1502 71:7	20058:1	409:25
12:23	60:23 68:4	1561 4:10	10:1,8,11	40th 3:5
13:22 14:4	69:1,1	6:16 7:3	2006 10:3	492 95:13,13
14:6 26:21	78:21,22	12:13	20078:1	
29:6 31:16		56:14	32:15 34:9	5
31:20 32:2	\$	1562 47:16	39:2	5th 15:12
33:16,21	\$160 55:21	1571 4:11	200814:19	17:16
34:19	56:2	19:11	26:6 29:16	501 (c) (3)
35:15	\$170 56:3	31:10 87:2	68:18	65:23
36:22	\$180 56:2	1601 5 : 8	76:24 77:2	74:16
38:14	\$2200 55:5	1621 4:10	78:22 79:8	52 68:12,17
40:15	\$4,000 56:16	6:11 7:4	81:11,15	78:20
42:22 45:3	\$4,*680 56:15	9:23 56:15	2008-030	580 3 : 13
45:23 46:9	56:15	17 45:2	12:12	_
46:12 47:6	\$400 56:14	18-bed 10:4	2008-05	6
47:18 48:8	\$50 55:21	19 95:10	11:24	648:9 78:24
48:11	\$6200 55:17	19th 96:6	53:24	6:16 2:10
57:18,19	56:6		20091:13	4:2 96:9
73:3 78:7		2	2:10 4:1	626-8484 3 : 6
80:21,24	0	2 20:4,5	68:7 77:10	644-3002
82:6,12	08 75:15	95:14	2009-003 5:4	3:10
89:10,19	09 75:25	2-inch 20:23	5:7	7
90:6,23	77:1	20 76:24	2010 80:17	
94:1,7,9	090312LAM	20th 6:8	20172 4:11	744:24
94:24	1:24	7:12 13:3	204 80:10	95:18,19
Zfaty's	4	14:10	213 3:6	7-1-950
29:14	1	15:17	22 80:19	20:25
74:25	1 26:6 68:18	16:19,20	22nd 81:11	7-9-105.1C
75:12 76:5	79:8	17:5 24:20	82:7	21:20
80:2	1/2 95:13	29:21 30:3	24 71:8	7.9.1419:5
zone 54:9	10 78:24	30:8 33:23	95:18	7.9.150 9:2
zoned 8:17	100 55:10	43:11 59:5	25th 95:14	9
9:9	1069 18:2	68:7 69:14	29 77:10	
zones 57:3	11 80:9	69:20,21	80:14	900 95:9
zoning8:6	1115 95 : 22	70:17		90071-3101
9:2,5	12 1:13 2:10	73:14	3	3:5
		l l		

		raye 21
92651 3:14 92658-8915 3:10 92661:24 2:12 97:23 9418:2 949 3:10,14 990 85:5 88:25		

1561 INDUS: USE PERMIT DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION No. HO-2009-003

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING WITH PREJUDICE USE PERMIT NO. 2008-034 TO ALLOW AN EXISTING GROUP RESIDENTIAL USE TO CONTINUE AT 1561 INDUS STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA (PA2008-105)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.91A to the NBMC. Chapter 20.91A sets forth a process by which existing nonconforming uses in residential areas, including existing group residential care facilities (except for state-licensed drug or alcohol treatment homes serving six or fewer clients), must apply for use permits to remain in operation at their current location beyond February 2009; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., submitted Group Residential Use Permit applications for four sober living facilities located at 1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street and 20172 Redlands Drive, all located in the City of Newport Beach, California; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., located at 1561 Indus Street ("Use Location") in Newport Beach, California, is an existing group residential care facility operating an unlicensed "sober living" facility for 12 women in an existing single-family dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc. ("Use") pursuant to Ordinance No. 2008-05 within the applicable time period with respect to property located at 1561 Indus Street, and legally described as Lot 14, Tract 4307 in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-361-08), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, in the Office of the County Recorder of Orange County, requesting approval of Use Permit No. 2008-034 to allow a residential care facility to continue its operations as a 12 bed adult sober living facility for females only; and

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2009, a Hearing Officer held a noticed hearing in the City Hall Council Chambers, at 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California at which time the project application was considered. Notice of time, place and purpose of the public hearing was given in accordance with the Newport Beach Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented to, and considered by, the Hearing Officer at this meeting, and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009, when the

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 1561 Indus Street (Use Permit No. 2008-034) Page 2 of 4

public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1561 Indus Street was established on or after December 2006, during the time when the location was part of Orange County unincorporated territory and subject to the Orange County Codified Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1561 Indus Street was established by Yellowstone in advance of the City's January 1, 2008, annexation of West Santa Ana Heights. Previous to January 1, 2008, the Yellowstone facility was subject to the regulations of the County of Orange, as such regulations apply to County unincorporated lands. The Orange County Codified Ordinances allows certain specified recovery facilities to establish in residential zones, provided that the facilities obtain a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission. More specifically, the use permit requirement applies to "Community Care Facilities" and "Congregate Care Facilities" which house from seven (7) to twelve (12), inclusive, persons requiring care (Section 7-9-141, Section 7-9-141.3[b], and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances); and

WHEREAS, an inquiry made by the City to the County of Orange's Planning Department ("OC Planning") in February 2009 showed that only one use permit was issued by the County of Orange that applies to any of Yellowstone's four operations, and that use permit was a temporary use permit (TPU 050001) authorizing the facility at 1621 Indus Street to hold 40 meetings at 1621 Indus Street within Calendar Year 2005. The County has no record of any of the four Yellowstone facilities (1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street, and 20172 Redlands Drive) having received use permits authorizing their operation as either Community Care Facilities or Congregate Care Facilities from the County of Orange's Planning Commission per the Orange County Codified Ordinances. Although County Planning staff and Code Enforcement staff informed City staff verbally and in writing (Exhibit 1, attached hereto) that sober living houses would be considered a Community Care Facility or a Congregate Care Facility, nothing in the record known to the City shows that the facilities were legally-established uses at any time Yellowstone operated them while the West Santa Ana Heights area was part of the County of Orange's unincorporated territory; and

WHEREAS, any person whose property in a residential district was rendered nonconforming by the passage of Ordinance No. 2008-05 adding Chapter 20.91A may

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 1561 Indus Street (Use Permit No. 2008-034) Page 3 of 4

seek the issuance of conditional use permit to allow the continued use of an existing group residential care facility if the application was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NBMC Section 20.62.030 (Determination of Nonconformity), a nonconforming use is "Any use found to have been lawfully established and maintained, but which does not conform with the use regulations or required conditions for the district in which it is located by reason of adoption or amendment of this code or by reason of annexation of territory to the City, shall be deemed to be a nonconforming use. A nonconforming use includes a use that was lawfully established and maintained but is conditionally permitted in the district and has not obtained a use permit. A use shall not be considered to have been "lawfully established and maintained" and is an illegal use if it was established or operated without required permits and licenses, including but not limited to permits and licenses required by any federal, state, or local government agency" (emphasis added); and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1561 Indus Street was not a legally-established use when the use was established within the Orange County unincorporated territory known as West Santa Ana Heights, and is not qualified to seek a use permit to continue the use in its current location; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

Section 1. The Hearing Officer hereby denies with prejudice Use Permit No. 2008-034.

<u>Section 2</u>. The action shall become final and effective fourteen (14) days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20, Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 1561 Indus Street (Use Permit No. 2008-034) Page 4 of 4

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this 14th day of April, 2009.

Bv:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST

∜S 01597

Hearing Officer Resolution 1561 Indus Street Use Permit No. 2008-034

EXHIBIT 1

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: RE: Not an MB Issue

Dave ~

The only property from your list with any kind of Use Permit is 1621 Indus with a 2005 Temporary Use Permit to hold meetings (attached).

Also, the OC Zoning Code definitions of the possible facilities are below.

See ya,

Mike

Congregate Care Facility: A facility, including a Congregate Living Health Facility as defined in State law, providing care on a monthly basis or longer and which is the primary residence of the people it serves. It provides services to the residents such as the following: dining, housekeeping, security, medical, transportation and recreation. Any commercial services provided are for the exclusive use of the occupants of the facility. Such a facility may be located in more than one (1) building and on contiguous parcels within the building site. It includes facilities offering occupancy on a monthly basis and longer such as hotels, resorts, etc., which have characteristics similar to the above.

Community Care Facility: Any facility which may or may not require a State license to provide nonmedical residential care or day care for children, adults or both, including physically handicapped and mentally incompetent persons. This includes child day care facilities/day care nurseries and family day care homes.

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 1:27 PM

To: Wellborn, Michael

Subject: RE: Not a Man Barrier Issue

Hi Mike ---

Many thanks for that input. Can I ask for one more favor, just to confirm the below question:

- 1561 Indus Street houses 12 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2007
- 1621 Indus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2003
- 1571 Pegasus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 20172 Redlands Drive houses 18 men, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 1 -- Do your records show any Use Permits issued for these locations?
- 2 Can you refer me to the OCCO section that defines a Congregate or a Community Care Facility?

Dave

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 12:28 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: Not a Man Barrier Issue

Hi Dave ~

In response to your inquiry to Tim and Nick, I have spliced on the relevant OC Zoning Code sections for Community Care and Congregate Care facilities (7-9-141 and 7-9-142).

Both allow up to six residents in a group home without any permit.

Both require a Use Permit from the Planning Commission for seven to twelve residents.

Mike

Sec. 7-9-141. Community care facilities.

Community care facilities serving six (6) or less persons and large family day care homes shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.

Community care facilities serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons, except for large family day care homes, shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150.

(Ord. No. 3470, § 4, 6-20-84; Ord. No. 3560, § 15, 12-17-85; Ord. No. 3655, § 5, 8-25-87; Ord. No. 3816, § 29, 3-12-91; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93)

Sec. 7-9-141.1. Reserved.

Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.1 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to larger congregate care facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3655, § 6, Aug. 25, 1987. See now § 7-9-142.

Sec. 7-9-141.2. Child day care facilities/day care nurseries.

Child day care facilities/day care nurseries serving more than fourteen (14) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community or specific plan area (except in designated airport accident potential zones) where this use is not otherwise identified as a permitted use, subject to the approval of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150. (Ord. No. 3754, § 82, 5-16-89; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93; Ord. No. 3981, § 21, 4-22-97)

(Ord. No. 3754, § 82, 5-16-89; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93; Ord. No. 3981, § 21, 4-22-97) Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.3 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to single room occupancy facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3834, § 2, adopted Aug. 20, 1991. See now § 7-9-138.

Sec. 7-9-141.3. Congregate care facilities.

- (a) A congregate care facility serving six (6) or fewer persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.
- (b) A congregate care facility serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission pursuant to section 7-9-150. A congregate care facility shall;
- (1) Demonstrate compatibility with adjacent development;
- (2) Provide adequate on site parking for residents and staff;
- (3) Provide adequate screening of the facility by landscaping and/or fencing; and
- (4) Limit signage and lighting.
- (c) A congregate care facility serving more than twelve (12) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for either multifamily residential or hotels subject to the approval of a use permit by the planning commission pursuant to section 7-9-150.
- (d) Equivalent dwelling unit counts for congregate care facilities shall be determined by the following table. The consequent unit counts are to be subtracted from the total number of allowed dwelling units for a planned community or specific plan area, and will also determine consistency with area per dwelling unit zoning limitations.

 TABLE INSET:

Configuration	Dwelling Unit Counts	
2 or more bedrooms in the unit	1 dwelling	
1 bedroom in the unit	.5 dwelling	
0 bedroom in the unit	.25 dwelling	
Medical care rooms	0 dwelling	

Density bonuses may be granted to congregate care facilities in residentially-zoned areas in the same manner that they may be granted to standard residential projects per the housing element. (Ord. No. 08-015, § 2, 11-18-08)

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 2:18 PM
To: Chrisos, Nick [COCO]; Neely, Tim
Subject: Not a Manage Issue

፞ የ፝\$ 01601

Hi Tim and Nick --

Maybe a quick question for you. About six group homes in West Santa Ana Heights were added to the City after annexation in Jan 2008. The operators are saying that the County never required any kind of permit for these homes, despite the fact that at least four of them house 18 people each.

Is there anything in your codes for unincorporated areas that requires a Use Permit or other discretionary land use action for large group homes?

Dave Kiff Assistant City Manager 949-644-3002

1621 INDUS: USE PERMIT DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION No. HO-2009-004

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING WITH PREJUDICE USE PERMIT NO. 2008-035 TO ALLOW AN EXISTING GROUP RESIDENTIAL USE TO CONTINUE AT 1621 INDUS STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA (PA2008-106)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.91A to the NBMC. Chapter 20.91A sets forth a process by which existing nonconforming uses in residential areas, including existing group residential care facilities (except for state-licensed drug or alcohol treatment homes serving six or fewer clients), must apply for use permits to remain in operation at their current location beyond February 2009; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., submitted Group Residential Use Permit applications for four sober living facilities located at 1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street and 20172 Redlands Drive, all located in the City of Newport Beach, California; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., located at 1621 Indus Street ("Use Location") in Newport Beach, California, is an existing group residential care facility operating an unlicensed "sober living" facility for 18 women in an existing single-family dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc. ("Use") pursuant to Ordinance No. 2008-05 within the applicable time period with respect to property located at 1621 Indus Street, and legally described as Lot 18, Tract 4307 in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-361-04), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, in the Office of the County Recorder of Orange County, requesting approval of Use Permit No. 2008-035 to allow a residential care facility to continue its operations as an 18 bed adult sober living facility for females only; and

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2009, a Hearing Officer held a noticed hearing in the City Hall Council Chambers, at 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California at which time the project application was considered. Notice of time, place and purpose of the public hearing was given in accordance with the Newport Beach Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented to, and considered by, the Hearing Officer at this meeting, and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009, when the

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 1621 Indus Street (Use Permit No. 2008-035) Page 2 of 4

public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1621 Indus Street was established on or after August 2003, during the time when the location was part of Orange County unincorporated territory and subject to the Orange County Codified Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1621 Indus Street was established by Yellowstone in advance of the City's January 1, 2008, annexation of West Santa Ana Heights. Previous to January 1, 2008, the Yellowstone facility was subject to the regulations of the County of Orange, as such regulations apply to County unincorporated lands. The Orange County Codified Ordinances allows certain specified recovery facilities to establish in residential zones, provided that the facilities obtain a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission. More specifically, the use permit requirement applies to "Community Care Facilities" and "Congregate Care Facilities" which house from seven (7) to twelve (12), inclusive, persons requiring care (Section 7-9-141, Section 7-9-141.3[b], and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances). Congregate Care Facilities which house 13 or more persons are permitted with a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for multifamily residential dwellings or hotels (Section 7-9-141.3[c] and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances); and

WHEREAS, an inquiry made by the City to the County of Orange's Planning Department ("OC Planning") in February 2009 showed that only one use permit was issued by the County of Orange that applies to any of Yellowstone's four operations, and that use permit was a temporary use permit (TPU 050001) authorizing the facility at 1621 Indus Street to hold 40 meetings at 1621 Indus Street within Calendar Year 2005. The County has no record of any of the four Yellowstone facilities (1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street, and 20172 Redlands Drive) having received use permits authorizing their operation as either Community Care Facilities or Congregate Care Facilities from the County of Orange's Planning Commission per the Orange County Codified Ordinances. Although County Planning staff and Code Enforcement staff informed City staff verbally and in writing (Exhibit 1, attached hereto) that sober living houses would be considered a Community Care Facility or a Congregate Care Facility, nothing in the record known to the City shows that the facilities were legally-established uses at any time Yellowstone operated them while the West Santa Ana Heights area was part of the County of Orange's unincorporated territory; and

WHEREAS, any person whose property in a residential district was rendered nonconforming by the passage of Ordinance No. 2008-05 adding Chapter 20.91A may seek the issuance of conditional use permit to allow the continued use of an existing group residential care facility if the application was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NBMC Section 20.62.030 (Determination of Nonconformity), a nonconforming use is "Any use found to have been lawfully established and maintained, but which does not conform with the use regulations or required conditions for the district in which it is located by reason of adoption or amendment of this code or by reason of annexation of territory to the City, shall be deemed to be a nonconforming use. A nonconforming use includes a use that was lawfully established and maintained but is conditionally permitted in the district and has not obtained a use permit. A use shall not be considered to have been "lawfully established and maintained" and is an illegal use if it was established or operated without required permits and licenses, including but not limited to permits and licenses required by any federal, state, or local government agency" (emphasis added); and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1621 Indus Street was not a lawfully established and maintained use when it was established within the Orange County unincorporated territory known as West Santa Ana Heights, and is therefore not qualified to seek a use permit to continue the use in its current location; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1</u>. The Hearing Officer hereby denies with prejudice Use Permit No. 2008-035.

<u>Section 2</u>. The action shall become final and effective fourteen (14) days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20, Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 1621 Indus Street (Use Permit No. 2008-035) Page 4 of 4

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this 14th day of April, 2009.

By:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST

₩S 01607

Hearing Officer Resolution 1621 Indus Street Use Permit No. 2008-035

EXHIBIT 1

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: RE: Not an MB Issue

Dave ~

The only property from your list with any kind of Use Permit is 1621 Indus with a 2005 Temporary Use Permit to hold meetings (attached).

Also, the OC Zoning Code definitions of the possible facilities are below.

See ya,

Mike

Congregate Care Facility: A facility, including a Congregate Living Health Facility as defined in State law, providing care on a monthly basis or longer and which is the primary residence of the people it serves. It provides services to the residents such as the following: dining, housekeeping, security, medical, transportation and recreation. Any commercial services provided are for the exclusive use of the occupants of the facility. Such a facility may be located in more than one (1) building and on contiguous parcels within the building site. It includes facilities offering occupancy on a monthly basis and longer such as hotels, resorts, etc., which have characteristics similar to the above.

Community Care Facility: Any facility which may or may not require a State license to provide nonmedical residential care or day care for children, adults or both, including physically handicapped and mentally incompetent persons. This includes child day care facilities/day care nurseries and family day care homes.

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 1:27 PM

To: Wellborn, Michael

Subject: RE: Not a Man Barrier Issue

Hi Mike ---

Many thanks for that input. Can I ask for one more favor, just to confirm the below question:

- 1561 Indus Street houses 12 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2007
- 1621 Indus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2003
- 1571 Pegasus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 20172 Redlands Drive houses 18 men, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 1 -- Do your records show any Use Permits issued for these locations?
- 2 Can you refer me to the OCCO section that defines a Congregate or a Community Care Facility?

Dave

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 12:28 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: Not a Man Basse Issue

Hi Dave ~

In response to your inquiry to Tim and Nick, I have spliced on the relevant OC Zoning Code sections for Community Care and Congregate Care facilities (7-9-141 and 7-9-142).

Both allow up to six residents in a group home without any permit.

Both require a Use Permit from the Planning Commission for seven to twelve residents.

Mike

Sec. 7-9-141. Community care facilities.

Community care facilities serving six (6) or less persons and large family day care homes shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.

Community care facilities serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons, except for large family day care homes, shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150.

(Ord. No. 3470, § 4, 6-20-84; Ord. No. 3560, § 15, 12-17-85; Ord. No. 3655, § 5, 8-25-87; Ord. No. 3816, § 29, 3-12-91; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93)

Sec. 7-9-141.1. Reserved.

Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.1 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to larger congregate care facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3655, § 6, Aug. 25, 1987. See now § 7-9-142.

Sec. 7-9-141.2. Child day care facilities/day care nurseries.

Child day care facilities/day care nurseries serving more than fourteen (14) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community or specific plan area (except in designated airport accident potential zones) where this use is not otherwise identified as a permitted use, subject to the approval of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150. (Ord. No. 3754, § 82, 5-16-89; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93; Ord. No. 3981, § 21, 4-22-97) Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.3 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to single room occupancy facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3834, § 2, adopted Aug. 20, 1991. See now § 7-9-138.

Sec. 7-9-141.3. Congregate care facilities.

- (a) A congregate care facility serving six (6) or fewer persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.
- (b) A congregate care facility serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission pursuant to section 7-9-150. A congregate care facility shall;
- (1) Demonstrate compatibility with adjacent development;
- (2) Provide adequate on site parking for residents and staff;
- (3) Provide adequate screening of the facility by landscaping and/or fencing; and
- (4) Limit signage and lighting.
- (c) A congregate care facility serving more than twelve (12) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for either multifamily residential or hotels subject to the approval of a use permit by the planning commission pursuant to section 7-9-150.
- (d) Equivalent dwelling unit counts for congregate care facilities shall be determined by the following table. The consequent unit counts are to be subtracted from the total number of allowed dwelling units for a planned community or specific plan area, and will also determine consistency with area per dwelling unit zoning limitations.

 TABLE INSET:

Configuration	Dwelling Unit Counts
2 or more bedrooms in the unit	1 dwelling
1 bedroom in the unit	.5 dwelling
0 bedroom in the unit	.25 dwelling
Medical care rooms	0 dwelling

Density bonuses may be granted to congregate care facilities in residentially-zoned areas in the same manner that they may be granted to standard residential projects per the housing element. (Ord. No. 08-015, § 2, 11-18-08)

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 2:18 PM
To: Chrisos, Nick [COCO]; Néely, Tim
Subject: Not a Man Bassa Issue

፞፞፞፞፞**¥**\$ 01611

Hi Tim and Nick -

Maybe a quick question for you. About six group homes in West Santa Ana Heights were added to the City after annexation in Jan 2008. The operators are saying that the County never required any kind of permit for these homes, despite the fact that at least four of them house 18 people each.

Is there anything in your codes for unincorporated areas that requires a Use Permit or other discretionary land use action for large group homes?

Dave Kiff Assistant City Manager 949-644-3002

1571 PEGASUS: USE PERMIT DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION No. HO-2009-005

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING WITH PREJUDICE USE PERMIT NO. 2008-036 TO ALLOW AN EXISTING GROUP RESIDENTIAL USE TO CONTINUE AT 1571 PEGASUS STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA (PA2008-107)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.91A to the NBMC. Chapter 20.91A sets forth a process by which existing nonconforming uses in residential areas, including existing group residential care facilities (except for state-licensed drug or alcohol treatment homes serving six or fewer clients), must apply for use permits to remain in operation at their current location beyond February 2009; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., submitted Group Residential Use Permit applications for four sober living facilities located at 1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street and 20172 Redlands Drive, all located in the City of Newport Beach, California; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., located at 1571 Pegasus Street ("Use Location") in Newport Beach, California, is an existing group residential care facility operating an unlicensed "sober living" facility for 18 women in an existing single-family dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc. ("Use") pursuant to Ordinance No. 2008-05 within the applicable time period with respect to property located at 1571 Pegasus Street, and legally described as Lot 8, Tract 4307 in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-361-14), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, in the Office of the County Recorder of Orange County, requesting approval of Use Permit No. 2008-036 to allow a residential care facility to continue its operations as an 18 bed adult sober living facility for females only; and

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2009, a Hearing Officer held a noticed hearing in the City Hall Council Chambers, at 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California at which time the project application was considered. Notice of time, place and purpose of the public hearing was given in accordance with the Newport Beach Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented to, and considered by, the Hearing Officer at this meeting, and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009, when the

public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1571 Pegasus Street was established on or after December 2004, during the time when the location was part of Orange County unincorporated territory and subject to the Orange County Codified Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1571 Pegasus Street was established by Yellowstone in advance of the City's January 1, 2008, annexation of West Santa Ana Heights. Previous to January 1, 2008, the Yellowstone facility was subject to the regulations of the County of Orange, as such regulations apply to County unincorporated lands. The Orange County Codified Ordinances allows certain specified recovery facilities to establish in residential zones, provided that the facilities obtain a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission. More specifically, the use permit requirement applies to "Community Care Facilities" and "Congregate Care Facilities" which house from seven (7) to twelve (12), inclusive, persons requiring care (Section 7-9-141, Section 7-9-141.3[b], and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances). Congregate Care Facilities which house 13 or more persons are permitted with a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for multifamily residential dwellings or hotels (Section 7-9-141.3[c] and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances); and

WHEREAS, an inquiry made by the City to the County of Orange's Planning Department ("OC Planning") in February 2009 showed that only one use permit was issued by the County of Orange that applies to any of Yellowstone's four operations, and that use permit was a temporary use permit (TPU 050001) authorizing the facility at 1621 Indus Street to hold 40 meetings at 1621 Indus Street within Calendar Year 2005. The County has no record of any of the four Yellowstone facilities (1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street, and 20172 Redlands Drive) having received use permits authorizing their operation as either Community Care Facilities or Congregate Care Facilities from the County of Orange's Planning Commission per the Orange County Codified Ordinances. Although County Planning staff and Code Enforcement staff informed City staff verbally and in writing (Exhibit 1, attached hereto) that sober living houses would be considered a Community Care Facility or a Congregate Care Facility, nothing in the record known to the City shows that the facilities were legally-established uses at any time Yellowstone operated them while the

West Santa Ana Heights area was part of the County of Orange's unincorporated territory; and

WHEREAS, any person whose property in a residential district was rendered nonconforming by the passage of Ordinance No. 2008-05 adding Chapter 20.91A may seek the issuance of conditional use permit to allow the continued use of an existing group residential care facility if the application was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NBMC Section 20.62.030 (Determination of Nonconformity), a nonconforming use is "Any use found to have been lawfully established and maintained, but which does not conform with the use regulations or required conditions for the district in which it is located by reason of adoption or amendment of this code or by reason of annexation of territory to the City, shall be deemed to be a nonconforming use. A nonconforming use includes a use that was lawfully established and maintained but is conditionally permitted in the district and has not obtained a use permit. A use shall not be considered to have been "lawfully established and maintained" and is an illegal use if it was established or operated without required permits and licenses, including but not limited to permits and licenses required by any federal, state, or local government agency" (emphasis added); and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 1571 Pegasus Street was not a lawfully established and maintained use when it was established within the Orange County unincorporated territory known as West Santa Ana Heights, and is therefore not qualified to seek a use permit to continue the use in its current location; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1</u>. The Hearing Officer hereby denies with prejudice Use Permit No. 2008-036.

Section 2. The action shall become final and effective fourteen (14) days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 1571 Pegasus Street (Use Permit No. 2008-036) Page 4 of 4

Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20, Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this 14th day of April, 2009.

Bv:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST

¥₺ 01617

Hearing Officer Resolution 1571 Pegasus Street Use Permit No. 2008-036

EXHIBIT 1

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: RE: Not an MB Issue

Dave ~

The only property from your list with any kind of Use Permit is 1621 Indus with a 2005 Temporary Use Permit to hold meetings (attached).

Also, the OC Zoning Code definitions of the possible facilities are below.

See ya,

Mike

Congregate Care Facility: A facility, including a Congregate Living Health Facility as defined in State law, providing care on a monthly basis or longer and which is the primary residence of the people it serves. It provides services to the residents such as the following: dining, housekeeping, security, medical, transportation and recreation. Any commercial services provided are for the exclusive use of the occupants of the facility. Such a facility may be located in more than one (1) building and on contiguous parcels within the building site. It includes facilities offering occupancy on a monthly basis and longer such as hotels, resorts, etc., which have characteristics similar to the above.

Community Care Facility: Any facility which may or may not require a State license to provide nonmedical residential care or day care for children, adults or both, including physically handicapped and mentally incompetent persons. This includes child day care facilities/day care nurseries and family day care homes.

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 1:27 PM

To: Wellborn, Michael

Subject: RE: Not a Man Barrier Issue

Hi Mike ---

Many thanks for that input. Can I ask for one more favor, just to confirm the below question:

- 1561 Indus Street houses 12 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2007
- 1621 Indus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2003
- 1571 Pegasus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 20172 Redlands Drive houses 18 men, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 1 -- Do your records show any Use Permits issued for these locations?
- 2 Can you refer me to the OCCO section that defines a Congregate or a Community Care Facility?

Dave

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 12:28 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: Not a Man Basse Issue

Hi Dave ~

In response to your inquiry to Tim and Nick, I have spliced on the relevant OC Zoning Code sections for Community Care and Congregate Care facilities (7-9-141 and 7-9-142).

Both allow up to six residents in a group home without any permit.

Both require a Use Permit from the Planning Commission for seven to twelve residents.

Mike

Sec. 7-9-141. Community care facilities.

Community care facilities serving six (6) or less persons and large family day care homes shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.

Community care facilities serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons, except for large family day care homes, shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150.

(Ord. No. 3470, § 4, 6-20-84; Ord. No. 3560, § 15, 12-17-85; Ord. No. 3655, § 5, 8-25-87; Ord. No. 3816, § 29, 3-12-91; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93)

Sec. 7-9-141.1. Reserved.

Editor's note! Section 7-9-141.1 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to larger congregate care facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3655, § 6, Aug. 25, 1987. See now § 7-9-142.

፞ଟ୍ଟି 01620

Sec. 7-9-141.2. Child day care facilities/day care nurseries.

Child day care facilities/day care nurseries serving more than fourteen (14) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community or specific plan area (except in designated airport accident potential zones) where this use is not otherwise identified as a permitted use, subject to the approval of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150. (Ord. No. 3754, § 82, 5-16-89; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93; Ord. No. 3981, § 21, 4-22-97) Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.3 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to single room occupancy facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3834, § 2, adopted Aug. 20, 1991. See now § 7-9-138.

Sec. 7-9-141.3. Congregate care facilities.

(a) A congregate care facility serving six (6) or fewer persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.

(b) A congregate care facility serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission pursuant to section 7-9-150. A congregate care facility shall:

(1) Derhonstrate compatibility with adjacent development;

(2) Provide adequate on site parking for residents and staff;

(3) Provide adequate screening of the facility by landscaping and/or fencing; and

(4) Limit signage and lighting.

(c) A congregate care facility serving more than twelve (12) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for either multifamily residential or hotels subject to the approval of a use permit by the planning commission pursuant to section 7-9-150.

(d) Equivalent dwelling unit counts for congregate care facilities shall be determined by the following table. The consequent unit counts are to be subtracted from the total number of allowed dwelling units for a planned community or specific plan area, and will also determine consistency with area per dwelling unit zoning limitations.

TABLE INSET:

Configuration	Dwelling Unit Counts
2 or more bedrooms in the unit	1 dwelling
1 bedroom in the unit	.5 dwelling
0 bedroom in the unit	.25 dwelling
Medical care rooms	0 dwelling

Density bonuses may be granted to congregate care facilities in residentially-zoned areas in the same manner that they may be granted to standard residential projects per the housing element. (Ord. No. 08-015, § 2, 11-18-08)

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 2:18 PM
To: Chrisos, Nick [COCO]; Neely, Tim
Subject: Not a Manage Issue

Hi Tim and Nick -

Maybe a quick question for you. About six group homes in West Santa Ana Heights were added to the City after annexation in Jan 2008. The operators are saying that the County never required any kind of permit for these homes, despite the fact that at least four of them house 18 people each.

Is there anything in your codes for unincorporated areas that requires a Use Permit or other discretionary land use action for large group homes?

Dave Kiff Assistant City Manager 949-644-3002

20172 REDLANDS: USE PERMIT DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION No. HO-2009-006

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING WITH PREJUDICE USE PERMIT NO. 2008-037 TO ALLOW AN EXISTING GROUP RESIDENTIAL USE TO CONTINUE AT 20172 REDLANDS DRIVE, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA (PA2008-108)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.91A to the NBMC. Chapter 20.91A sets forth a process by which existing nonconforming uses in residential areas, including existing group residential care facilities (except for state-licensed drug or alcohol treatment homes serving six or fewer clients), must apply for use permits to remain in operation at their current location beyond February 2009; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., submitted Group Residential Use Permit applications for four sober living facilities located at 1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street and 20172 Redlands Drive, all located in the City of Newport Beach, California; and

WHEREAS, Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., located at 20172 Redlands Drive ("Use Location") in Newport Beach, California, is an existing group residential care facility operating an unlicensed "sober living" facility for 17 men in an existing single-family dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc. ("Use") pursuant to Ordinance No. 2008-05 within the applicable time period with respect to property located at 20172 Redlands Drive, and legally described as Lot 36, Tract 4307, in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-362-07), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, in the Office of the County Recorder of Orange County, requesting approval of Use Permit No. 2008-037 to allow a residential care facility to continue its operations as a 17 bed adult sober living facility for males only; and

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2009, a Hearing Officer held a noticed hearing in the City Hall Council Chambers, at 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California at which time the project application was considered. Notice of time, place and purpose of the public hearing was given in accordance with the Newport Beach Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented to, and considered by, the Hearing Officer at this meeting, and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009, when the

public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 20172 Redlands Drive was established on or after March 2005, during the time when the location was part of Orange County unincorporated territory and subject to the Orange County Codified Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 20172 Redlands Drive was established by Yellowstone in advance of the City's January 1, 2008, annexation of West Santa Ana Heights. Previous to January 1, 2008, the Yellowstone facility was subject to the regulations of the County of Orange, as such regulations apply to County unincorporated lands. The Orange County Codified Ordinances allows certain specified recovery facilities to establish in residential zones, provided that the facilities obtain a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission. More specifically, the use permit requirement applies to "Community Care Facilities" and "Congregate Care Facilities" which house from seven (7) to twelve (12), inclusive, persons requiring care (Section 7-9-141, Section 7-9-141.3[b], and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances). Congregate Care Facilities which house 13 or more persons are permitted with a use permit issued by the Orange County Planning Commission in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for multifamily residential dwellings or hotels (Section 7-9-141.3[c] and Section 7-9-150 of the Orange County Codified Ordinances); and

WHEREAS, an inquiry made by the City to the County of Orange's Planning Department ("OC Planning") in February 2009 showed that only one use permit was issued by the County of Orange that applies to any of Yellowstone's four operations, and that use permit was a temporary use permit (TPU 050001) authorizing the facility at 1621 Indus Street to hold 40 meetings at 1621 Indus Street within Calendar Year 2005. The County has no record of any of the four Yellowstone facilities (1561 Indus Street, 1621 Indus Street, 1571 Pegasus Street, and 20172 Redlands Drive) having received use permits authorizing their operation as either Community Care Facilities or Congregate Care Facilities from the County of Orange's Planning Commission per the Orange County Codified Ordinances. Although County Planning staff and Code Enforcement staff informed City staff verbally and in writing (Exhibit 1, attached hereto) that sober living houses would be considered a Community Care Facility or a Congregate Care Facility, nothing in the record known to the City shows that the facilities were legally-established uses at any time Yellowstone operated them while the

West Santa Ana Heights area was part of the County of Orange's unincorporated territory; and

WHEREAS, any person whose property in a residential district was rendered nonconforming by the passage of Ordinance No. 2008-05 adding Chapter 20.91A may seek the issuance of conditional use permit to allow the continued use of an existing group residential care facility if the application was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NBMC Section 20.62.030 (Determination of Nonconformity), a nonconforming use is "Any use found to have been lawfully established and maintained, but which does not conform with the use regulations or required conditions for the district in which it is located by reason of adoption or amendment of this code or by reason of annexation of territory to the City, shall be deemed to be a nonconforming use. A nonconforming use includes a use that was lawfully established and maintained but is conditionally permitted in the district and has not obtained a use permit. A use shall not be considered to have been "lawfully established and maintained" and is an illegal use if it was established or operated without required permits and licenses, including but not limited to permits and licenses required by any federal, state, or local government agency" (emphasis added); and

WHEREAS, the Yellowstone facility located at 20172 Redlands Drive was not a lawfully established and maintained use when it was established within the Orange County unincorporated territory known as West Santa Ana Heights, and is therefore not qualified to seek a use permit to continue the use in its current location; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1</u>. The Hearing Officer hereby denies with prejudice Use Permit No. 2008-037.

Section 2. The action shall become final and effective fourteen (14) days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 20172 Redlands Drive (Use Permit No. 2008-037) Page 4 of 4

Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20, Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this 14th day of April, 2009.

Bv:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST

ੴ 01627

Hearing Officer Resolution 20172 Redlands Drive Use Permit No. 2008-037

EXHIBIT 1

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: RE: Not an MB Issue

Dave ~

The only property from your list with any kind of Use Permit is 1621 Indus with a 2005 Temporary Use Permit to hold meetings (attached).

Also, the OC Zoning Code definitions of the possible facilities are below.

See ya,

Mike

Congregate Care Facility: A facility, including a Congregate Living Health Facility as defined in State law, providing care on a monthly basis or longer and which is the primary residence of the people it serves. It provides services to the residents such as the following: dining, housekeeping, security, medical, transportation and recreation. Any commercial services provided are for the exclusive use of the occupants of the facility. Such a facility may be located in more than one (1) building and on contiguous parcels within the building site. It includes facilities offering occupancy on a monthly basis and longer such as hotels, resorts, etc., which have characteristics similar to the above.

Community Care Facility: Any facility which may or may not require a State license to provide nonmedical residential care or day care for children, adults or both, including physically handicapped and mentally incompetent persons. This includes child day care facilities/day care nurseries and family day care homes.

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 1:27 PM

To: Wellborn, Michael

Subject: RE: Not a Man Barren Issue

Hi Mike ---

Many thanks for that input. Can I ask for one more favor, just to confirm the below question:

- 1561 Indus Street houses 12 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2007
- 1621 Indus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2003
- 1571 Pegasus Street houses 18 women, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 20172 Redlands Drive houses 18 men, in a congregate/community care environment, and the operator states that they have done so since 2005
- 1 Do your records show any Use Permits issued for these locations?
- 2 Can you refer me to the OCCO section that defines a Congregate or a Community Care Facility?

Dave

From: Wellborn, Michael [mailto:Michael.Wellborn@rdmd.ocgov.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 12:28 PM

To: Kiff, Dave

Subject: Not a Man Basse Issue

Hi Dave ~

In response to your inquiry to Tim and Nick, I have spliced on the relevant OC Zoning Code sections for Community Care and Congregate Care facilities (7-9-141 and 7-9-142).

Both allow up to six residents in a group home without any permit.

Both require a Use Permit from the Planning Commission for seven to twelve residents.

Mike

Sec. 7-9-141. Community care facilities.

Community care facilities serving six (6) or less persons and large family day care homes shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.

Community care facilities serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons, except for large family day care homes, shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150.

(Ord. No. 3470, § 4, 6-20-84; Ord. No. 3560, § 15, 12-17-85; Ord. No. 3655, § 5, 8-25-87; Ord. No. 3816, § 29, 3-12-91; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93)

Sec. 7-9-141.1. Reserved.

Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.1 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to larger congregate care facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3655, § 6, Aug. 25, 1987. See now § 7-9-142.

Sec. 7-9-141.2. Child day care facilities/day care nurseries.

Child day care facilities/day care nurseries serving more than fourteen (14) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community or specific plan area (except in designated airport accident potential zones) where this use is not otherwise identified as a permitted use, subject to the approval of a use permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-150. (Ord. No. 3754, § 82, 5-16-89; Ord. No. 3887, § 21, 3-23-93; Ord. No. 3981, § 21, 4-22-97) Editor's note: Section 7-9-141.3 was repealed by § 21 of Ord. No. 3887, adopted Apr. 6, 1993. The section related to single room occupancy facilities and was derived from Ord. No. 3834, § 2, adopted Aug. 20, 1991. See now § 7-9-138.

Sec. 7-9-141.3. Congregate care facilities.

(a) A congregate care facility serving six (6) or fewer persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses and shall be regarded as a single-family dwelling for purposes of zoning and land use regulations.

(b) A congregate care facility serving seven (7) to twelve (12) persons shall be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential or agricultural uses subject to the issuance of a use permit by the Planning Commission pursuant to section 7-9-150. A congregate care facility shall:

- (1) Demonstrate compatibility with adjacent development;
- (2) Provide adequate on site parking for residents and staff;
- (3) Provide adequate screening of the facility by landscaping and/or fencing; and
- (4) Limit signage and lighting.
- (c) A congregate care facility serving more than twelve (12) persons may be permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for either multifamily residential or hotels subject to the approval of a use permit by the planning commission pursuant to section 7-9-150.
- (d) Equivalent dwelling unit counts for congregate care facilities shall be determined by the following table. The consequent unit counts are to be subtracted from the total number of allowed dwelling units for a planned community or specific plan area, and will also determine consistency with area per dwelling unit zoning limitations.

 TABLE INSET:

Configuration	Dwelling Unit Counts
2 or more bedrooms in the unit	1 dwelling
1 bedroom in the unit	.5 dwelling
0 bedroom in the unit	.25 dwelling
Medical care rooms	0 dwelling

Density bonuses may be granted to congregate care facilities in residentially-zoned areas in the same manner that they may be granted to standard residential projects per the housing element. (Ord. No. 08-015, § 2, 11-18-08)

----Original Message----

From: Kiff, Dave [mailto:DKiff@city.newport-beach.ca.us]

Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 2:18 PM
To: Chrisos, Nick [COCO]; Neely, Tim
Subject: Not a Manage Issue

Hi Tim and Nick -

Maybe a quick question for you. About six group homes in West Santa Ana Heights were added to the City after annexation in Jan 2008. The operators are saying that the County never required any kind of permit for these homes, despite the fact that at least four of them house 18 people each.

Is there anything in your codes for unincorporated areas that requires a Use Permit or other discretionary land use action for large group homes?

Dave Kiff Assistant City Manager 949-644-3002

1561 INDUS: REASONABLE ACCOMODATION DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION NO. HQ-2009-007

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION NO. 2009-04 TO ALLOW RESIDENTS OF AN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY LOCATED AT 1561 INDUS STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA, BE TREATED AS A SINGLE HOUSEKEEPING UNIT (PA 2008-105)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.98 to the NBMC. Chapter 20.98 sets forth a process to provide reasonable accommodations in the City's zoning and land use regulations, policies, and practices when needed to provide an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., with respect to property located at 1561 Indus Street, and legally described as Lot 14, Tract 4307 in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-361-08), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, requesting approval of a Reasonable Accommodation for the residents of the facility to be treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on February 20, 2009 in the City Hall Council Chambers, 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California. A notice of time, place and purpose of the meeting was given in accordance with the Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented and considered at this meeting and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009 for action on the resolutions and where the public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, the both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC, the written decision to approve, conditionally approve, or deny a request for reasonable accommodation shall be based on the following findings, all of which are required for approval.

1. Finding: That the requested accommodation is requested by or on the behalf of one or more individuals with a disability protected under the Fair Housing Laws.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> The applicant submitted a written statement stating that every resident of the facility is in recovery from alcohol addiction. Federal regulations and case law have defined recovery from alcoholism and drug addiction as a disability,

because it is a physical or mental condition that substantially impairs one or more major daily life activities.

2. Finding: That the requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

<u>Facts do not support the finding:</u> The exemption requested by the applicant is unnecessarily broad to achieve the goal of providing disabled housing. The request to be considered a Single Housekeeping Unit is essentially a request to be exempted from *all* of the provisions of Ordinance 2008-05 which place any sort of reasonable regulation on the operations of residential care facilities. This is not necessary, because there are many more narrowly tailored accommodations that could enable facility residents to enjoy the housing of their choice without depriving the surrounding neighborhood of reasonable conditions that mitigate the adverse secondary impacts that emanate from this facility.

The applicant's counsel asserts in a letter dated January 29, 2009, that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary "because the Property is not transient or institutional in nature such that it fits the definition of a non-licensed residential care facility." Even if the facility were not transient or institutional in nature, and did not clearly fit the definition of a sober living home, or unlicensed residential care facility, an exemption from the provisions of Ordinance No. 2008-05 is not necessary to afford its residents the opportunity to live in and enjoy a dwelling.

However, the applicant raised the issue of how the facility should be characterized in its necessity argument, and asserted the facility more closely resembles a Single Housekeeping Unit than any other type of residential use. Staff has analyzed the facility's appropriate use classification based on the applicant's submitted materials.

The nature of applicant's facility operations, as reported in the original application for reasonable accommodation submitted in May 2008, closely resembles a boarding house use. But for the fact residents are recovering alcoholics, the facility would be classified as a prohibited Group Residential use, or a Boarding or Rooming House as that term is defined in NBMC 20.05.030. (Residential Use Classifications) ("A residence or dwelling unit, or part thereof, wherein a room or rooms are rented under two or more separate written or oral rental agreements, leases or subleases or combination thereof...")

The applicant's May 2008 application for reasonable accommodation states, "The residents at the property reside separately at the property and interact within the property. There is individual use of common areas. The residents are responsible for their own meals, expenses and chores. Each individual resides at the property subject to a separate contractual arrangement with the applicant."

Dr. Anna Thames, CEO of Yellowstone, has also stated that the facility has no written leases with any of the residents. Rental agreements with residents are verbal. Again, the description of operations is much closer to the NBMC's definition of a boarding house or group residential use than a Single Housekeeping Unit, as the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit requires dwellings rented to bona fide Single Housekeeping Units to be occupied under a single written lease.

The self-reported pattern of facility operations and resident interaction in no way resembles the NBMC definition of a Single Housekeeping Unit. NBMC Section 20.03.030 (Definitions) defines a Single Housekeeping Unit as: "The functional equivalent of a traditional family, whose members are an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit, including the joint use of and responsibility for common areas, and sharing household activities and responsibilities such as meals, chores, household maintenance, and expenses, and where, if the unit is rented, all adult residents have chosen to jointly occupy the entire premises of the dwelling unit, under a single written lease with joint use and responsibility for the premises, and the makeup of the household occupying the unit is determined by the residents of the unit rather than the landlord or property manager."

Applicant's resident clients may be an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit who share common areas, but the applicant's own submittals indicate there is no joint responsibility for meals or expenses, no single written lease (or any written leases at all), and the makeup of the household is determined by the applicant rather than the residents.

NBMC Section 20.98.025(C) allows the City to consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation is necessary to provide the disabled individual an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling:

A. Whether the requested accommodation will affirmatively enhance the quality of life of one or more individuals with a disability.

If the requested accommodation is granted, any number of the applicant's current and potential clients will be able to live in a home in a single-family zone with other recovering alcoholics. This is a situation that can affirmatively enhance the quality of life of a person in recovery from addiction, unless overcrowding of the facility or institutionalization of the neighborhood interferes with the residents' re-integration into society. The applicant's sliding scale of rental rates offers a sober living environment to residents who might not otherwise be able to afford to live in a single-family home in this area.

B. Whether the individual or individuals with a disability will be denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice absent the accommodation.

The exemption requested by the applicant is broader than necessary to achieve the goal of enabling disabled individuals an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice. There are more narrowly tailored exemptions that could enable disabled individuals to reside at the applicant's facility.

C. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the requested accommodation is necessary to make facilities of a similar nature or operation economically viable in light of the particularities of the relevant market and market participants.

The applicant does not state why being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make its facilities viable in light of the current market for the type of services it provides. The applicant states that each facility requires 15 residents in order to be financially viable, and provides a general summary of average income and expenses for all four facilities. The evidence presented does not lead to the conclusion that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make applicant's facilities financially viable.

D. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature and operation in the community is sufficient to provide individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

In 2007, the City estimated that there were more than 315 sober living beds in the city (these are exclusive of the up to 213 ADP-licensed treatment beds). These numbers were compiled before applicant's facilities, with a total of 58 sober living and eight staff beds, were added to the city's supply. Operators of many sober living facilities within the city have reported decreased census and vacant beds, which could provide potential Yellowstone clients with an equal opportunity to live in a sober living environment without granting the accommodation. A recent agreement with Sober Living by the Sea, Inc., authorized SLBTS to provide up to 204 beds citywide. Many of these alternate sober living beds are probably not offered on a sliding fee scale based on ability to pay. The evidence does not support the applicant's contention that treating residents of its facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit will change the availability of the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature, or afford them a substantially greater access to an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

Based on the foregoing analysis, the finding of necessity cannot be made in that the request is unnecessarily broad, the unit does not operate as the

functional equivalent of a single family unit, and the unit does not otherwise meet the criteria of a Single Housekeeping unit.

The City is not required to grant a request for accommodation that is not reasonable. Cities may find a requested accommodation unreasonable if it either (1) imposes an undue financial or administrative burden on the city, or (2) results in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a city program, often described as undermining "the basic purpose which the requirement seeks to achieve."

3. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the City as "undue financial or administrative burden" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> Treating the facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit would not impose a currently identifiable undue financial or administrative burden on the City. If this reasonable accommodation request were granted for all four Yellowstone facilities, the applicant would be able to house a number of residents far in excess of the 66 individuals currently residing in the four homes. Currently unidentifiable financial or administrative burdens could arise as a result.

4. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program, as "fundamental alteration" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts do not support the finding:</u> The purpose of the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit is to allow the determination of whether groups of related or unrelated individuals are living together in a dwelling as a single housekeeping unit. This definition is necessary because of the persistent attempts by landlords to establish illegal boarding houses in dwellings within the City.

Groups living as a single housekeeping unit can live together in any residential zone in Newport Beach. Groups not living as a single housekeeping unit are prohibited from establishing residences in any of the City's residential zones. There is an important exception to the total prohibition of groups not living as a single housekeeping unit -- groups not living as a single housekeeping unit in residential care facilities of any size.

All residential care facilities in the City have received a reasonable accommodation from the NBMC's restrictions on groups not living as a single housekeeping unit. The NBMC provides many opportunities for new facilities to establish, and has provisions for existing facilities to continue in their current locations with appropriate impact mitigation. Licensed facilities housing six or fewer residential can establish in any residential zone of the City.

The NBMC's Zoning Code also applies regulations to unlicensed and larger (more than seven residents) licensed facilities. These regulations are in place to ensure that the fundamental purposes of the Zoning Code can be achieved, and so the adverse secondary impacts higher density residential care facilities have on the surrounding neighborhood can be mitigated.

Pursuant to Section 20.98.025(D) of the NBMC, the City may also consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation would require a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program:

A. Whether the requested accommodation would fundamentally alter the character of the neighborhood.

There were numerous letters, emails and phone calls from neighbors of the facilities that reported increasing negative secondary impacts on the neighborhood as more of the applicant's facilities established there in recent years. The impacts reported include: family and other visitors to the facilities; litter in the neighborhood which complainants attribute to the applicant's facilities, including cigarette butts, soda cans, and beer cans and bottles; facility residents traveling in groups between one facility and the others; meetings held regularly at one or more of the applicant's facilities, with outside attendees; excessive use of on-street parking by facility residents and their guests.

B. Whether the accommodation would result in a substantial increase in traffic or insufficient parking.

Parking - The enclosed garage spaces and driveway parking spaces allow for the staff vehicles to be accommodated without impacting neighborhood parking.

Traffic and Generated Trips - The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) establishes and publishes standards for trip generation rates based on the use classification of a site. In the case of a single family dwelling, the standard trip rate is based on 9.57 average daily trips per dwelling. Trip rates for residential care facilities are based on 2.74 average daily trips per each occupied bed. Based on these standards, a 12-bed residential care facility is estimated to generate approximately 32.88 average daily trips. Applying this formula, the facility will generate average daily trips substantially in excess of surrounding single family dwellings.

5. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not, under the specific facts of the case, result in a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others.

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution (1561 Indus Street) (Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-04) Page 7 of 8

Facts in support of finding: A request for reasonable accommodation may be denied if granting it would pose "a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or result in substantial physical damage to the property of others." See 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(9). This is a very limited exception and can only be used when, based on the specific facts of a situation, a requested accommodation results in a significant and particularized threat. Federal cases interpreting this exception in the FHAA indicate that requested accommodations cannot be denied due to generalized fears of the risks posed by disabled persons.

WHEREAS, to approve a request for Reasonable Accommodation all five required findings contained Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC must be made; and

WHEREAS, specifically, findings Nos. 2 and 4 of Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC cannot be made; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Hearing Officer of the City of Newport Beach hereby denies with prejudice Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-04, Request No. 1, that the residents of the facility to be treated as a single housekeeping unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC).

<u>Section 2.</u> This action shall become final and effective fourteen days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20 Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 14th DAY OFAPRIL, 2009.

By:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST:

¥\$ 01641

1621 INDUS: REASONABLE ACCOMODATION DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION NO. HO-2009-008

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION NO. 2009-05 TO ALLOW RESIDENTS OF AN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY LOCATED AT 1621 INDUS STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA BE TREATED AS A SINGLE HOUSEKEEPING UNIT (PA 2008-106)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.98 to the NBMC. Chapter 20.98 sets forth a process to provide reasonable accommodations in the City's zoning and land use regulations, policies, and practices when needed to provide an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone First Step House, Inc., with respect to property located at 1621 Indus Street, and legally described as Lot 18, Tract 4307, in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-361-04), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, requesting approval of a Reasonable Accommodation for the residents of the facility to be treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on February 20, 2009 in the City Hall Council Chambers, 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California. A notice of time, place and purpose of the meeting was given in accordance with the Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented and considered at this meeting and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009 for action on the resolutions and where the public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, the both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC, the written decision to approve, conditionally approve, or deny a request for reasonable accommodation shall be based on the following findings, all of which are required for approval.

1. Finding: That the requested accommodation is requested by or on the behalf of one or more individuals with a disability protected under the Fair Housing Laws.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> The applicant submitted a written statement stating that every resident of the facility is in recovery from alcohol addiction. Federal regulations and case law have defined recovery from alcoholism and drug addiction as a disability,

because it is a physical or mental condition that substantially impairs one or more major daily life activities.

2. Finding: That the requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

Facts do not support finding: The exemption requested by the applicant is unnecessarily broad to achieve the goal of providing disabled housing. The request to be considered a Single Housekeeping Unit is essentially a request to be exempted from all of the provisions of Ordinance No. 2008-05 which place any sort of reasonable regulation on the operations of residential care facilities. This is not necessary, because there are many more narrowly tailored accommodations that could enable facility residents to enjoy the housing of their choice without depriving the surrounding neighborhood of reasonable conditions that mitigate any adverse secondary impacts that emanate from this facility.

The applicant's counsel asserts in a letter dated January 29, 2009, that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary "because the Property is not transient or institutional in nature such that it fits the definition of a non-licensed residential care facility." Even if the facility were not transient or institutional in nature, and did not clearly fit the definition of a sober living home, or unlicensed residential care facility, an exemption from the provisions of 2008-05 is not necessary to afford its residents the opportunity to live in and enjoy a dwelling.

However, the applicant raised the issue of how the facility should be characterized in its necessity argument, and asserted the facility more closely resembles a Single Housekeeping Unit than any other type of residential use. Staff analyzed the facility's appropriate use classification based on the applicant's submitted materials.

The nature of the facility operations, as reported in the original application for reasonable accommodation submitted in May 2008, closely resembles a boarding house use. But for the fact residents are recovering alcoholics, the facility would be classified as a prohibited Group Residential use, or a Boarding or Rooming House as that term is defined in NBMC 20.05.030. (Residential Use Classifications) ("A residence or dwelling unit, or part thereof, wherein a room or rooms are rented under two or more separate written or oral rental agreements, leases or subleases or combination thereof...")

The applicant's May 2008 application for reasonable accommodation states, "The residents at the property reside separately at the property and interact within the property. There is individual use of common areas. The residents are responsible for their own meals, expenses and chores. Each individual resides at the property subject to a separate contractual arrangement with the applicant."

Dr. Anna Thames, CEO of Yellowtstone, has also stated that the facility has no written leases with any of the residents. Rental agreements with residents are verbal. Again, the description of operations is much closer to the NBMC's definition of a boarding house or group residential use than a Single Housekeeping Unit, as the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit requires dwellings rented to bona fide Single Housekeeping Units to be occupied under a single written lease.

The self-reported pattern of facility operations and resident interaction in no way resembles the NBMC definition of a Single Housekeeping Unit. NBMC Section 20.03.030 (Definitions) defines a Single Housekeeping Unit as: "The functional equivalent of a traditional family, whose members are an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit, including the joint use of and responsibility for common areas, and sharing household activities and responsibilities such as meals, chores, household maintenance, and expenses, and where, if the unit is rented, all adult residents have chosen to jointly occupy the entire premises of the dwelling unit, under a single written lease with joint use and responsibility for the premises, and the makeup of the household occupying the unit is determined by the residents of the unit rather than the landlord or property manager."

Applicant's resident clients may be an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit who share common areas, but the applicant's own submittals indicate there is no joint responsibility for meals or expenses, no single written lease (or any written leases at all), and the makeup of the household is determined by the applicant rather than the residents.

NBMC Section 20.98.025(C) allows the City to consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation is necessary to provide the disabled individual an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling:

A. Whether the requested accommodation will affirmatively enhance the quality of life of one or more individuals with a disability.

If the requested accommodation is granted, any number of the applicant's current and potential clients will be able to live in a home in a single-family zone with other recovering alcoholics. This is a situation that can affirmatively enhance the quality of life of a person in recovery from addiction, unless overcrowding of the facility or institutionalization of the neighborhood interferes with the residents' re-integration into society. The applicant's sliding scale of rental rates offers a sober living environment to residents who might not otherwise be able to afford to live in a single-family home in this area.

B. Whether the individual or individuals with a disability will be denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice absent the accommodation.

The exemption requested by the applicant is broader than necessary to achieve the goal of enabling disabled individuals an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice. There are more narrowly tailored exemptions that could enable disabled individuals to reside at the applicant's facility.

C. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the requested accommodation is necessary to make facilities of a similar nature or operation economically viable in light of the particularities of the relevant market and market participants.

The applicant does not state why being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make its facilities viable in light of the current market for the type of services it provides. The applicant states that each facility requires 15 residents in order to be financially viable, and provides a general summary of average income and expenses for all four facilities. The evidence presented does not lead to the conclusion that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make applicant's facilities financially viable.

D. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature and operation in the community is sufficient to provide individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

In 2007, the City estimated that there were more than 315 sober living beds in the city (these are exclusive of the up to 213 ADP-licensed treatment beds). These numbers were compiled before applicant's facilities, with a total of 58 sober living and eight staff beds, were added to the city's supply. Operators of many sober living facilities within the city have reported decreased census and vacant beds, which could provide potential Yellowstone clients with an equal opportunity to live in a sober living environment without granting the accommodation. A recent agreement with Sober Living by the Sea, Inc., authorized SLBTS to provide up to 204 beds citywide. Many of these alternate sober living beds are probably not offered on a sliding fee scale based on ability to pay. The evidence does not support the applicant's contention that treating residents of its facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit will change the availability of the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature, or afford them a substantially greater access to an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

Based on the foregoing analysis, the finding of necessity cannot be made in that the request is unnecessarily broad, the unit does not operate as the functional equivalent of a single family unit, and the unit does not otherwise meet the criteria of a Single Housekeeping unit.

Even when an applicant can demonstrate necessity, the City is not required to grant a request for accommodation that is not reasonable. Cities may find a requested accommodation unreasonable if it either (1) imposes an undue financial or administrative burden on the city, or (2) results in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a city program, often described as undermining "the basic purpose which the requirement seeks to achieve."

3. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the City as "undue financial or administrative burden" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> Treating the facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit would not impose a currently identifiable undue financial or administrative burden on the City. If this reasonable accommodation request were granted for all four Yellowstone facilities, the applicant would be able to house a number of residents far in excess of the 66 individuals currently residing in the four homes. Currently unidentifiable financial or administrative burdens could arise as a result.

4. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program, as "fundamental alteration" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

Facts do not support the finding: The purpose of the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit is to allow the determination of whether groups of related or unrelated individuals are living together in a dwelling as a single housekeeping unit. This definition is necessary because of the persistent attempts by landlords to establish illegal boarding houses, and illegal dwelling units in dwellings within the City.

Groups living as a single housekeeping unit can live together in any residential zone in Newport Beach. Groups not living as a single housekeeping unit are prohibited from establishing residences in any of the City's residential zones. There is an important exception to the total prohibition of groups not living as a single housekeeping unit -- groups not living as a single housekeeping unit in residential care facilities of any size.

All residential care facilities in the City have received a reasonable accommodation from the NBMC's restrictions on groups not living as a single housekeeping unit. The NBMC provides many opportunities for new facilities to establish, and has provisions for existing facilities to continue in their current locations with appropriate impact residential zone of the City.

The NBMC's Zoning Code also applies regulations to unlicensed and larger (more than seven residents) licensed facilities. These regulations are in place to ensure that the fundamental purposes of the Zoning Code can be achieved, and so the adverse secondary impacts higher density residential care facilities have on the surrounding neighborhood can be mitigated.

Pursuant to Section 20.98.025(D) of the NBMC, the City may also consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation would require a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program:

A. Whether the requested accommodation would fundamentally alter the character of the neighborhood.

There were numerous letters, emails and phone calls from neighbors of the facilities that reported increasing negative secondary impacts on the neighborhood as more of the applicant's facilities established there in recent years. The impacts reported include: family and other visitors to the facilities; litter in the neighborhood which complainants attribute to the applicant's facilities, including cigarette butts, soda cans, and beer cans and bottles; facility residents traveling in groups between one facility and the others; meetings held regularly at one or more of the applicant's facilities, with outside attendees; excessive use of on-street parking by facility residents and their guests.

B. Whether the accommodation would result in a substantial increase in traffic or insufficient parking.

Parking - The enclosed garage spaces and driveway parking spaces would allow for the staff vehicles to be accommodated without impacting neighborhood parking.

Traffic and Generated Trips - The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) establishes and publishes standards for trip generation rates based on the use classification of a site. In the case of a single family dwelling, the standard trip rate is based on 9.57 average daily trips per dwelling. Trip rates for residential care facilities are based on 2.74 average daily trips per each occupied bed. Based on these standards, a 17-bed residential care facility is estimated to generate approximately 47 average daily trips. Applying this formula, the facility will generate average daily trips substantially in excess of surrounding single family dwellings.

5. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not, under the specific facts of the case, result in a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> A request for reasonable accommodation may be denied if granting it would pose "a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or result in substantial physical damage to the property of others." See 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(9). This is a very limited exception and can only be used when, based on the specific facts of a situation, a requested accommodation results in a significant and particularized threat. Federal cases interpreting this exception in the FHAA indicate that requested accommodations cannot be denied due to generalized fears of the risks posed by disabled persons.

WHEREAS, to approve a request for Reasonable Accommodation all five required findings contained Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC must be made; and

WHEREAS, specifically, findings Nos. 2 and 4 of Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC cannot be made; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Hearing Officer of the City of Newport Beach hereby denies with prejudice Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-05, Request No.1, that the residents of the facility be treated as a single housekeeping unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC).

<u>Section 2.</u> This action shall become final and effective fourteen days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20 Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 14th DAY OFAPRIL, 2009.

Bv:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST:

City Clerk

1571 PEGASUS: REASONABLE ACCOMODATION DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION NO. HO-2009-009

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION NO. 2009-06 TO ALLOW RESIDENTS OF AN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY LOCATED AT 1571 PEGASUS STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA, TO BE TREATED AS A SINGLE HOUSEKEEPING UNIT (PA 2008-107)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.98 to the NBMC. Chapter 20.98 sets forth a process to provide reasonable accommodations in the City's zoning and land use regulations, policies, and practices when needed to provide an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone Women's First Step House, Inc., with respect to property located at 1571 Pegasus Street, and legally described as Lot 8, Tract 4307 in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-361-14), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, requesting approval of a Reasonable Accommodation for the residents of the facility to be treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on February 20, 2009 in the City Hall Council Chambers, 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California. A notice of time, place and purpose of the meeting was given in accordance with the Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented and considered at this meeting and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009 for action on the resolutions and where the public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC, the written decision to approve, conditionally approve, or deny a request for reasonable accommodation shall be based on the following findings, all of which are required for approval.

1. Finding: That the requested accommodation is requested by or on the behalf of one or more individuals with a disability protected under the Fair Housing Laws.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> The applicant submitted a written statement that every resident of the facility is in recovery from alcohol addiction. Federal regulations and case law have defined recovery from alcoholism and drug addiction as a disability,

because it is a physical or mental condition that substantially impairs one or more major daily life activities.

2. Finding: That the requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

<u>Facts do not support the finding:</u> The exemption requested by the applicant is unnecessarily broad to achieve the goal of providing disabled housing. The request to be considered a Single Housekeeping Unit is essentially a request to be exempted from *all* of the provisions of Ordinance No. 2008-05 which place any sort of reasonable regulation on the operations of residential care facilities. This is not necessary, because there are many more narrowly tailored accommodations that could enable facility residents to enjoy the housing of their choice without depriving the surrounding neighborhood of reasonable conditions that mitigate any adverse secondary impacts that emanate from this facility.

The applicant's counsel asserts in a letter dated January 29, 2009 that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary "because the Property is not transient or institutional in nature such that it fits the definition of a non-licensed residential care facility." Even if the facility were not transient or institutional in nature, and did not clearly fit the definition of a sober living home, or unlicensed residential care facility, an exemption from the provisions of Ordinance No. 2008-05 is not necessary to afford its residents the opportunity to live in and enjoy a dwelling.

However, the applicant raised the issue of how the facility should be characterized in its necessity argument, and asserted the facility more closely resembles a Single Housekeeping Unit than any other type of residential use. Staff analyzed the facility's appropriate use classification based on the applicant's submitted materials.

The nature of applicant's facility operations, as reported in the original application for reasonable accommodation submitted in May 2008, closely resembles a boarding house use. But for the fact residents are recovering alcoholics, the facility would be classified as a prohibited Group Residential use, or a Boarding or Rooming House as that term is defined in NBMC 20.05.030. (Residential Use Classifications) ("A residence or dwelling unit, or part thereof, wherein a room or rooms are rented under two or more separate written or oral rental agreements, leases or subleases or combination thereof...")

The applicant's May 2008 application for reasonable accommodation states, "The residents at the property reside separately at the property and interact within the property. There is individual use of common areas. The residents are responsible for their own meals, expenses and chores. Each individual resides at the property subject to a separate contractual arrangement with the applicant."

Dr. Anna Thames, CEO of Yellowtstone, has also stated that the facility has no written leases with any of the residents. Rental agreements with residents are verbal. Again, the description of operations is much closer to the NBMC's definition of a boarding house or group residential use than a Single Housekeeping Unit, as the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit requires dwellings rented to bona fide Single Housekeeping Units to be occupied under a single written lease.

The self-reported pattern of facility operations and resident interaction in no way resembles the NBMC definition of a Single Housekeeping Unit. NBMC Section 20.03.030 (Definitions) defines a Single Housekeeping Unit as: "The functional equivalent of a traditional family, whose members are an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit, including the joint use of and responsibility for common areas, and sharing household activities and responsibilities such as meals, chores, household maintenance, and expenses, and where, if the unit is rented, all adult residents have chosen to jointly occupy the entire premises of the dwelling unit, under a single written lease with joint use and responsibility for the premises, and the makeup of the household occupying the unit is determined by the residents of the unit rather than the landlord or property manager."

Applicant's resident clients may be an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit who share common areas, but the applicant's own submittals indicate there is no joint responsibility for meals or expenses, no single written lease (or any written leases at all), and the makeup of the household is determined by the applicant rather than the residents.

NBMC Section 20.98.025(C) allows the City to consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation is necessary to provide the disabled individual an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling:

A. Whether the requested accommodation will affirmatively enhance the quality of life of one or more individuals with a disability.

If the requested accommodation is granted, any number of the applicant's current and potential clients will be able to live in a home in a single-family zone with other recovering alcoholics. This is a situation that can affirmatively enhance the quality of life of a person in recovery from addiction, unless overcrowding of the facility or institutionalization of the neighborhood interferes with the residents' re-integration into society. The applicant's sliding scale of rental rates offers a sober living environment to residents who might not otherwise be able to afford to live in a single-family home in this area.

B. Whether the individual or individuals with a disability will be denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice absent the accommodation.

The exemption requested by the applicant is broader than necessary to achieve the goal of enabling disabled individuals an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice. There are more narrowly tailored exemptions that could enable disabled individuals to reside at the applicant's facility.

C. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the requested accommodation is necessary to make facilities of a similar nature or operation economically viable in light of the particularities of the relevant market and market participants.

The applicant does not state why being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make its facilities viable in light of the current market for the type of services it provides. The applicant states that each facility requires 15 residents in order to be financially viable, and provides a general summary of average income and expenses for all four facilities. The evidence presented does not lead to the conclusion that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make applicant's facilities financially viable.

D. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature and operation in the community is sufficient to provide individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

In 2007, the City estimated that there were more than 315 sober living beds in the city (these are exclusive of the up to 213 ADP-licensed treatment beds). These numbers were compiled before applicant's facilities, with a total of 58 sober living and eight staff beds, were added to the city's supply. Operators of many sober living facilities within the city have reported decreased census and vacant beds, which could provide potential Yellowstone clients with an equal opportunity to live in a sober living environment without granting the accommodation. A recent agreement with Sober Living by the Sea, Inc., authorized SLBTS to provide up to 204 beds citywide. Many of these alternate sober living beds are probably not offered on a sliding fee scale based on ability to pay. However, evidence does not support the applicant's contention that treating residents of its facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit will change the availability of the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature, or afford them a substantially greater access to an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

Based on the foregoing analysis, the finding of necessity cannot be made in that the request is unnecessarily broad, the unit does not operate as the

functional equivalent of a single family unit, and the unit does not otherwise meet the criteria of a Single Housekeeping unit.

Even when an applicant can demonstrate necessity, the City is not required to grant a request for accommodation that is not reasonable. Cities may find a requested accommodation unreasonable if it either (1) imposes an undue financial or administrative burden on the city, or (2) results in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a city program, often described as undermining "the basic purpose which the requirement seeks to achieve."

3. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the City as "undue financial or administrative burden" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> Treating the facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit would not impose a currently identifiable undue financial or administrative burden on the City. If this reasonable accommodation request were granted for all four Yellowstone facilities, the applicant would be able to house a number of residents far in excess of the 66 individuals currently residing in the four homes. Currently unidentifiable financial or administrative burdens could arise as a result.

4. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program, as "fundamental alteration" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts do not support the finding:</u> The purpose of the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit is to allow the determination of whether groups of related or unrelated individuals are living together in a dwelling as a single housekeeping unit. This definition is necessary because of the persistent attempts by landlords to establish illegal boarding houses, and illegal dwelling units in dwellings within the City.

Groups living as a single housekeeping unit can live together in any residential zone in Newport Beach. Groups not living as a single housekeeping unit are prohibited from establishing residences in any of the City's residential zones. There is an important exception to the total prohibition of groups not living as a single housekeeping unit — groups not living as a single housekeeping unit in residential care facilities of any size.

All residential care facilities in the City have received a reasonable accommodation from the NBMC's restrictions on groups not living as a single housekeeping unit. The NBMC provides many opportunities for new facilities to establish, and has provisions for existing facilities to continue in their current locations with appropriate impact residential zone of the City.

The NBMC's Zoning Code also applies regulations to unlicensed and larger (more than seven residents) licensed facilities. These regulations are in place to ensure that the fundamental purposes of the Zoning Code can be achieved, and so the adverse secondary impacts higher density residential care facilities have on the surrounding neighborhood can be mitigated.

Pursuant to Section 20.98.025(D) of the NBMC, the City may also consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation would require a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program:

A. Whether the requested accommodation would fundamentally alter the character of the neighborhood.

There were numerous letters, emails and phone calls from neighbors of the facilities that reported increasing negative secondary impacts on the neighborhood as more of the applicant's facilities established there in recent years. The impacts reported include: family and other visitors to the facilities; litter in the neighborhood which complainants attribute to the applicant's facilities, including cigarette butts, soda cans, and beer cans and bottles; facility residents traveling in groups between one facility and the others; meetings held regularly at one or more of the applicant's facilities, with outside attendees; excessive use of on-street parking by facility residents and their guests.

B. Whether the accommodation would result in a substantial increase in traffic or insufficient parking.

Parking - The enclosed garage spaces and driveway parking spaces would allow for the staff vehicles to be accommodated without impacting neighborhood parking.

Traffic and Generated Trips - The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) establishes and publishes standards for trip generation rates based on the use classification of a site. In the case of a single family dwelling, the standard trip rate is based on 9.57 average daily trips per dwelling. Trip rates for residential care facilities are based on 2.74 average daily trips per each occupied bed. Based on these standards, an 18-bed residential care facility is estimated to generate approximately 49.32 average daily trips. Applying this formula, the facility will generate average daily trips substantially in excess of surrounding single family dwellings. If the facility's bed count is reduced to the 13 beds permitted under the use permit operating standards of NBMC Chapter 20.91A.050, the facility could generate approximately 35.62 average daily trips.

5. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not, under the specific facts of the case, result in a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> A request for reasonable accommodation may be denied if granting it would pose "a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or result in substantial physical damage to the property of others." See 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(9). This is a very limited exception and can only be used when, based on the specific facts of a situation, a requested accommodation results in a significant and particularized threat. Federal cases interpreting this exception in the FHAA indicate that requested accommodations cannot be denied due to generalized fears of the risks posed by disabled persons.

WHEREAS, to approve a request for Reasonable Accommodation all five required findings contained Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC must be made; and

WHEREAS, specifically, findings Nos. 2 and 4 of Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC cannot be made; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Hearing Officer of the City of Newport Beach hereby denies with prejudice Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-06, Request No. 1, that the residents of the facility be treated as a single housekeeping unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC).

<u>Section 2.</u> This action shall become final and effective fourteen days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20 Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution (1571 Pegasus Street) (Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-06) Page 8 of 8

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 14th DAY OF APRIL 100.9

Bv:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST:

City Clerk

NEW PORNIA BEACO

20172 REDLANDS: REASONABLE ACCOMODATION DENIAL RESOLUTION DATED MARCH 14, 2009

RESOLUTION NO. HO-2009-010

A RESOLUTION OF A HEARING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH DENYING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION NO. 2009-07 TO ALLOW RESIDENTS OF AN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY LOCATED AT 20172 REDLANDS DRIVE, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA, TO BE TREATED AS A SINGLE HOUSEKEEPING UNIT (PA 2008-108)

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 was adopted by the Newport Beach City Council on January 22, 2008, following noticed public hearings; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of Ordinance No. 2008-05 amended the City of Newport Beach's Municipal Code (NBMC) relating to Group Residential Uses; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 2008-05 added Chapter 20.98 to the NBMC. Chapter 20.98 sets forth a process to provide reasonable accommodations in the City's zoning and land use regulations, policies, and practices when needed to provide an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling; and

WHEREAS, an application was filed by Yellowstone First Step House, Inc., with respect to property located at 20172 Redlands Drive, and legally described as Lot 36, Tract 4307, in the City of Newport Beach, County of Orange, State of California (APN 119-362-07), as per map recorded in Book 153, Pages 18-20 of Miscellaneous Maps, requesting approval of a Reasonable Accommodation for the residents of the facility to be treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on February 20, 2009 in the City Hall Council Chambers, 3300 Newport Boulevard, Newport Beach, California. A notice of time, place and purpose of the meeting was given in accordance with the Municipal Code. Evidence, both written and oral, was presented and considered at this meeting and the hearing was continued to March 12, 2009 for action on the resolutions and where the public hearing was reopened to receive additional evidence, both written and oral from the applicant, staff and the public; and

WHEREAS, the both hearings were presided over by Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer for the City of Newport Beach; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC, the written decision to approve, conditionally approve, or deny a request for reasonable accommodation shall be based on the following findings, all of which are required for approval.

1. Finding: That the requested accommodation is requested by or on the behalf of one or more individuals with a disability protected under the Fair Housing Laws.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> The applicant submitted a written statement stating that every resident of the facility is in recovery from alcohol addiction. Federal regulations and case law have defined recovery from alcoholism and drug addiction as a disability,

because it is a physical or mental condition that substantially impairs one or more major daily life activities.

2. Finding: That the requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

Facts do not support the finding: The exemption requested by the applicant is unnecessarily broad to achieve the goal of providing disabled housing. The request to be considered a Single Housekeeping Unit is essentially a request to be exempted from all of the provisions of Ordinance No. 2008-05 which place any sort of reasonable regulation on the operations of residential care facilities. This is not necessary, because there are many more narrowly tailored accommodations that could enable facility residents to enjoy the housing of their choice without depriving the surrounding neighborhood of reasonable conditions that mitigate any adverse secondary impacts that emanate from this facility.

The applicant's counsel asserts in a letter dated January 29, 2009 that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary "because the Property is not transient or institutional in nature such that it fits the definition of a non-licensed residential care facility." Even if the facility were not transient or institutional in nature, and did not clearly fit the definition of a sober living home, or unlicensed residential care facility, an exemption from the provisions of 2008-05 is not necessary to afford its residents the opportunity to live in and enjoy a dwelling.

However, the applicant raised the issue of how the facility should be characterized in its necessity argument, and asserted the facility more closely resembles a Single Housekeeping Unit than any other type of residential use. Staff analyzed the facility's appropriate use classification based on the applicant's submitted materials.

The nature of the facility operations, as reported in the original application for reasonable accommodation submitted in May 2008, closely resembles a boarding house use. But for the fact residents are recovering alcoholics, the facility would be classified as a prohibited Group Residential use, or a Boarding or Rooming House as that term is defined in NBMC 20.05.030. (Residential Use Classifications) ("A residence or dwelling unit, or part thereof, wherein a room or rooms are rented under two or more separate written or oral rental agreements, leases or subleases or combination thereof...")

The applicant's May 2008 application for reasonable accommodation states, "The residents at the property reside separately at the property and interact within the property. There is individual use of common areas. The residents are responsible for their own meals, expenses and chores. Each individual resides at the property subject to a separate contractual arrangement with the applicant."

Dr. Anna Thames, CEO of Yellowtstone, has also stated that the facility has no written leases with any of the residents. Rental agreements with residents are verbal. Again, the description of operations is much closer to the NBMC's definition of a boarding house or group residential use than a Single Housekeeping Unit, as the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit requires dwellings rented to bona fide Single Housekeeping Units to be occupied under a single written lease.

The self-reported pattern of facility operations and resident interaction in no way resembles the NBMC definition of a Single Housekeeping Unit. NBMC Section 20.03.030 (Definitions) defines a Single Housekeeping Unit as: "The functional equivalent of a traditional family, whose members are an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit, including the joint use of and responsibility for common areas, and sharing household activities and responsibilities such as meals, chores, household maintenance, and expenses, and where, if the unit is rented, all adult residents have chosen to jointly occupy the entire premises of the dwelling unit, under a single written lease with joint use and responsibility for the premises, and the makeup of the household occupying the unit is determined by the residents of the unit rather than the landlord or property manager."

Applicant's resident clients may be an interactive group of persons jointly occupying a single dwelling unit who share common areas, but the applicant's own submittals indicate there is no joint responsibility for meals or expenses, no single written lease (or any written leases at all), and the makeup of the household is determined by the applicant rather than the residents.

NBMC Section 20.98.025(C) allows the City to consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation is necessary to provide the disabled individual an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling:

A. Whether the requested accommodation will affirmatively enhance the quality of life of one or more individuals with a disability.

If the requested accommodation is granted, any number of the applicant's current and potential clients will be able to live in a home in a single-family zone with other recovering alcoholics. This is a situation that can affirmatively enhance the quality of life of a person in recovery from addiction, unless overcrowding of the facility or institutionalization of the neighborhood interferes with the residents' re-integration into society. The applicant's sliding scale of rental rates offers a sober living environment to residents who might not otherwise be able to afford to live in a single-family home in this area.

B. Whether the individual or individuals with a disability will be denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice absent the accommodation.

The exemption requested by the applicant is broader than necessary to achieve the goal of enabling disabled individuals an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice. There are more narrowly tailored exemptions that could enable disabled individuals to reside at the applicant's facility.

C. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the requested accommodation is necessary to make facilities of a similar nature or operation economically viable in light of the particularities of the relevant market and market participants.

The applicant does not state why being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make its facilities viable in light of the current market for the type of services it provides. The applicant states that each facility requires 15 residents in order to be financially viable, and provides a general summary of average income and expenses for all four facilities. The evidence presented does not lead to the conclusion that being treated as a Single Housekeeping Unit is necessary to make applicant's facilities financially viable.

D. In the case of a residential care facility, whether the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature and operation in the community is sufficient to provide individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

In 2007, the City estimated that there were more than 315 sober living beds in the city (these are exclusive of the up to 213 ADP-licensed treatment beds). These numbers were compiled before applicant's facilities, with a total of 58 sober living and eight staff beds, were added to the city's supply. Operators of many sober living facilities within the city have reported decreased census and vacant beds, which could provide potential Yellowstone clients with an equal opportunity to live in a sober living environment without granting the accommodation. A recent agreement with Sober Living by the Sea, Inc., authorized SLBTS to provide up to 204 beds citywide. Many of these alternate sober living beds are probably not offered on a sliding fee scale based on ability to pay. The evidence does not support the applicant's contention that treating residents of its facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit will change the availability of the existing supply of facilities of a similar nature, or afford them a substantially greater access to an equal opportunity to live in a residential setting.

Based on the foregoing analysis, the finding of necessity cannot be made in that the request is unnecessarily broad, the unit does not operate as the functional equivalent of a single family unit, and the unit does not otherwise meet the criteria of a Single Housekeeping unit.

Even when an applicant can demonstrate necessity, the City is not required to grant a request for accommodation that is not reasonable. Cities may find a requested accommodation unreasonable if it either (1) imposes an undue financial or administrative burden on the city, or (2) results in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a city program, often described as undermining "the basic purpose which the requirement seeks to achieve."

3. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the City as "undue financial or administrative burden" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> Treating the facility as a Single Housekeeping Unit would not impose a currently identifiable undue financial or administrative burden on the City. If this reasonable accommodation request were granted for all four Yellowstone facilities, the applicant would be able to house a number of residents far in excess of the 66 individuals currently residing in the four homes. Currently unidentifiable financial or administrative burdens could arise as a result.

4. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program, as "fundamental alteration" is defined in Fair Housing Laws and interpretive case law.

<u>Facts do not support the finding:</u> The purpose of the NBMC's definition of Single Housekeeping Unit is to allow the determination of whether groups of related or unrelated individuals are living together in a dwelling as a single housekeeping unit. This definition is necessary because of the persistent attempts by landlords to establish illegal boarding houses, and illegal dwelling units in dwellings within the City.

Groups living as a single housekeeping unit can live together in any residential zone in Newport Beach. Groups not living as a single housekeeping unit are prohibited from establishing residences in any of the City's residential zones. There is an important exception to the total prohibition of groups not living as a single housekeeping unit -- groups not living as a single housekeeping unit in residential care facilities of any size.

All residential care facilities in the City have received a reasonable accommodation from the NBMC's restrictions on groups not living as a single housekeeping unit. The NBMC provides many opportunities for new facilities to establish, and has provisions for existing facilities to continue in their current locations with appropriate impact residential zone of the City.

The NBMC's Zoning Code also applies regulations to unlicensed and larger (more than seven residents) licensed facilities. These regulations are in place to ensure that the fundamental purposes of the Zoning Code can be achieved, and so the adverse secondary impacts higher density residential care facilities have on the surrounding neighborhood can be mitigated.

Pursuant to Section 20.98.025(D) of the NBMC, the City may also consider the following factors in determining whether the requested accommodation would require a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning program:

A. Whether the requested accommodation would fundamentally alter the character of the neighborhood.

There were numerous letters, emails and phone calls from neighbors of the facilities that reported increasing negative secondary impacts on the neighborhood as more of the applicant's facilities established there in recent years. The impacts reported include: family and other visitors to the facilities; litter in the neighborhood which complainants attribute to the applicant's facilities, including cigarette butts, soda cans, and beer cans and bottles; facility residents traveling in groups between one facility and the others; meetings held regularly at one or more of the applicant's facilities, with outside attendees; excessive use of on-street parking by facility residents and their guests.

B. Whether the accommodation would result in a substantial increase in traffic or insufficient parking.

Parking - The enclosed garage spaces and driveway parking spaces allow for the staff vehicles to be accommodated without impacting neighborhood parking. However, the weekly meetings and weekend visitors reported by neighbors and former residents of the facilities disproportionately consume available neighborhood parking.

Traffic and Generated Trips - The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) establishes and publishes standards for trip generation rates based on the use classification of a site. In the case of a single family dwelling, the standard trip rate is based on 9.57 average daily trips per dwelling. Trip rates for residential care facilities are based on 2.74 average daily trips per each occupied bed. Based on these standards, a 17-bed residential care facility is estimated to generate approximately 46.58 average daily trips. Applying this formula, the facility will generate average daily trips substantially in excess of surrounding single family dwellings.

5. Finding: That the requested accommodation will not, under the specific facts of the case, result in a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others.

<u>Facts in support of finding:</u> A request for reasonable accommodation may be denied if granting it would pose "a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or result in substantial physical damage to the property of others." See 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(9). This is a very limited exception and can only be used when, based on the specific facts of a situation, a requested accommodation results in a significant and particularized threat. Federal cases interpreting this exception in the FHAA indicate that requested accommodations cannot be denied due to generalized fears of the risks posed by disabled persons.

WHEREAS, to approve a request for Reasonable Accommodation all five required findings contained Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC must be made; and

WHEREAS, specifically, findings Nos. 2 and 4 of Section 20.98.025(B) of the NBMC cannot be made; and

WHEREAS, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Class 1 (Existing Facilities). This class of projects has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. This activity is also covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (Section15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this activity will have a significant effect on the environment and it is not subject to CEQA; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Hearing Officer of the City of Newport Beach hereby denies with prejudice Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-07, Request No.1, that the residents of the facility be treated as a single housekeeping unit as defined in Section 20.03.030 of the Newport Beach Municipal Code (NBMC).

<u>Section 2.</u> This action shall become final and effective fourteen days after the adoption of this Resolution unless within such time an appeal is filed with the City Clerk in accordance with the provisions of Title 20 Planning and Zoning, of the Newport Beach Municipal Code.

City of Newport Beach Hearing Officer Resolution 20172 Redlands Drive (Reasonable Accommodation No. 2009-07) Page 8 of 8

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 14th DAY OFAPRIL, 2009.

Bv:

Thomas W. Allen, Hearing Officer

ATTEST:

ሃፄ 01668

Additional Documents from Yellowstone Presented at March 12, 2009 Hearing

STATEOFCALIFORNIA

FIRE SAFETY INSPECTION REQUEST

		See instructions on reverse.			
AGENCY CONTACTS NAME	TS NAME TELEPOLITATION TO THE COLUMN TELEPOLITATION TELEPOLIT			PROGRAM	
EVALUATOR'S NAME	(916) 322-1265			A/DRF	
NOT ASSIGNED YET	REQUESTING AGENCY FACILITY NUMBER			Anna to a promount of the contract of the cont	REQUEST CODE
100	NOT ASSIGNED YET NOT ASSIGNED YET			1 2A	
					CODES
AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Di Licensing and Ce 1700 K Street, Th Sacramento, CA S	rug and Alcohol F rtification Branch aird Floor 95814-4037	Programs			1. ORIGINAL A. FIRE CLEARANCE 2. RENEWAL B. LIFE SAFETY 3. CAPACITY CHANGE 4. OWNERSHIP CHANGE
<u> </u>					5. AUDRESS CHANGE 6. NAME CHANGE 7. OTHER
AMBULATORY	T		na destad planners and a late of the state o	~~~	
CAPACITY PREVIOUS CAPACITY	NONAMB CAPACITY	ULATORY	BEDR	IDDEN	TOTAL CAPACITY
1	GVACIIT	PREVIOUS CAPACITY	CAPACITY	PREVIOUSCAPACITY	The over your
18 18	0	0	0	0	
ACRITYNAME		<u> </u>			
YELLOWSTONE WOMEN'S FIRS	T STEP HOUSE				LICENSECATEGORY
TREET ADDRESS (Actival Location) 1571 PEGASUS STREET	and the second second	-		A state to be required to A to report of the state of the	ALCOHOL/DRUG FACILITY
Y	The system of the second control of the seco				NUMBER OF BUILDINGS
SANTA ANA HEIGHTS			The same of the same of the same of the same	and these contrasting has a recent distinguish of the special side	RESTRAINT
CILITY CONTACT PERSON'S NAME					NONE
DR. A. M. THAMES (949)678-9000	AMANDA KRA	MER (949\678_22	1.4		HOURS
ECIAL CONDITIONS					24+
					•
	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR	штү	
	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		CLEARANCE/DENIAL CODE A
	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		1
FIRE	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		CLEARANCE/DENIAL CODE 1
THORITY	ТО ВЕ СС	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		1
THORITY ME AND	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		CODES
THORITY ME AND	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED
THORITY ME AND	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED
THORITY ME AND DDRESS	TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY INS	PECTING AUTHOR		CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION
THORITY ME AND DDRESS	TO BE CO	_]			CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM
THORITY ME AND DDRESS CTOR'S NAME (Typed or Printed)	TELEPHONEN	UMBER CF			CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM D. SPRINKLERS
THORITY ME AND DDRESS CTOR'S NAME (Typed or Printed) AND	TELEPHONEN	_]			CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM D. SPRINKLERS E. HOUSEKEEPING
CTOR'S NAME (Typed or Printed)	TELEPHONEN	UMBER CF			CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM D. SPRINKLERS E. HOUSEKEEPING F. SPECIAL HAZARO
THORITY ME AND DDRESS CTOR'S NAME (Typed or Printed) CTICH DATE INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE THORYDATE TELEPHONEN	UMBER CF			CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM D. SPRINKLERS E. HOUSEKEEPING	
THORITY ME AND DDRESS CTOR'S NAME (Typed or Printed)	TELEPHONEN	UMBER CF		CCUPANGY CLASS	CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM D. SPRINKLERS E. HOUSEKEEPING F. SPECIAL HAZARD G. OTHER
THORITY ME AND DDRESS CTOR'S NAME (Typed or Printed) A VALL CTIQUIDATE INSPECTOR'S SIGNISTURE	TELEPHONEN	UMBER CF		CCUPANGY CLASS	CODES 1. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED 2. FIRE CLEARANCE DENIED A. EXITS B. CONSTRUCTION C. FIRE ALARM D. SPRINKLERS E. HOUSEKEEPING F. SPECIAL HAZARO

faxed 3.09

RESTRAKT NONE

HOURS 24+

STATE SAFETY INSPECTION REQUEST

or Santa ana heights

DR: A.M. (HONEY) THAMES/AMANDA KRAMER

See instructions on reverse. ASENCY CONTACTS HAME!"
DORIS GREEN
EVALUATORS HAME: TELEPHONE NUMBER REQUESTOATE (916) 322-1265 A/DRF REQUESTING AGENCY FACILITY HUMBER NOT ASSIGNED YET: * REQUEST COOK NOT ASSIGNED YET Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs
Licensing and Certification Branch
1700 K-Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-4637 LICENSING AGENCY NAME AND 1. ORIGINAL A FIRE CLEARANGE 2. RENEWAL & LIFE SAFETY 3. CAPACITY CHANGE ADDRESS A WEST 4. OWNERSHIP CHANGE 5. ADDRESS CHANGE 6. NAME CHANGE 7. OTHER NONAMBULATORY TOTAL GAPACITY YELLOWSTONE WOMEN STRET STEP HOUSE, INC. UCENSE CATEGORY ALCOHOL/DRUG FACILITY NUMBER OF BUILDINGS

TO BE COMPLETED BY INSPECTING AUTHORITY

CODES

FIRE
AUTHORITY

ADDRESS

A FIRE GEARANCE DENIED

C. FIRE ALARM

D. SPAINKLETS

E. HOLLSEKBEPHIG

P. SPECIAL HAZARD

G. OTHER

C. OTHER

SAN ASSESSMENT OF THE SAN	有关,使于100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm,100mm 100mm, 100mm 100mm, 100mm 100mm, 1	Take the state of	The second second second	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	SAFETY	And the second s	Carry Const. of the Carry Carry	V 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Committee of the commit		THE STREET SECTION AND ADDRESS.	المرازي بنائب متعلق فالرابد ويعيد
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	111 1 - 1	HIJAI LALLI	
After State Commencer and Commencer			TO IT IT IT	

ORIS GREEN	TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 322-1365	RECLEST DATE	PROGRAM A/DRF
NOT ASSIGNED VET	nequestingagengypaciutyma NOT ASSIGNED YET	BER	REDUESTICODE IA
	Andrew Control of the		CONES
Effective Department of Drug and A AGENCY Licensing and Certification	lcoliol Programs 1Branch		1. ORIGINAL A FIRE CLEARANC 2. RENEWAL B. LIFE SAFETY
ADDRESS Sacramento, CA 95814-40			9. CAPACITY CHANGE 4. OWNERSHIP CHANGE
		 N <u>.</u>	6. ADDRESS CHANGE
			6. Name Change 7. Other
AMBUDATORY	IONAMBULATORY.	BEDRIBDEN /	
CAROLINA CARACITA	Unterious CAPACITY CAPACITY	sagilore cheatur	TOTAL CAPACITY
12 E 0 E 0	<u> </u>	D	12
YELLOWSTONE WOMENS EIRST STEP I	HONSE WC.		USENSEGATEGORY ALCOHOL/DRUG FACILITY
SVICETAOOSES Accelterant 1561-INDUS			NOMBER OF BUILDINGS
SÁNTA ANAHEIGHTS (1)		The state of the s	RESTRAINT NONE
AMANDA KRAMER (949)678-3214 DR. A.	M. THAMES (949) 678-9000		HOURS 24H

TO BE GOMPLETED BY WSPECTING AUTHORITY FIRE AUTHORITY I Fire Authority Rd. ADDRESS INSPECTORS PLACETY PROVIDED INSPECTORS PLACETY

STATEOFCALFORNIA

FIRE SAFETY INSPECTION REQUEST

See instructions on reverse.				
DORIS GREEN EVALUATORS NAMES	TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 322-1265	REQUEST DATE	PROGRAM A/DRF	
NOT ASSIGNED YET	NOT ASSIGNED YET	R	REQUEST CODE	
LICENSING Department of Drug and Alcohol AGENCY Licensing and Certification Branch NAME AND 1700 K Street, Third Floor ADDRESS Signments, GA 95814.4037	*		1. ORIGINAL A. FIRE CLEARANGE 2. RENEWAL B. LIFE SAFRTY 3. CAPACITY CHANGE 4. OWNERSHIP CHANGE 5. ADDRESS CHANGE 6. NAME CHANGE 7. OTHER	
AMBULATORY NONAN CAPACITY PREVIOUS CAPACITY CAPACITY CAPACITY (18 0)	BULATORY BI HEEVICUS GARACTY CAPACITY 0: 0	DRIDDENE PREVIOUSCABACITY	YOTAL CAPACITY	
YELLOWSTONE WOMEN'S FIRST STIP HOUS STIEL (ADDIES AGMILLIAN) 1621 INDUS STREET	6		LICENSE CATEGORY ALCOHOLDRUG PACILITY WASEN OF BUILDINGS	
TY SANTA ANA HEIGHTS: ACUTY CONTACT PERSONS NOTE: FOR A M. TO ANTES WANTES TO ANTE STATE OF THE			1 RESTRAINT MONE	
DIS A. M. THAMES (949)678,9000: AMANDA KR PECIAL CONDITIONS	AMER (949)678.3214		iours 244	

TO BE COMPLETED BY INSPECTING AUTHORITY

CLEARANCE DENIAL CODE

EIRE
AUTHORITY
NAME AND
ADDRESS

EIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED

2. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED

2. FIRE CLEARANCE GRANTED

3. CODES

EIRE
AUTHORITY
NAME AND
A EXITS
B. CONSTRUCTION
C. FIRE ALARM
C. FIRE ALARM
C. FIRE ALARM
D. SPRINKLERS
E. HOUSEKEEPING
HISPECTORIS CHARLES
F. SPECIAL HAZARD
C. OTHER

X-PANDERNAL ONLIST SPECIAL CONDICIONS

C. OTHER

